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ABSTRACT

Objective: In this study, we aimed to investigate the characteristics and treatment results of 82 co-infected patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV)/hepatitis C virus (HCV).

Materials and Methods: Four university hospitals evaluated HBV/HCV co-infection cases retrospectively. We analyzed the epidemiological, virological, clinical, and histopathological data and the results of treatment in patients co-infected with HBV and HCV. Pegylated interferon (peg IFN) plus ribavirin treatment was given to patients with HCV dominance. The results of patients receiving different treatment for HBV were evaluated.

Results: The mean age of the patients was 44.3±14.7 years and 52.4% were female. The major risk factors were dental therapy, any surgical procedure, hemodialysis, and blood transfusion. The average HCV RNA level and HBV DNA level were found to be 1.36x10⁶±3.06x10⁶ IU/mL, and 1.55x10⁶±4.83x10⁶ IU/mL, respectively. On histopathology, the mean grade of necroinflammation was found to be 4.9±2.6 while the mean stage of fibrosis was 1.7±1.5 in 39 patients. 8.5% of patients were positive for both HCV-RNA and HBV-DNA as positive and in 85.7% of cases, HCV infection was found to be dominant. The rate of sustained virologic response was 70.8% in 24 patients receiving peg IFN plus ribavirin therapy. Reactivation of HBV was found in 33.3% of cases. HBV DNA was negative in all patients who received oral antiviral therapy.

Conclusion: In cases where both HCV-RNA and HBV-DNA were positive, HCV was predominant. This is especially noticeable in hemodialysis patients.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Bu çalışmada, 82 hepatit B virüs (HBV)/hepatit C virüs (HCV) ko-enfekte hastanın özellikleri ve tedavi sonuçlarını araştırmayı amaçladık.


Bulgular: Hastaların yaş ortalaması 44.3±14.7 idi ve %52,4’ü kadın hasta idi. HCV enfeksiyonu için major risk aktörleri; diş cerrahisi, cerrahi girişim, hemodiyaliz ve kan transfüzyonu idi. Ortalama HCV RNA seviyesi 1,36x10⁶±3.06x10⁶ IU/mL, ortalama HBV DNA seviyesi 1,55x10⁶±4.83x10⁶ IU/mL olarak saptandı. Biyopsi yapılan hastaların %39% histopatolojik incelemesinde nekroenfiamatuar aktivitesi ortalamada 4.9±2.6 iken, fibrozis evresi ortalamada 1.7±1.5 olarak saptandı. Hastaların %8,5’inde hem HCV-RNA hem de HBV-DNA pozitifi ve bu hastaların %85,7’sinde HCV enfeksiyonu dominancı olarak bulundu. HCV enfeksiyonu olan hastaların %24’sünde peg IFN ve ribavirin tedavisi başlandı ve bu hastalarda kalici viral yakın oranı %70,8 olarak saptandı. Hastaların %33,3’ünde HBV reaktivasyon gibi, Oral antiviral tedavi ba şarayan hastaların tümünde HBV DNA negatifliği saptandı.


Anahtar Kelimeler: Hepatit B virüsü, hepatit C virüsü, ko-enfeksiyon, epidemiyoloji, tedavi
Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and hepatitis C (HCV) virus infections are among the most common causes of advanced chronic liver disease worldwide. Patients co-infected with HBV and HCV have higher rates of progression, faster fibrosis, more severe liver disease, and are at a significantly increased risk of developing hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) compared to those mono-infected with HBV or HCV (1,2,3). Co-infection with HBV/HCV is rare and epidemiology is not fully defined (4,5). It is estimated that there are about 7-20 million co-infected patients worldwide (6). According to study reports, approximately 5-7% of HBV-infected patients were also positive for anti-HCV and 2-10% of chronic hepatitis C virus (CHC) patients were positive for HBsAg (4,7). In one Turkish Study, 10.165 hepatitis cases were evaluated in 10 hospitals and the co-infection rate was found to be 974/100,000 (8). Combined chronic infection with HBV and HCV is common in areas endemic for either viruses. HCV superinfection in patients with chronic HBV infection is one of the most common clinical conditions in Asian-Pacific countries where co-infection is common (4).

Until this time, there was no standard maintenance recommendation for HBV/HCV co-infection (1,5,9). Pegylated interferon (peg IFN) and ribavirin combination therapy demonstrated similar efficacy in suppressing HCV RNA in co-infected and HCV mono-infection cases. However, re-activation of HBV during therapy is an important question (3,4,5,10).

This retrospective, multicenter study aimed to investigate the epidemiological, virological, clinical, and histopathological characteristics and treatment results and the change in the status of HBV or HCV infection following treatment in 82 co-infected patients with HBV/HCV in Turkey.

Materials and Methods

Study population and data collection

In this study, four university hospitals retrospectively evaluated a total of 82 patients aged 18 years and over with HBV/HCV co-infection. Ethical approval was not required as the study was a retrospective study. This study included patients who were followed-up between 1998 and 2012 and with regular records. The HBV/HCV co-infected patients were diagnosed by serum HBsAg, antibodies to HCV, detectable serum HCV RNA and/or HBV DNA, and compensated liver disease. Data was collected using case records from the doctors in charge in the hospitals involved. We obtained baseline clinical and virological characteristics and results of treatment with the help of retrospective review of medical records, and available histological data before treatment were also recorded. Participant’s demographic data, year of diagnosis, the possible transmission routes of viruses, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, markers of hepatitis, results of HCV RNA and HBV DNA tests and the liver biopsy, and treatment results were all evaluated. We analyzed the epidemiological, virological, clinical, and histopathological data and the results of treatment in patients co-infected with HBV and HCV.

Laboratory tests were performed at each hospital. ALT tests were performed with automatic devices. Serological markers (anti-HCV, HBsAg, anti-HBs, HBeAg, anti-HBe and anti-HBc) were tested with different enzyme immunoassay kits. HBV DNA and HCV RNA were investigated by using real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) with different kits [Cobas Ampliprep/Cobas TaqMan HBV/HCV assay (Roche, Molecular System, Pleasanton, CA), Abbott RealTime HBV/HCV assay (Abbott Diagnostics, Chicago, IL), HBV/HCV QS-RGQ (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All results were converted to IU/mL. Liver biopsy specimens were scored according to the Ishak’s Scoring System (11). The mean grade of necroinflammation and the stage of fibrosis were evaluated. The HCV genotype was determined by different methods [sequence analysis (Pyromark Qiagen-Germany), RT-PCR Fluorion HCV genotyping 1.0 (Iontek AŞ, Istanbul, Turkey)] in patients receiving HCV treatment.

Definition, treatment and evaluation of the treatment responses

Peg IFN-α 2a plus ribavirin or peg IFN-α 2b plus ribavirin treatment was given to HCV dominant patients. Current guidelines were used to determine dose modification and initial dosage of treatment drug (12,13). Patients with genotype 1 or genotype 4 were treated for 48 weeks. All subjects were followed up at least for 24 weeks after cessation of therapy. Responses to therapy were defined according to the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases guideline (12). The categories and severity of adverse events were registered. HBV DNA was measured at baseline, at week 24 and at week 48 during therapy in all patients. We evaluated the results of patients receiving different treatments for HBV. All patients continued with the antiviral therapy and were followed up at three to six month intervals.

Statistical Analysis

We expressed the clinical and biochemical characteristics of the patients as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analyses were performed with the Mann-Whitney U test and chi-square test. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The SPSS (version 16.0) software package was used for statistical analysis.

Results

Demographics and baseline characteristics

The evaluated data were collected from four different academic hospitals in four different regions. 52.4% of patients were female and the mean age of the patients was 44.3±14.7 years. The regional distribution of co-infection was as follows: 41.5% in the Central Anatolian Region, 37.8% in the Southeast Region, 18.3% in the Black Sea Region, and 2.4% in the Marmara Region. Dental therapy, any surgical procedure, hemodialysis and blood transfusion were the major risk factors. Patients’ characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

The mean ALT level was 47.8±39.0 IU/L. HBeAg was positive in 14.6% of patients. Of the 82 patients with co-infection, 36 (43.9%) were HCV RNA-positive, while 46 (56.1%) were HBV DNA-positive. HCV RNA levels were found to be between 170 and 1.62x10^6 IU/mL and the average HCV RNA level was found to be 1.36x10^6±3.06x10^5 IU/mL. Twenty-eight of the 36 patients (77.8%) had an HCV RNA level of less than 600,000 IU/mL. HBV DNA levels were between 50 and 1.70x10^6 IU/mL and the average HBV DNA level was found to be 1.55x10^5±4.83x10^4 IU/mL. Twenty-two of the 46 patients (47.8%) had a HBV DNA level of less than 2,000 IU/mL. Liver biopsy was performed in 39 patients.
The mean stage of fibrosis was 1.7±1.5, and the mean grade of necroinflammation was 4.9±2.6 on histopathology.

Both HCV-RNA and HBV-DNA were positive in 8.5% of patients (n=7) and HCV infection was dominant in 85.7% of cases. In the HCV RNA and HBV DNA-positive group, 5 patients had high ALT levels. HCV RNA levels were in the range of 1139-2.40x10^6 (average: 6.24x10^6±8.38x10^5 IU/mL) in 7 patients. HBV DNA levels were 211-5.60x10^5 (average: 8.09x10^5±2.12x10^5 IU/mL in these patients. In 1 patient, HBV DNA level was 5.607.835 IU/mL with low level of HCV RNA (1139 IU/mL). Hemodialysis was the most important risk factor in 2 of s7 cases (28.6%). Serum HCV RNA levels were compared between patients with and without detectable serum HBV DNA. HCV RNA levels were higher in patients with detectable HBV DNA (6.24x10^6±8.38x10^5 IU/mL) than in patients without detectable HBV DNA (1.53x10^5±3.38x10^5 IU/mL). We did not find any statistical significance (p=0.969).

### Treatment efficacy

Thirty-four patients had received treatment and in 25 of these, liver biopsy was performed. Peg IFN plus ribavirin therapy was given to 27 patients with dominant HCV infection (peg IFN-α 2a in 12 patients and peg IFN-α 2b in 15 patients). Among patients who received peg IFN plus ribavirin therapy, 25 had HCV genotype 1 and 2, HCV genotype 4. Different treatment regimens were given to 7 patients with dominant HBV infection.

The mean ALT level was 73.4±53.8 IU/L and the mean HCV RNA level was 1.43x10^6±3.16x10^5 IU/mL in group receiving peg IFN plus ribavirin therapy. HCV RNA and HBV DNA were positive in 3 patients. Two of the 3 patients had a baseline HBV DNA level of less than 2000 IU/mL (292 and 403 IU/mL) and the HBV DNA level was 2400 IU/mL in 1 patient. Liver biopsy was performed in 18 patients, and the mean grade of necroinflammation was 5.3±1.8 while the mean stage of fibrosis was 1.8±1.3 in this group. Three patients were excluded since 1 patient could not tolerate medications and 2 were lost-to-follow-up. In 24 patients, the rate of early virologic response (EVR), end-of-treatment response (ETR), and sustained virologic response (SVR) was 83.3%, 79.2%, and, 70.8%, respectively. Relapse was observed in 3 patients (12.5%). 7 patients (29.2%) did not respond to treatment. One of the patients had EVR and two had ETR and ETR (Table 2).

Disappearance of HBV DNA occurred in 1 of 3 (33.3%) patients with positive HBV DNA at baseline. HBV DNA level of above 2000 IU/mL (2400 IU/mL) and SVR was not observed in this patient. After completion of treatment, serum HBV DNA levels remained

---

### Table 1. Characteristics of eighty two patients co-infected with hepatitis B virus/hepatitis C virus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The mean age, years*</th>
<th>44.3±14.7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, female/male n (%)</td>
<td>43 (52.4)/39 (47.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Distribution of patients n (%)

- **Central Anatolian Region**: 41.5
- **Southeast Region**: 37.8
- **Black Sea Region**: 18.3
- **Marmara Region**: 2.4

### Risk factors n (%)

- Surgical procedure + dental therapy: 14 (17.1)
- Dental therapy: 12 (14.6)
- Surgical procedure: 10 (12.2)
- Hemodialysis: 7 (8.5)
- Surgical procedure + dental therapy + blood transfusion: 5 (6.1)
- Blood transfusion: 3 (3.7)
- Dental therapy + blood transfusion: 3 (3.7)
- Dental therapy + suspected sexual transmission: 2 (2.4)
- Surgical procedure + blood transfusion: 1 (1.2)
- Surgical procedure + hepatitis in the family: 1 (1.2)
- Hepatitis in the family: 1 (1.2)
- Suspected sexual transmission: 1 (1.2)
- Not found: 22 (26.9)

### Mean ALT level, IU/L*

- Not found: 47.8±30.0

### Virological characteristics

- HCV RNA positivity n (%): 36 (43.9)
- Mean HCV RNA level, IU/mL*: 1.36x10^6±3.06x10^4
- HBV DNA positivity n (%): 46 (56.1)
- Mean HBV DNA level, IU/mL*: 1.55x10^5±4.83x10^5
- HBV DNA and HCV RNA positivity n (%): 7 (8.5)

### Histopathological results (n=39)*

- Mean grade of necroinflammation: 4.9±2.6
- Mean stage of fibrosis: 1.7±1.2

---

*Mean ± standard deviation, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, HBV: Hepatitis B virus, HCV: Hepatitis C virus

---

### Table 2. Characteristics of patients treated with pegylated interferon and ribavirin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The number of patients</th>
<th>27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV genotype n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype 1</td>
<td>25 (92.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype 4</td>
<td>2 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ALT level, IU/L*</td>
<td>73.4±53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HCV RNA level, IU/mL*</td>
<td>1.43x10^6±3.16x10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Histopathological results (n=18)*

- Mean grade of necroinflammation: 5.3±1.8
- Mean stage of fibrosis: 1.8±1.3

### Results of treatment n (%)**

- EVR: 20 (83.3)
- ETR: 19 (79.2)
- SVR: 17 (70.8)
- Nonresponder: 7 (29.2)
- Relapse: 3 (12.5)
- Disappearance of HBV DNA: 1 (33.3)
- Reactivation of HBV DNA: 7 (33.3)

**Mean ± standard deviation, *Three patients were excluded, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, EVR: Early virological response, ETR: End of treatment response, SVR: Sustained virological response, HCV: Hepatitis C virus, HBV: Hepatitis B virus**
positive with the same baseline values in another two patients. Interestingly, 7 of 21 (33.3%) patients with negative HBV DNA at baseline had reactivation of HBV DNA at the 24th week of follow-up, this was not accompanied by significant hepatic flares. The reactivation rate of HBV DNA (50%) with HCV SVR was the same in patients without SVR (50%) (p=1). Serum ALT levels were normal in 4 patients. Serum ALT levels were elevated in 3 patients (mean value: 124±30.0 IU/L; range: 89-161 IU/L), and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate were given to these 3 patients. After three months, the serum HBV DNA of these patients became undetectable and the ALT levels returned to normal.

The mean ALT level was 94.1±46.9 IU/L and the mean HBV DNA level was found to be 4.86x10^5±8.29x10^5 IU/mL in group receiving treatment for HBV infection. HCV RNA and HBeAg were negative in this group. In 7 patients, the mean stage of fibrosis was 2.9±1.1 and the mean grade of necroinflammation was 6.9±1.2. HBV DNA was found to be negative in all patients who had received oral antiviral therapy. HBV DNA was negative in two patients at the end of the 12th month of lamivudine therapy. HBV DNA was negative in 4 patients treated with entecavir or tenofovir on the 6th month and 12th month of treatment. None of these patients developed HBV reactivation till date. HBV DNA was positive in 1 patient treated with peg IFN alpha-2a at the 6th month, and therapy was stopped. During the treatment, HBsAg clearance and HCV RNA positivity were not observed in the patients.

During treatment with peg IFN and ribavirin, and oral antiviral agents, severe side effects were not observed. Anemia (hemoglobin level <10 g/dL) occurred in 2 patients and the ribavirin dose was reduced to 600 mg/day in these patients.

**Discussion**

HBV/HCV co-infection is common among persons at high endemic areas due to common transmission routes and high risk for parenteral infections (4,14). The worldwide prevalence of HBV/HCV co-infection is unknown (4,5,6,15). In an Eastern Europe study of 2200 healthy individuals randomly selected, the rate of co-infection was found to be 0.68% (16). The rate of HCV co-infection in chronic HBV patients ranges from 9% to 30%, depending on geographical area (17). The rate of HBV/HCV co-infection was found to be more common in patients over 50 years of age and increased with age in an Italian study (18). These numbers may not reflect the truth, as both were not large-scale studies and concealed infections have not been well-recognized (occult HBV infection) (19). Data related to HCV/HBV co-infection are lacking in Turkey. In one Turkish study, the co-infection rate was reported to be 974/100,000 (8). These results show that the prevalence of HBV or HCV mono-infection is higher than HBV/HCV co-infection.

Organ transplant recipients, patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection, hemodialysis patients, and intravenous drug users are often at high risk of HBV/HCV co-infection (20). The most common type of HBV/HCV co-infection is HBV superinfection in individuals with chronic hepatitis B virus (CHB) in areas with high prevalence of HBV infections (4,21). Dental therapy, surgical interventions, hemodialysis and blood transfusion, which are identified as risk factors in this study, reflect the epidemiological differences among the other countries. Zhang et al. (22) reported that the clinical characteristics of HBV/HCV infected patients were significantly different from those of HCV infected patients in different ways.

Epidemiological studies on viral interaction have not revealed consistent results. Some reported no interaction; others reported a sub/supra-additive or multiplicative interaction (10). Additionally, most clinical observations suggest that the interaction between both viruses is often characterized by the inhibition of HCV-mediated HBV replication (4,8). On the other hand, follow-up studies have shown that the virological patterns in co-infection cases had dynamic profiles over time and were widely divergent (4). Coffin et al. (23) has published a case report of profound suppression of CHC after superinfection with HBV and establishment of CHB. It was hypothesized that HBV infection precipitated generalized and/or virus-specific cellular immune responses that profoundly suppressed HCV replication and yet failed to inhibit progression to CHB. Without evidence for direct interference in vitro, HBV and HCV can replicate in the same cell (23). In our study, HCV RNA was not higher in patients without detectable serum HBV DNA. However, the difference in the number of patients may have led to this conclusion. HCV-RNA and HBV-DNA were positive in 7 patients and HCV infection was dominant in 6 of the 7 patients. Since HCV is the common cause of infection in patients receiving hemodialysis, the present finding may be due to the high prevalence of HCV hemodialysis and this is especially noticeable in hemodialysis patients (24). It has been reported by Lee et al. (25) that HCV infection suppressed the serum HBV DNA level in hemodialysis patients. In this study, it was found that HBV/HCV co-infection, in comparison with single HBV infection, did not cause more severe liver diseases or reduced patient survival in hemodialysis patients during a 10-year follow-up. For this reason, the viral interference observed in co-infected patients is probably because of indirect mechanisms mediated by innate and/or adaptive host immune responses (26).

Those infected with HBV/HCV tend to have more severe liver injury, a higher likelihood of liver cirrhosis, hepatic decompensation, and a higher incidence of HCC when compared to mono-infected patients (4,5,14). In our study, only 39 patients underwent biopsy and none of these patients had advanced fibrosis. However, the severity of fibrosis in infected patients with HBV/HCV could not be assessed due to the small sample size of the study. The follow-up period was not long enough in our patients. Therefore, evaluation of HCC development or advanced cirrhosis in HBV/HCV co-infected patients was not possible, thus, further studies are needed.

While there are well-established treatment modalities for CHB and CHC patients, currently, there is no standard treatment for patients infected with HBV/HCV. In general, the same treatment criteria should be applied to HBV/HCV patients and mono-infected patients. According to recent studies, there is no significant difference in the rapid virologic response, EVR and SVR rates between HCV mono-infected individuals with peg IFN plus ribavirin treatment and those infected with HBV/HCV. Yu et al. (27) studied combination therapy with peg IFN-α 2a and ribavirin for 24-48 weeks, based on different HCV genotypes in 50 co-infected patients, compared to a control group of HCV-mono-infected patients. The researchers found that patients with the HCV genotype 1 in the co-infection group had a higher rate of partial EVR, ETR and recurrence. However, no significant difference
was seen in the SVR rates. Kim et al. (28) treated 18 patients co-infected with HCV/HBV with combination peg IFN-α 2a and ribavirin. This study reported a SVR rate of 72% in all patients, and 60% and 87.5% in genotypes 1 and 2, respectively. In our study, the overall SVR rate was found to be 70.8% in the HBV/HCV co-infection group with genotype 1 and genotype 4. The relapse rate was 12.5%. The vast majority of Turkish patients with CHC have genotype 1 (29). Successful treatment of CHC infection may correlate with HBV reactivation and flaring (4,6,30,31). In our study, the reactivation rate of HBV infection was 33.3%, however, severe HBV flares were not observed. It was reported by Chuang et al. (32) that co-infected patients who achieved a SVR (compared HCV non-responders) were more likely to have reactivation of HBV (58.8% vs. 12.5%) or HBV flares (44.8% vs. 8.3%), and less likely to achieve HBV DNA clearance (8.3% vs. 100%). Yu et al. (27) found that the reactivation rate of HBV DNA (33.3%) with HCV SVR was significantly higher than that in patients without SVR (8.7%). In our study, the HBV DNA reactivation rate was 33.3% and there was no difference between with or without HCV SVR. Given this risk of HBV reactivation, clinicians must be cautious while treating co-infected patients with the combination of IFN and ribavirin.

There have been not any published studies regarding treatment of co-infected patients with the newer agents adefovir, entecavir and tenofovir. Marrone et al. (33) published a study of lamivudine with IFN for co-infected patients in which eight patients with dually active HBV and HCV were treated with 5 MU IFN and lamivudine (LAM) 100 mg/day for 12 months followed by LAM alone for 6 months. Three patients had clearance of HBV DNA (37.5%) and 3 had clearance of HBeAg. In addition, 4 patients (50%), persistent for 12 months post-treatment, also had clearance of HCV RNA (33). In our study, HBV DNA was found to be negative in all patients who received oral antiviral therapy, however, HBSAg clearance was not observed. HBeAg was negative in all patients receiving therapy.

It has been reported that the majority of Turkish patients with CHB were HBeAg negative (34). On the other hand, particularly in patients with HBV-dominant disease, oral antiviral agents may be useful.

For co-infected patients with double-active HBV/HCV, the addition of peg IFN-α and ribavirin oral nucleotide analogues seems to be a reasonable empirical option, but maintains optimal treatment regime uncertainty (1,4,5).

Conclusion

We found that the majority of the risk factors for HBV and HCV infected patients were: hemodialysis, blood transfusion, a surgical procedure, and dental treatment. In addition, HCV is predominant in cases where both HBV DNA and HCV RNA are positive. This was especially noticeable in hemodialysis patients in our study. At present, peg IFN plus ribavirin should be the treatment of choice in patients with dominant HCV replication. In addition, reactivation of HBV may occur after elimination of HCV, and therefore, close monitoring is recommended for both viruses even for patients with suppressed HBV DNA.

Study Limitations

The study was conducted before the start of the use of new treatments.

Ethics

Informed Consent: A retrospective study.

Peer-review: Externally and Internally peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions


Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References


