The role of magnetic resonance imaging in refining the diagnosis of suspected fetal renal anomalies
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Abstract

Objective: This prospective study was designed to detect the role of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in refining the diagnosis of suspected fetal renal anomalies detected during screening sonography.

Material and Methods: Fifty-four pregnant women, with suspected fetal renal anomalies detected during routine ultrasound screening, were rescanned by MRI to refine the diagnosis of the suspected renal anomalies. The pregnancy outcome was examined externally and by postnatal ultrasonography.

Results: Fifty-four cases of suspected renal anomalies detected during screening sonography of 8400 pregnant women (0.6%), were rescanned by MRI in this study. The MRI gave a similar diagnosis to postnatal ultrasonography in 46 cases (16 cases of hydronephrosis, 14 cases of Polycystic Kidney Disease (PCKD), 9 cases of Multicystic Kidney Disease (MCKD), 2 cases of Renal Agenesis (RA), 3 cases of single renal cyst and 2 cases of megacystis + hydrourerter), while it gave a different diagnosis (false positive) in 6 cases (4 cases of hydronephrosis diagnosed by MRI confirmed to be PCKD by postnatal ultrasound, also, 1 case of MCKD diagnosed by MRI confirmed to be hydronephrosis by postnatal ultrasound and 1 case of RA diagnosed by MRI confirmed to be normal by postnatal ultrasound).

The prenatal ultrasound gave a similar diagnosis to postnatal ultrasound in 43 cases (14 cases of hydronephrosis, 13 case of PCKD, 9 cases of MCKD, 2 cases of RA, 3 cases of single renal cyst and 2 cases of megacystis+hydrourerter), while it gave a different diagnosis (false positive) in 9 cases; 4 cases of hydronephrosis diagnosed by prenatal sonography confirmed to be PCKD by postnatal ultrasound, one case of PCKD+one case of MCKD, and one case of megacystis+hydrourerter confirmed to be hydronephrosis by postnatal ultrasound, while one case of MCKD diagnosed by prenatal sonography was confirmed to be PCKD by postnatal ultrasound and one case of RA diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound was confirmed to be normal by postnatal ultrasound.

Conclusion: The MRI can be used as a complementary adjunctive modality with excellent tissue contrast, especially in equivocal cases or inconclusive sonographic findings.
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Original Investigation
**Introduction**

Ultrasound is the primary imaging method of fetal anomalies. When fetal renal anomalies are identified by prenatal ultrasound, other additional structural abnormalities should be excluded and when isolated renal anomaly is identified, the renal tract architecture and liquor volume should be monitored (1, 2).

Oligohydramnios is commonly associated with fetal urinary tract anomalies (3), however, oligohydramnios and maternal obesity or both may limit the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound (1, 2).

Only 40-50% of cases of fetal malformations are detected prenatally by screening sonography (4). Therefore, the use of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is currently accepted as a valuable adjunctive technique for fetal imaging (5, 6). Fetal MRI was introduced in 1983, but the ultra-fast MRI sequences allows excellent detection of fetal anatomy without the need for maternal sedation (7-9). MRI as a complementary modality is more popular in such a setting because it enables the fetus to be viewed in multiple planes, irrespective of fetal lie and has excellent resolution (10, 11). This study was designed to detect the role of magnetic resonance imaging in refining the diagnosis of suspected fetal renal anomalies detected during screening sonography.

**Material and Methods**

Fifty-four pregnant women with suspected fetal renal anomalies, detected during screening ultrasound and more than 14 weeks gestation, were included in this study after informed consent of the patient and approval of the study by the institute ethics committee were obtained. A detailed history was taken from each patient regarding; the gestational age, exposure to possible teratogens and infections. Results of ultrasound or investigations carried out during this pregnancy, history of diabetes and past or family history of congenital fetal anomalies were also recorded. Patients with suspected fetal renal anomalies detected during screening ultrasound were rescanned by MRI to refine the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies.

Amniocentesis and chromosomal studies were performed for all cases with definite fetal renal anomalies. Ultrasound examinations were made using Philips HD9 with trans-abdominal probe 2-5 MHz and data were stored in digital form on an external hard disk for rendering and re-analyzing. MRI was carried out using a Philips Interna 1.5 Tesla superconducting magnetic resonance system with a 30 mT/min gradient for fetal kidney imaging. Axial, coronal and sagittal T2-weighted images were obtained.

The complete MRI procedure was explained to the pregnant women, no sedation was used. Written informed consent was obtained from each case before MRI. The patients were positioned in the supine or left lateral position (9-10).

The assessment was focused on the type of renal anomalies, presence of the anomalies on one side or both sides; presence of other associated fetal anomalies and the amniotic fluid volume. MRI was interpreted by a professor of Radiology who was blinded to ultrasound findings. The MRI findings were compared to ultrasound findings and a professor of Obstetrics & Gynecology determined the impact of the information added by MRI on the obstetric management. The pregnancy outcome was examined externally and by postnatal ultrasonography which was interpreted by the professor of Radiology. Data were collected, and then analyzed using SPSS Statistical package version 15, to detect the role of MRI in refining the diagnosis of suspected fetal renal anomalies detected during screening sonography.

**Results**

Fifty-four cases of suspected renal anomalies, detected during screening sonography of 8400 pregnant women (0.6%) over two years, were rescanned by MRI to refine the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies in this study. Forty-six (85.2%) cases of the antenatally diagnosed renal anomalies were unilateral and 8 (14.8%) cases were bilateral. The amniotic fluid volume was normal in 33 (61%) cases and decreased in 21 (39%) cases, Table 1.

Two extra-renal anomalies were detected in the studied cases; Arnold-Chiari malformation and congenital talipes equinovar-
The MRI was 100% sensitive, 99.9% specific with 89.5% Positive Predictive Value (PPV) and accuracy in the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies, while prenatal ultrasound was 100% sensitive, 99.9% specific with 85% PPV and accuracy (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Oligohydramnios is commonly associated with fetal urinary tract anomalies and the efficacy of ultrasound as a primary imaging tool in the diagnosis of fetal anomalies is decreased in the presence of oligohydramnios (12-15). Fifty-four (0.6%) cases of suspected renal anomalies, detected during screening sonography of 8400 pregnant women (0.6%) over two years, were rescanned by MRI to refine the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies in this study. Literature reports that the frequency of congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (CAKUT), which can be detected sonographically in unselected
populations, is about 0.1 to 0.7% (16) and the incidence of renal abnormalities detected by prenatal screening is 0.65% (17). The MRI diagnosed 29 (53.7%) cases of parenchymal renal disease, 20 (37%) cases of hydronephrosis (Figure 1) due to PUJ obstruction, 3 (5.6%) cases of single renal cyst (Figure 2) and 2 (3.7%) cases of megacystis+hydroureter (Figure 3) due to a posterior urethral valve (PUV).

Twenty-seven fetuses with suspected renal anomalies on ultrasound (study group) were rescanned by MRI by Gupta et al. (10) and they found that a total of 10 (37%) cases were associated with severe oligohydramnios (10). It was reported that unilateral hydronephrosis is the most common fetal renal anomaly and normal amniotic fluid volume is the usual finding, but oligohydramnios is associated with bilateral renal anomalies (18, 19). Also, it was reported that the presence of a normal amount of amniotic fluid does not eliminate the possibility of a urinary tract abnormality nor guarantee normal renal function after birth (20, 21).

Two extra-renal anomalies were detected in the studied cases; Arnold–Chiari malformation and congenital talipes equinovarus and both were detected with bilateral hydronephrosis. Two cases of chromosomal aberration (3.7%) were detected in the studied cases; one case of numerical abnormality or trisomy 18 with bilateral MCKD and one case of structural abnormality or Cri du chat syndrome. Most of the fetal renal anomalies are isolated anomalies but the prognosis may be altered considerably by the detection of other anomalies which could indicate a genetic disorder or syndrome (22). Bilateral renal agenesis should be suspected when severe oligohydramnios is noted and with bilateral RA there is an increased incidence of chromosomal abnormalities, or multiple malformation syndromes such as VATER (vertebrae, anus, trachea, esophagus and renal) association or Potter’s syndrome, the prognosis is uniformly lethal and the option of pregnancy termination should be offered (21).

During antenatal follow up of the prenatal diagnosed cases with renal anomalies, 2 cases of bilateral RA (Potter’s syndrome) died in utero = IUFD. Out of 52 live births 1 neonatal death occurred due to bilateral MCKD with trisomy 18 (Edwards’s syndrome). The most common type of fetal cystic kidney disease is MCDK (Potter type II), which is usually unilateral with normal amniotic fluid and good prognosis, but when bilateral MCKD is diagnosed, it is usu-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Prenatal ultrasound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy*</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity**</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity***</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
<td>99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV (Positive predictive value)</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV (Negative predictive value)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, * True positive + true negative / True positive + true negative + false positive + false negative X 100, ** True positive / True positive + False negative X 100, *** True negative / True negative + False positive X 100, PPV (Positive predictive value): True positive / True positive + False positive X 100, NPV (Negative predictive value): True negative / True negative + False negative X 100.
the prenatal ultrasound was compared with postnatal ultrasound findings; the same diagnosis was given in 43 cases, while it gave a different diagnosis (false positive) in 9 cases. The MRI was 100% sensitive, 99.9% specific with 89.5% PPV and accuracy in the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies; and gave a similar diagnosis to postnatal ultrasound in 46 cases, while it gave a different diagnosis (false positive) in 6 cases. Using the postnatal findings as the gold standard of assessment and diagnosis; the suspected renal anomalies during prenatal screening were confirmed by MRI in 16 cases out of 18 (90% sensitivity) in the Ibrahim et al study and in 19 cases out of 27 in the Gupta et al. (10) study (70.4% accuracy) (3).

Twenty-six fetuses with sonographically suspected congenital anomalies (CNS, abdominal, musculoskeletal, renal and Meckel Gruber syndrome) were rescanned by MRI to evaluate the contribution of adding MRI findings to sonographic data when assessing fetal anomalies and to determine how this addition may affect the management of pregnancy by Behairy et al. They concluded that the MRI can be used as a complementary modality to ultrasound in diagnosing fetal abnormality in which ultrasound findings are inconclusive or equivocal (23).

The prenatal ultrasound was 100% sensitive, 99.9% specific with 85% PPV and accuracy in the diagnosis of suspected renal anomalies; it gave similar diagnosis to postnatal ultrasound in 43 cases, while it gave a different diagnosis (false positive) in 9 cases. Abdelazim et al. (3) concluded that the prenatal ultrasound failed to detect 6 cases out of 18 fetal renal anomalies (72% sensitivity), also they concluded that the hydrenephrosis can be misdiagnosed by prenatal ultrasound as MCKD or PCKD.

Seventy-six (76) cases of Intrauterine Growth Retardation (IUGR) with oligohydramnios and sixteen cases out of 27 of structural defects represented bilateral renal agenesis were detected by Reuss et al. (24), 11 of them were diagnosed by prenatal ultrasound scanning (sensitivity of 76%). Shlossman et al. (21) reported that the MCKD can easily be diagnosed in utero by antenatal ultrasound with a 100% detection or accuracy rate. In this study, the MRI was more accurate (89.5%) than the prenatal sonography (85%) in diagnosing fetal renal anomalies,. It can be used as a complementary adjunctive modality with excellent tissue contrast especially in equivocal cases or inconclusive sonographic findings.

Although the MRI was more accurate than the prenatal sonography in diagnosing fetal renal anomalies in this study, it did not change the perinatal management of the studied cases. Oligohydramnios is commonly associated with fetal urinary tract anomalies, however, oligohydramnios and maternal obesity or both may limit the diagnostic accuracy of the prenatal ultrasound.
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