Dual effects of melatonin on uterine myoelectrical activity of non-pregnant rats
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Abstract

Objective: In this experimental study, we aimed to investigate the role of melatonin on uterine myoelectrical activity of non-pregnant rats.

Material and Methods: Forty-six female rats were assigned to six groups: (1) control; (0.2 mL 0.9% NaCl was injected intravenously (IV), n=6); (2) melatonin applied as 0.4 mg/kg/IV (n=8); (3) melatonin applied as 4 mg/kg/IV (n=8); (4) single dose of oxytocin (100 mU/kg) injected IV (n=8); (5) melatonin (0.4 mg/kg) plus oxytocin (100 mU/kg) (n=8); and (6) melatonin (4 mg/kg) plus oxytocin (100 mU/kg) injected IV (n=8). Each rat underwent a laparotomy, and uterine myoelectrical signals were recorded. The mean spectrum, averaged over the spectral content of signals in each group, was compared.

Results: Melatonin induced uterine myoelectrical activity in a dose-dependent manner. Treatment of melatonin after oxytocin suppressed the mean power of the signals. Serum melatonin concentrations were significantly higher in melatonin-treated rats.

Conclusion: Melatonin itself at two different dose levels was found to be equally effective in stimulating the uterine electrical signals, although oxytocin-induced uterine electrical activity was suppressed by melatonin. These findings merit further investigations on the possible beneficial role of melatonin in the treatment of conditions associated with abnormal uterine activity. (J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc 2014; 15: 86-91)
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Introduction

Plasma oxytocin throughout pregnancy is very low in the daytime and changes to the highest level at nighttime (1, 2). This change has been reported to parallel diurnal changes in uterine contractions (2). This is why the onset of labor, particularly in human primates, generally transpires during nighttime (3). It has been reported that uterine contraction frequency increases between 20:30 and 02:00 in humans, which interestingly coincides with maximal pineal melatonin secretion (4).

Melatonin is a peptide hormone secreted by the pineal gland in a circadian manner and is released into the vascular system. Its higher levels occur during midnight in all species (5). Several physiological processes, such as the sleep-wake cycle and endocrine function of various glands, have been regulated by melatonin secretion (6). It was suggested that serum melatonin levels differ throughout gestation. The first half of pregnancy is characterized by elevated levels of melatonin; however, between 20-36 weeks, melatonin levels decrease, and from that time to the end of pregnancy, the levels rise again (7).

In a few previous investigations, it has been shown that uterine myocytes express both melatonin-1 and melatonin-2 receptors (8). In recent years, several studies have been conducted for investigating a significant correlation between uterine contraction dynamics and melatonin level; nonetheless, inconsistent results have been reported (9-11). Despite these results being contradictory, in addition to melatonin’s prime effects on reproductive mechanisms, all of these studies indicate the existence of an uncharacterized relation between melatonin level and uterine contractility.

While the beneficial effects of melatonin in different tissues have been studied by researchers, to our best knowledge, there is no any information regarding the effects of melatonin in different concentrations on contraction patterns of the non-pregnant rat uterus (12-15). On the basis of this background, using an in vivo experimental model, we aimed to explore the physiological and pharmacological concentrations of melatonin on spontaneous and oxytocin-induced contraction patterns of a non-pregnant rat uterus.
Material and Methods

Animal Care
Forty-six adult female Wistar albino rats, aged 11-13 weeks and weighing 200-350 g, were purchased from the Inonu University Laboratory Animals Research Center and placed in a temperature (21±2°C)- and humidity-controlled (60±5%) room, in which a 12:12 h light: dark cycle was maintained. The rats had free access to standard dry pellets ad libitum and tap water to the end of the investigation. Each step of the study protocol was done in accordance with the animal research guidelines of the National Institutes of Health, and ethical approval was obtained from the local ethic committee of Inonu University Faculty of Medicine.

Before uterine exposition, all animals were synchronized hormonally in their 4-day estrus stages in order to eliminate the differences in steroid synthesis, and thus, uterine myoelectrical activity was recorded between the individual animals, and then the obtained signals were analyzed. Hormonal synchronization was done by subcutaneous injections of 55 mg/kg body weight estradiol with two doses 24 h apart, followed by one injection of 7.5 mg/kg body weight of progesterone 20 h after the last estradiol dose (16).

In order to determine the estrous cycle of each rat, vaginal smears of the rats were taken by a cotton swab daily; the swab was inserted into the vagina of rats and rotated 360° clockwise; then, the swab was smeared onto a glass slide. Smears were stained by Papanicolaou method and then evaluated through a Leica DFC280 light microscope by a pathologist who was blind to the groups. The estrous cycle was diagnosed as follows: proestrus period (epithelial cells with centrally nucleolated), estrus period (unucleated and cornified epithelial cells), metestrus period (a few cornified cells with leukocytes and mucus), and diestrus period (a number of epithelial cells, mucus, and leucocytes).

Drug Preparation and Treatment
All rats were first weighed and then anesthetized with xylazine (8 mg/kg) and ketamine hydrochloride (75 mg/kg), which were intraperitoneally (i.p.) administered. The rats were randomly assigned (using random number tables) to six groups as follows: (1) control; (0.2 mL 0.9% NaCl was injected intravenously (IV) via the jugular vein, n=6); (2) melatonin applied as 0.4 mg/kg/IV (physiological dose, n=8); (3) melatonin applied as 4 mg/kg/IV (pharmacological dose, n=8); (4) single dose of oxytocin (100 mU/kg) injected IV (n=8); (5) melatonin (0.4 mg/kg) plus oxytocin (100 mU/kg) (n=8); and (6) melatonin (4 mg/kg) plus oxytocin (100 mU/kg) injected IV (n=8). The drug treatments were administered as a bolus injection via a right jugular venous catheter, which was performed under general anesthesia. Melatonin (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, USA), used in this experiment, was dissolved in ethanol and diluted in saline to achieve a final concentration of 1% in ethanol.

Myoelectrical Activity Measurement
The recording of the electrical activity of uterine myofibrils was performed with a BIOPAC MP100 A-CE data acquisition system (model MP100; version 3.7.2; Goleta, CA, USA) with a 1000 pre-amplifier gain (this pre-amplifier gain was compensated later through signal processing) and a sampling frequency of 500 Hz. Each rat underwent a laparotomy, and bipolar electrodes were subserously inserted into the uterine horn 1 cm apart from each other. The reference electrode was inserted on the left leg of animals, and the uterine myoelectrical activity was recorded for at least 5 min under general anesthesia. The signal recording was started 60 seconds after the injection of medication in each group for the stabilization period. The signals were examined by a specific program that was developed by the same research group using the Mathlab (R6; The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA) environment.

The Signal Analysis Method
The signals recorded from the uterine horns of each rat were analyzed for assessment of the effect of the given remedy. Since the strategy in this study was not based on exciting and recording the response, it was not thought that the time domain signals would provide a good marker for the given treatment common to all subjects within one group. The temporal average of signals over the subjects in each group may cancel the characteristic representations that can be linked to the medication. This cancellation could be due to unpredictable phase shifts between individual signal components. However, it was considered that a sort of correlation that goes between all the signals in each group should exist and that this correlation signal should give information regarding the format of the uterine contractions common to the individual group under consideration. Obviously, a permutative correlation process intervening between each pair of uterine signals would take too much time. So, instead of the correlation process in the time domain, the mean spectrum averaged over the spectral content of the signals of subjects in each group was simply taken into account for the assessment. Although these signals are, in fact, time-varying signals that may not be really processed through Fourier transform, we only focused on the existence of a common spectral content rather than the temporal evolution of each component. Otherwise, the process would be meaningless. Basically, the mean spectrum averaged over the signals in each group was calculated as:

\[ S(f) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s_n(t) e^{-j 2 \pi ft} dt \]

(1) where \( s(t) \) stands for temporal uterine electrical activity and \( N \) is the number of subjects per group. The spectral signal, \( S(f) \), obtained from this process was considered as carrying characteristic features common to the group, which, therefore, should correlate to the medication given to the rats in the group. Note that the temporal signal is first converted into an analytical form through Hilbert transform, such that \( s(t)=u(t)+jH[u(t)] \). The Hilbert transform of a given uterine electrical signal \( u(t) \) can be defined as:

\[ H[u(t)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{u(t) \, dt}{t} \]
(2) where \( P_v \) is the Cauchy principal value and \( \tau \) is the time delay (17). Then, the analytical signals were filtered with a second-order Butterworth band-pass filter with cutoff frequencies of 0.3 Hz-45 Hz. Finally, the power spectra of these complex analytical uterine signals were accomplished using equation (1).

**Extraction of Melatonin in Serum Samples**

To measure the melatonin concentration, 100 \( \mu L \) 2 M tricarboxylic acid (TCA) was added to 1.0 mL of serum samples. After 10 min in an ice bath, the mixture was centrifuged (5000 g, 10 min), and the pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 7.0 by the addition of 10 \( \mu L \) 2 M NaOH. The aqueous sample was added to the top of disposable Waters Oasis HLB (1 cc, 30 mg), conditioned using methyl alcohol (1 mL) and water (1 mL). Organic extraction was performed with 2 mL dichloromethane. The extract was concentrated by evaporation in a nitrogen gas system. The residue was then reconstituted in 100 \( \mu L \) of mobile phase, and 20 \( \mu L \) of this solution was injected into the chromatograph.

**Chromatography**

Plasma melatonin concentration was determined by a sensitive high-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) method. The HPLC system was equipped with an LC-10 AD pump, fluorescence detector, and an SIL-AAAD Autosampler (Agilent, USA). Melatonin was separated using an ODS-2 (150x4.6 mm, 5 \( \mu m \)) column. The HPLC mobile phase consisted of 75 mM sodium acetate-acetonitrile (72:28, v/v) (pH 5.0). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. The fluorimetric detector was set at an excitation wavelength of 275 nm and an emission wavelength of 345 nm. The HPLC system was equipped with an LC-10 AD pump, fluorescence detector, and an SIL-AAAD Autosampler (Agilent, USA). Melatonin was separated using an ODS-2 (150x4.6 mm, 5 \( \mu m \)) column. The HPLC mobile phase consisted of 75 mM sodium acetate-acetonitrile (72:28, v/v) (pH 5.0). The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min. The fluorimetric detector was set at an excitation wavelength of 275 nm and an emission wavelength of 345 nm. The peak area was used as a measure of the detector response. The level of melatonin in serum samples was calculated by using an external melatonin standard.

**Statistical Analysis**

For detecting even minor effects, the required sample sizes used in this experiment were identified using statistical power analysis. The sample sizes necessary for a power of 0.80 were estimated using NCSS software. The normality of the distribution was controlled by Shapiro-Wilk test. According to the results obtained from the normality test, Kruskal-Wallis H test was used for the statistical analysis as appropriate. After a significant Kruskal-Wallis H test, Conover test was carried out. \( p<0.05 \) was accepted as statistically significant. The values were given as medians (Min-Max). Data were analyzed using the SPSS software program, version 18.0, for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

**Analysis of the Electrical Signal**

The recorded uterine electrical signals were vague; so, many statistical means were tried for classifying them, but the success rate was very low. So, these trials were not taken into account here. Figure 1 shows the typical uterine electrical activity of each group. These temporal signals show variations, even within groups, so that we could not succeed in classifying them. The group-based mean spectral signals obtained in this study are shown in Figure 2. These signals contain some information regarding the uterine contractions that may indirectly specify the format of the uterine contractions common to the group. As the mean spectrum signals given in Figure 2 were analyzed, one can easily notice the fundamental narrow spectral bands that may be named spectral components (each band may be composed of two or more very closely time-limited oscillating harmonics). From this point of view, Group 1 comes up with two significant spectral components centered around 0.6 Hz and 1.1 Hz. Group 2 also comes up with two components: one centered around 0.65 Hz and the other around 1.2 Hz. The significant spectral components for Group 3 were somewhat different, as three narrow-band components centered at 0.55 Hz, 0.67 Hz, and 0.75 Hz, respectively. These components were apparently much more powerful than the components that appeared in other groups. In the case of Group 4, the components were centered at 0.48 Hz, 0.8 Hz, and 1.21 Hz, respectively, with a much narrower band (as seen from the figure, each band almost contained a single oscillating component). As these three components were artificially created, the signal showed the characteristics of the natural burst demonstrated by the oxytocin-induced rats (Figure 3). These results clearly show the precision of the experiment conducted here. Interestingly, Group 5 demonstrated only one component at 0.55 Hz, while Group 6 also showed one component, located at 1 Hz.

**Serum Melatonin Results**

The mean melatonin levels in each group are shown in Table 1. In brief, both the endogenous physiological dose of melatonin (0.4 mg/kg) and pharmacological dose of melatonin (4 mg/kg) caused an elevation in serum melatonin concentrations when compared to the control group. There was no difference between the control and oxytocin groups. Supplementation of both melatonin doses to the oxytocin group showed significant elevation, according to the oxytocin-treated alone group.

**Discussion**

In this experimental study, it is clearly presented that melatonin treatment alone can induce uterine myoelectrical activity in a dose-dependent manner, similar to the oxytocin in non-pregnant rats. Another remarkable finding of the present study is that the treatment of melatonin after oxytocin caused the mean power of the signal to reduce, which thereby means that it inhibits the physiological contractile effect of oxytocin on the uterus. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that these observations have been presented in an in vivo experimental study.

Previously, Ayar et al. (18) reported that melatonin can prevent prostaglandin production and suppress native and oxytocin-stimulated uterine contraction. On the contrary, however, Ma¨rtensson et al. (19) reported that melatonin exposure after
noradrenalin administration to human myometrial strips can induce the contraction of myofibrils. More recently, Sharkey et al. (20) reported that melatonin acts synergistically via the melatonin receptor 2/phospholipase C/protein kinase C (MT2R/PLC/PKC) signaling pathway to markedly increase the sensitivity of myofibrils to oxytocin and augment oxytocin-stimulated contractility. In this report, they suggested that treatment with physiological concentrations of melatonin stimulates both basal and oxytocin-triggered contractility of uterine myofibrils. Contrary to the results above, Taketani et al. (21) recently suggested that melatonin protects ovarian granulosa cells from reactive oxygen species-dependent damage and stimulates progesterone production, which suppresses uterine contractility in women undergoing in vitro fertilization and embryo transfer procedures. In the present study, after oxytocin induction, melatonin treatment caused suppression of the rat’s uterine myoelectrical activity—that is, our finding is in concordance with those reporting the inhibitory role of melatonin on the uterine contractility induced by oxytocin.

The exact mechanisms for the contractile or relaxing actions of melatonin, however, remain to be elucidated. According to Schlabritz-Loutsevitch et al. (22), these differences are likely to relate to differences in the phase relation between nocturnal melatonin secretion and maximal myometrial contractile activity (high at night in primates; high during the day in rodents). Gimeno et al. (23), on the other hand, suggested that melatonin can have a suppressive effect on uterine contractility by inhibition of prostaglandin production in vitro. Furthermore, our data show for the first time that melatonin has a unique dual effect on the rat uterus in vivo, including the individual excitation of uterine myoelectrical activity and the suppression of oxytocin-induced uterine contractile signals in a dose-dependent manner (Table 1) (Figure 1, 2).

Although the signal components for Groups 5 and 6 seem to be powerful enough from a signal point of view, since they are single oscillating components, they do not able to generate impulsive components to stimulate myometrial muscular cells. As also seen from Figure 1, treatment with higher-dose melatonin after oxytocin caused the mean power of signal to reduce, which means that it inhibits the physiological effect of oxytocin. From a power viewpoint in Group 3, the pharmacological dose of melatonin provokes powerful components, but this may not lead directly to powerful contractions, due to possessing lower frequencies than those rats treated with oxytocin alone.

Similarly, Abd-Allah et al. (24) reported that melatonin pretreatment of rats for 15 consecutive days markedly reduced the number of uterine estrogen receptors by 59%, with a concomitant increase in progesterone receptors by 34.7%. Additionally, they showed prominent suppression in the uterus response to oxytocin in rats treated with melatonin. These observations may suggest that suppression of estrogenic activity on the myometrium is one of the possible mechanisms of a blocking effect of melatonin on oxytocin induced-uterine contractions.

In the current experiment, the effects of oxytocin and melatonin on the uterine myoelectrical activity of non-pregnant rats were investigated using signal processing techniques. Signal processing techniques provide useful information regarding uterine activity. The effectiveness of such methods has previously been discussed by our group (25). Therefore, the results obtained here have the potential to be translated into clinical therapy, as melatonin is a common product that is available as a dietary supplement that is suitable for use during pregnancy (26). In obstetrical practice, preterm delivery is one of the most common pregnancy complications and the leading factor of neonatal mortality and morbidity (27, 28). It has been concluded that the use of currently known tocolytic agents in cases of preterm deliveries is ineffective. However, the use of pharmacological doses of melatonin in preterm deliveries may be more effective. In order to further investigate the potential of melatonin use as a tocolytic agent, we have performed a pilot study (29).

### Table 1. The mean melatonin levels in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Melatonin level (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Different from (p&lt;0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (Control)</td>
<td>3.93 (1.96-28.52)</td>
<td>(2), (3), (5), (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (0.4 mg/kg melatonin)</td>
<td>554.87 (289.36-791.17)</td>
<td>(1), (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (4 mg/kg melatonin)</td>
<td>617.23 (288.00-754.28)</td>
<td>(1), (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (100 mU/kg oxytocin)</td>
<td>42.90 (3.73-693.50)</td>
<td>(2), (3), (5), (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (0.4 mg/kg melatonin + 100 mU/kg oxytocin)</td>
<td>513.21 (267.54-615.04)</td>
<td>(1), (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6 (4 mg/kg melatonin + 100 mU/kg oxytocin)</td>
<td>658.44 (458.05-1325.44)</td>
<td>(1), (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Typical uterine electrical signals recorded from non-pregnant rats](image-url)
labor does not reduce neonatal morbidity and mortality (29). Concerning both the results of previous studies and the results obtained in this study, as an alternative option, it might be valuable to suggest that melatonin itself or its agonists for treatment of pregnant women with premature uterine contractions. Since Parlakpinar et al. (30) reported that the pineal gland atrophies and its melatonin secretion significantly decreases in geriatric cases, who are not capable of pregnancy, a new experimental study can be planned in pinealectomized rats for evaluating the effects of the lack of chronic physiological melatonin on uterine tissue.

In the current study, also, the mean melatonin levels among the groups were determined for reflecting the basal melatonin status (for Groups 1 and 4) and the response sensitivity of melatonin treatments in the melatonin alone and combined groups (for Groups 1, 2, 5, and 6) (Table 1). Therefore, the discussion section was designed and more detailed, according to the obtained serum melatonin results and uterine myoelectrical signals. In fact, this experimental study has a number of limitations, such as the small size of groups and lack of intrauterine pressure measurement. The small size issue was due to our ethical concern; however, much larger numbers would be useful to make a brilliant conclusion on the topic. Evaluation of intrauterine pressure together with the results obtained through signal processing would certainly be more valuable to discuss directly the effects of melatonin on either spontaneous or oxytocin-induced uterine contractions.

As a final conclusion, it is possible to report that melatonin alone stimulates, but melatonin after oxytocin treatment reduces the myoelectrical activity in non-pregnant rats, which demonstrates the dual effect of melatonin on the contractility of the uterus.
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