Introduction

Although ureteral duplication is rather common, ureteral triplication is a rare congenital anomaly of the urinary tract. Ureteral duplication is always coexistent with duplication of the kidney. Since the first description of ureteral triplication by Wrany in 1870, only about 100 cases have been reported in the literature. The presence of two buds from the mesonephric duct and premature fission of a single bud can explain the occurrence of double and bifid ureters (1, 3).

Case Report

A five-year-old girl with a history of recurrent urinary tract infections, dysuria and lower abdominal pain was referred to our department. On physical examination, the body temperature was 37.2°C. There was no abdominal tenderness and rigidity. White blood cell count was increased (15.7x10^9/l) in the routine blood examination. Urine analysis showed many leukocytes and erythrocytes. Ultrasonography showed bilateral duplication of the renal pelvis and lower pole hydronephrosis of the right kidney. The patient was given intravenous antibiotics until the urinary tract infection was controlled and urine analysis returned to normal. An intravenous pyelography (IVP) was then performed. The IVP showed duplication of both the right ureter and renal pelvis. Also, it showed triplication of the left side. On the right side, the lower pole of the renal pelvis and ureter were dilated (Figure 1). Therefore a voiding cystourethrogram was performed. On the cystourethrogram, there was a vesicoureteral reflux at the lower pole of the right kidney. There was no reflux on the left side. Two ureteral orifices were seen on both sides of the bladder by cystoscopy. Right retrograde pyelography showed that the ureter from the lower pole was inserted superiorly and laterally to the ureter from the upper pole (Weiger-meyer Law). Left retrograde pyelography showed type II ureteral triplication according to Smith’s classification (Figure 2) (2). The patient electively underwent right lower to upper ureteroureterostomy and excision of the distal ureter. She had a complete recovery.

Discussion

Ureteral triplication is a very common anomaly of the ureter, but triplication of the ureter is quite rare. Since the first description of ureteral triplication by Wrany in 1870, about one hundred cases have been described in literature (1). This congenital anomaly is due to the presence of three buds from the mesonephric duct or two buds with early fission of one of them. The presence of two buds from the mesonephric duct and premature fission of a single bud can explain the occurrence of double and bifid ureters (1, 3).

Ureteral triplication is divided according to Smith’s four grade classification into; type 1: three ureters from the kidney, with three draining orifices to the bladder (complete triplication), type 2: three ureters from the kidney with two draining orifices (incomplete triplication), type 3: three ureters from the kidney with only one draining orifice (trifid ureter), type 4: two ureters
Both Ureteral Triplication and Duplication from the kidney, one of which becomes an inverse Y-bifurcation, resulting in three draining orifices below (Figure 2) (3). A triplication of the ureter with a blind proximal end of one, diagnosed on retrograde pyelography, was described without any reference to Smith's classification (4).

The presenting symptoms are the same as in duplication; urinary tract infection, urinary incontinence and flank or abdominal pain. Incontinence is usually an evidence of ureteric ectopia (5).

In most cases, ureteral duplication is unilateral. Occasionally, it is bilateral. However, bilateral ureteral triplication is rarer than bilateral ureteral duplication. Only 5 cases of bilateral ureteral triplication have been reported (6). Furthermore, ureteral triplication is occasionally an isolated anomaly (7). It has been reported in the literature that ureteral triplication may be complicated with contralateral ureteral duplication, vesicoureteral reflux, ureteroceles, crossed ectopic fused kidneys, ureteropelvic obstruction and duplication of the bladder (6, 8). In addition, ureteral quadruplication has been described and it is an extremely unusual developmental abnormality (9). In our case, there was ureteral triplication with contralateral ureteral duplication. At the same time, renal pelvises were triple on the left side and were duplex on the other. Additionally, there was a vesicoureteral reflux on the lower pole of the duplicated side. The triplicated side was not accompanied by any other urinary tract pathology. Therefore, we operated only on the duplicated ureter which caused the vesicoureteral reflux.

All of these variable conditions should always be considered once ureteral triplication has been diagnosed and every patient with ureteral triplication requires an individual strategy for management and treatment.

The basic problem of triplication is its own correct diagnosis, because it occurs in different forms, and it may be misdiagnosed as duplication. Usually it is detected incidentally during surgery and autopsy. Ultrasonography and computed tomography are useful in the diagnosis of triplex ureters, but intravenous pyelography may be more useful in completely defining the abnormal anatomy (10). In the differential diagnosis of congenital anomalies of the urinary tract, especially when recurrent urinary tract infection, reflux, hydroureteronephrosis or urinary incontinence are present, ureteral triplication should be considered along with the other anomalies.
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**Figure 1. Intravenous Pyelography of the patient. 1-A: Left ureteral triplication with right ureter duplication and dilated lower pole of right kidney. 1-B: Cropped and enlarged version of figure 1A**

**Figure 2. Smith’s classification of ureteral triplication (2)**