Retrospective Analysis of Postchemotheraphy Retroperitoneal Lymph Node Dissection (PC-RPLND) Results in Patients with Non-Seminomatous Testicular Cancers
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What's known on the subject? and What does the study add?
Percentage of reduction in mass size, presence of teratom or not in primary tumor and IGCCC risk groups, also local prognostic factors of primary tumor are not predictable of PC-RPLND pathologies.

Objective
Resection of residual masses after chemotheraphy in patients with nonseminomatous testicular cancer is recommended. In our study, we evaluated the patients' data underwent post chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (PC-RPLND).

Materials and Methods
Patients with advanced staged tumors and Non-seminomatous germ cells and having residual mass after chemotheraphy whose tumor markers returned to normal were selected in the study. Pre-chemotherapy mass size, post-chemotherapy mass size, decrease rate in the mass size, prognostic factors of local tumor, International Germ Cell Collaborative Classification (IGCCC) risk groups, and teratoma existence in primary pathology, PC-RPLND pathologies were compared for fibrozis, terotom and viable tumor presence. In addition, patients with and without intraoperative complications were compared in terms of the same parameters. Comparisons were conducted using Statistical Packages for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Introduction

Testicular cancer comprises approximately 1-1.5% of all cancers in men and 5% of urological tumors. Five cases in 100,000 persons per year is seen in Western countries (1,2). While testicular tumors can be in different histological types, 90-95% consists of germ cell tumors (2). In clinical staging made after radical orchiectomy of non-seminomatous germ cell testicular tumors, 60-70% retroperitoneal enlarged lymph nodes are scanned in abdominal computed tomography (CT). While RPLND can be proposed for patients with normal tumor markers in stage 2A and in limited stage 2B but chemotherapy can be conducted for the same patients. Patients with continuous elevating tumor markers and stage 2C and over are suggested chemotherapy as initial therapy (3,4).

Complete response is seen in 70% of patients after chemotherapy and mass disappears and tumor markers return to normal (5). Surgery is unnecessary in patients whose tumor markers returned to normal and without metastatic lesions. During the follow-up period relaps occurs in only 3-5% of these patients (6). In 50% of patients with the remaining mass, viable tumor cells and teratoma can be observed. If teratoma is left untreated, it may compress adjacent organs by growing slowly and also may transform to secondary cancer by showing malignant transformation at the rate of 3-6% (7). Surgery is the only treatment option in teratoma due to the chemotherapy resistance. Untreated live cells can grow and metastasize. All detectable residual tumor resection is recommended (2,3).

Despite all improvements in surgical techniques, RPLND, still is a high morbidity surgery. Therefore it is important to select patients with only necrosis for eliminating the need for surgery. Residual tumor size, rate of mass decrease after chemotherapy, teratoma presence in primary orchiectomy specimen, International Germ Cell Collaborative Classification (IGCCC) risk groups are markers to predict the residual tumor pathology.
pathology results were mixed germ cell tumor in 20 patients (mght), embryonal carcinoma (EC) in 4 patients and yolk sac tumor in 2 patients. Poor prognostic factors were detected in 11 patients. Pre-chemotheraphy mean mass size was 69.3 (30-125) mm. While post-chemothrapy mean mass size was 41.2 (12-120) mm. No decrease in 8 patients’ mass size was observed (Table 1).

Live tumor was detected in 4 (15%), teratoma in 14 (54%), necrosis in 8 (31%) patients who underwent PC-RPLND. PC-RPLND pathology results of 15 patients whose orchietomy pathology was without teratoma, 6 patients had teratoma, 7 necrosis, 3 viable tumors. While PC-RPLND pathology of 11 patients whose orchietomy pathology with teratoma was teratoma in 8, necrosis in 2 and viable tumor in 1 patients. Teratoma was detected in 3, necrosis in 3, viable tumor in 1 of seven patients whose orchietomy pathology had EC more than 50%. Necrosis was detected in one patient whose orchietomy pathology had EC more than 50% and vascular invasion. Necrosis was diagnosed in one of 2 patients who only had vascular invasion, while teratoma was observed in the other one. Teratoma was detected in 6, viable tumors in 2, necrosis in 1 of nine patients without these risk factors (Table 2). Necrosis in 7, teratoma in 8, viable tumors in 2 was found in 17 good risk group patients according to IGCCC. Teratoma in 2, live tumors in 1 was observed in 3 intermediate risk group patients. Teratoma in 3, necrosis in 1, viable tumors in 1 seen in 5 poor risk group patients (Table 1).

Teratoma was detected in 6, live tumors in 2 of 8 patients whose mass size didn’t decrease. There was only one patient whose mass size decreased more than 90% and PC-RPLND pathology was necrosis. Two patients underwent metastasectomy surgery for residual mass in lung. One of them had necrosis and the other teratoma.

During the surgery, ureteral avulsion in one patient, renal vein injury in other, vena cava injury in 4 patients were exprienced and primarily repaired. Inferior mesenteric artery was cut because of extreme adherence to vena cava. Prolonged lymphatic drainage in 4 patients was resolved with conservative treatment. Partial resection could be conducted in two patients because the mass was too large and extreme adherence to the vena cava. Live tumor cells were detected in these two patients and they died despite of additional chemotherapy. There were no significant differences in post chemotherapy mass size and live tumor rates between the patients with and without complications.

Patients were surveilled for 27 means (3-60) months.

Discussion

Treatment of residual mass after chemotheraphy in patients with advanced-stage testicular cancer is also a problem due to morbidity along with the difficulty of surgery. Therefore, it is important to find the parameters avoiding the surgery. Because in patients with necrosis and fibrosis, cure is possible after surgery. As in the past studies on PC-RPLND necrosis, teratoma and viable tumor rates were reported as similarly as 30% (8), in recent studies a decrease in viable tumor detection rate was seen (9,10,11). This depends on the phase shift of testicular tumor and the chemistry efficiency. In the recent publications 35-50% necrosis, 30-60% teratoma and viable tumor in the remaining was reported (12,13,14,15,16,17). Our data is compatible with the current literature, necrosis, teratoma, and viable cell rates were found as 31%, 54% and 15%, respectively.

Studies have been conducted to predict necrosis presence in the residual mass by using such parameters like; the data obtained by imaging methods, characteristics of the primary tumor and response to treatment. In studies investigating the live tumor presence by PET-CT 70% sensitivity, 48% specificity, 59% positive predictive value and 51% negative predictive value was obtained. PET-CT revealed no uptake in patients with teratoma (18). While there are studies arguing that low attenuation levels in CT predict necrosis, but there are also other studies that don’t support this idea (10,19,20). Therefore, conclusion was that the results of any imaging and prediction approaches are not yet standardized.

| Table 1. Clinical and histopathological features of testis tumors |
|------------------|---------|---|
|                  | n      | % |
| Orchiectomy pathology |        |   |
| EC              | 4      | 15 |
| Yolc sac        | 2      | 8  |
| MGCT            | 20     | 77 |
| IGCCC Tumor risk group |    |     |
| Good            | 17     | 68 |
| Intermediate    | 3      | 12 |
| Poor            | 5      | 20 |
| Local prognosis factors |     |   |
| Vascular invasion | 2      | 11 |
| EC              | 7      | 37 |
| VI+EC           | 1      | 5  |
| No              | 9      | 47 |
| Teratoma in primary tumor pathology |       |   |
| Yes             | 11     | 42 |
| No              | 15     | 58 |
| Decrease in mass size |     |   |
| ≤<90%          | 16     | 64 |
| ≥≥90%          | 1      | 4  |

| Table 2. Post chemotherapy retroperitoneal lymph node dissection (PC-RPLND) histopathology and primary tumor histopathologic features |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------------|
|                  | Necrosis| Teratoma| Viable tumor  |
| Local prognosis factors |        |        |               |
| Vascular invasion | 1       | 1       | -             |
| EC              | 3       | 3       | 1             |
| VI+EC           | 1       | 1       | -             |
| No              | 1       | 6       | 2             |
| Teratoma in primary tumor pathology |     |       |               |
| No              | 7       | 6       | 3             |
| Yes             | 2       | 8       | 1             |

EC: Embrional carcinoma, MGCT: Mix Germ Cell Tumor, VI: Vascular invasion
sufficient to diagnose a viable tumor. There are also studies that have evaluated the fact whether residual mass size is sufficient for avoiding surgery. In Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) study: 3 viable tumors, 5 teratomas were found in 39 patients whose residual mass size smaller than 1.5 cm (21). In another series consisting of 87 patients whose masses were smaller than 20 mm, viable tumor in 7%, teratoma in 26% reported. Whereas in masses less than 5 mm were reported to be viable tumor (22). In a series of 154 patients in (MSKCC) whose residual mass 1 cm or smaller; viable tumor in 1%, teratoma in 22% (23) were found. PC-RPLND urogenile patients with residual mass smaller than 10 mm; teratoma in 11 and viable tumor seen in 1 of the 37 patients (24). Therefore, no safe residual mass size determines that support surgery was unnecessary evaluating tumor presence according to residual mass after chemotheraphy in the studies. In our survey there were 5 cases smaller than 15 mm; necrosis was reported in 3, teratoma in 2 patients. In 7 cases mass size 20 mm or smaller; teratoma in 3, viable tumor in 1 and necrosis in 3 cases were found.

While IGCCC risk categories can provide predictions regarding survival rates, but in adequate to predict the presence of viable tumor masses. In all risk groups 16% average residual viable tumor seen (16). In our series, there was no difference in terms of viable tumor detection rates between IGCCC risk groups. In good risk group necrosis in 7 patients, teratoma in 9, viable tumor in 2 patients was detected. In the intermediate-risk group, teratoma in 2 and 1 viable tumor was noted. In poor risk groups 1 necrosis, 2 teratoma and 1 viable tumor was found. Considering the patients according to the presence of pathological poor prognosis factors of the primary tumor, presence or absence of risk factors were unpredictable. Predicting necrosis in residual masses via multivariate analysis by using parameters like: normal tumor markers, increased LDH, small pre-chemotherapy mass size and significant post-chemotherapy decrease, reveals necrosis possibility the accuracy of 70% or more is seen in 4% of patients and clinically useless (25,26,27).

Teratoma was found in 67-86% RPLND pathology of patients whose orchietomy pathology was teratoma (23). While in the patients without teratoma in the orchietomy pathology teratoma was found in the RPLND pathology. There are studies that show teratoma absence in the primary pathology can show teratoma absence in the residual mass. Donohue et al. asserted that RPLND is unnecessary in patients whose orchietomy pathology result is without teratoma and tumor markers are normal with more than 90% decrease in mass (10). However, in the same studies of the group and other groups 26-34% teratoma presence observed after PC-RPLND in patients whose primary tumor pathology was without teratoma (6,21,28). In our series, in patients with teratoma in the orchietomy pathology, teratoma presence rate in PC-RPLND was 72%. The teratoma rate of patients whose orchietomy pathology without teratoma was 40%.

No relapse is observed in 80% of patients whose PC-RPLND pathology results were teratoma.

Viable tumor in 50%, teratoma in 33% and malignant transformed teratoma in 17% were seen in patients with relapse. As the majority of relapses were seen in lungs, retrocrural areas and liver, supports the idea that the teratoma in the retroperitoneum can be curable by surgery (29). Following two additional cycles of chemotheraphy in 70% of viable tumor detected patients cure is possible after residual mass completely resected. However, recurrence was observed in all those patients that did not undergo chemotherapy and 90% of those with partial resection (30). In our study, two patients with partial resection died despite of chemotherapy because of progressive disease.

PC-RPLND should be performed as soon as possible after a diagnosis of residual masses. In a study comparing surgery before or after mass progression, early surgery group provides significant advantages of progression and cancer-specific survival (31). Our patients were evaluated after chemotherapy with CT and were operated within fifteen days after the mass was observed.

Classically PC-RPLND is conducted by bilaterally full template technique. Simple excision of masses is unacceptable method. Also modified templates are unsuitable, because there is a possibility of viable tumor existence outside the template. In Wood's study teratoma or viable tumor was found outside the template in 8% of patients (32). However, there are attempts to reduce the surgery morbidity. There are studies on using modified template because of necrosis detection after intraoperative frozen results, depending on metastases sites, primary tumor's routine metastasis location There are also studies on nerve spared bilateral full template resection (14,15,33,34). Aprikian et al. extracting frozen section from the mass during limited surgery in case of necrosis presence and bilateral full template surgery in case of teratoma or viable tumor. Recurrence was seen 14% in limited surgery group and 26% in the full template group no recurrence was noted in retroperitoneal section (35). Similarly Herr made limited RPLND to patients with necrosis in frozen section and 14 relapse was seen during follow up period of six years and only 2 had recurrence has been reported in the retroperitoneum. The possibility of major intraoperative vascular injury during surgery in this manner is indicated to reduce at 80% rate (33).

Studies on determining surgery limits according size of the residual mass and primary spread area were also conducted. In Indiana University in a series of 100 patients who had <5 cm mass in the primary tumor spread area, relapse was noted in 4 patients at the end of the 32 months follow-up period and with limited RPLND and all of them were beyond the bilateral template field (15). Cologne study group divided the mass size into tree group as ≤2 cm, 2–5 cm, and >5 cm and conducted modified RPLND in the 1st group, full template RPLND in the second group who had mass in the interaortocaval area, and modified RPLND for those with paracaval and paraortic, full template RPLND in primary off tumor span patients. Full template RPLND is always conducted for group 3. studies revealed that complications are less significant in the modified groups. At the end of average 4-year follow-up period 3 of 4 recurrences developed in areas outside the full template area, the other recurrence was found intemate area in one of the patientin modified group. While antegrade ejaculation is preserved in 85% modified group, but in 75% loss in full template group (36). Five-year recurrence-free survival rate was 98% and in nerve preserved PC-RPLND in a study, antegrade ejaculation rates were reported as 79% (33).

However, although acceptable results, proper patient selection should be emphasized and redo RPLND’s survival rates are significantly lower. Moreover, in cases with large residual masses counterparty involvement is 2.6-8% after full template RPLND (24,32,37). All the
patients were subjected to bilaterally full template RPLND without nerve sparing.

Various complications can be encountered due to desmoplastic reaction and residual mass location. Stephenson reported 5% nephrectomy rate in the 650 patients in PC-RPLND series (38). In our series nephrectomy was conducted in any case, but there was significant decrease in ipsilaterally renal function due to the ligation of the anterior branch of the renal artery in one patient. Ureteral avulsion occurred in 1 patient and and was urgently repaired Except these two major complications, there wasn’t any other complications requiring additional interventions.

Conclusions

The results of our study is compatible with the current literature. The decrease rate in mass size, the lack of teratoma in orchiectomy material, IGCCC risk groups, local prognostic factors of tumor are not predictive in determining the pathology of PC-RPLND. These patients should be treated aggressively because of the progressive nature of the disease and may be fatal.
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