Cytotoxic Activity of Resveratrol in Different Cell Lines Evaluated by MTT and NRU Assays
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Oxidative stress is the state of imbalance between the level of antioxidant defence system and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and is involved in the progression of several diseases such as inflammation, cancer, neurodegenerative disorders and cardiovascular diseases. It is suggested that plant polyphenols may act as antioxidants and therefore it has anti-cancer activities. Resveratrol (RV), is a naturally occurring polyphenolic compound which is found in many plant species including grapes, nuts, blueberries and raspberries. Data indicated that it has anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer activities. But there are also some studies reported that RV has not protective effects against cancer. In this study, the cytotoxicity of RV in human breast adenocarcinoma (MDA-MB 231), human cervical cancer (HeLa) and Chinese hamster lung fibroblast (V79) cells were evaluated by Neutral Red uptake assay (NRU) and MTT (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assays after incubation at 24 h. We obtained more or less the same results by two cytotoxicity assays. In the concentrations between 2-400 µM, RV seemed not to induce a pronounced cytotoxicity in all cell types. Even at highest concentrations, it showed almost no cytotoxic effects. So the IC50 values were not calculated at the studied concentrations.
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Resveratrolün Farklı Hücre Hatlarında MTT ve NKA Yöntemleri ile Değerlendirilen Sıtotsik Etkileri
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INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the principal cause of death worldwide with more than 3 million new cases and 1.7 million deaths each year. According to the recent International Agency for Research on Cancer report, breast cancer was the most common cancer diagnosed in women (151 countries worldwide) and the second most common cancer type was reported to be cervix cancer (30 countries in worldwide) (1).

Oxidative stress is the state of imbalance between the level of antioxidant defence system and production of reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are continuously produced during normal physiologic events and can easily initiate the peroxidation of membrane lipids, leading to the accumulation of lipid peroxides. ROS have been implicated in more than 100 diseases including different cancer types (2). All aerobic organisms have antioxidant defenses, including antioxidant enzymes and antioxidant food constituents to remove or repair the damaged molecules (3). Natural products are widely being used as dietary supplements for health protective effects and epidemiological studies indicate that populations consuming high levels of plant derived foods have low incidence rates of various cancers (4). Resveratrol (RV); 3,4,5-trihydroxy-trans-stilbene, is a naturally occurring polyphenolic compound containing a stilbene structure similar to estrogen and produced by as a response to stress, injury, ultraviolet and fungal infection in many plant species including grapes, nuts and berries (Figure 1) (5, 6). It was identified in 1963 as the active constituent of the dried roots of Polygonum cuspidatum, a plant used in traditional Chinese and Japanese medicine against suppurative dermatitis, gonorrhea and hyperlipemia (7-9). In addition that, it was used in India for herbal preparation named “Darakchasava” since ancient times (10). However its old history, first real interest in resveratrol came in 1992 when it was assumed to explain of cardio-protective effects of wine (11). It was suggested to be the solution to the “French Paradox”, a term used to describe the observation that the French population had a very low incidence of cardiovascular disease than other European countries despite a high consumption of saturated fat (12). Afterwards, it has become an interesting and attracting subject for the research. Data showed that RV has anti-oxidant, anti-inflammatory, anti-cancer activities (13-15). It has been shown that RV has cytotoxic potential in human breast adenocarcinoma (MCF-7), rat brain glioma (C6), human neuroblastoma (SH-SY5Y), African green monkey kidney fibroblast (CV1-P), mouse macrophage (RAW264.7), mouse fibroblast (3T6) and the human promyelocytic leukemia tumor (HL60) cells (16-19). But studies about RV’s cytotoxicity using HeLa, V79 and MDA-MB 231 cell lines is limited and also there are some studies reporting that RV has not cytotoxic effects against cancer cells. Molecules which can inhibit cell growth in tumor cells, without significantly affecting the viability of normal cells, represent potential anticancer agents. Therefore, in this study, we evaluated the cytotoxic property of RV against two different cancer cell lines (human breast adenocarcinoma MDA-MB 231) and human cervical cancer (HeLa)) and one healthy cell line (Chinese hamster lung fibroblast (V79)) by Neutral Red Uptake (NRU) and 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays. Also another aim of this study was to compare the sensitivity of the two cytotoxicity assays.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals

The chemicals used in the experiments were purchased from the following suppliers: fetal calf serum (FCS), trypsin-EDTA, penicillin-streptomycin from Biological Industries (Kibbutz Beit-Haemek, Israel), minimum essential medium (MEM), dimethyl sulfoxide
(DMSO), Dulbecco’s phosphate buffered saline (DPBS), ethanol, neutral red (NR), MTT and RV from Sigma (St Louis, USA), acetic acid from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Cell culture

MDA-MB 231, HeLa and V79 cells were seeded in 75 cm² flasks in 20 mL MEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin and then grown for 1 day in an incubator at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere supplemented with 5% CO₂.

NRU assay

NRU assay was performed following the protocols described by Virgilio et al. (2004) and Saquib et al. (2012) (20, 21). After disaggregation of cells with trypsin/EDTA and resuspension of cells in medium, a total of 10⁵ cells/well were plated in 96 well tissue-culture plates. After 24 h incubation, the different concentrations (2-400 µM) of RV in medium were added. The cells were incubated for 24 h (2 cell cycle) at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in air, then the medium was aspirated. The cells were washed twice with DPBS and incubated for an additional 3 hours in the medium supplemented with NR (50 µg/mL of stock in medium) was added (200 µL/well). After the medium was discarded, the cells were rinsed five times with warm DPBS (37°C) to remove the nonincorporated excess dye and 200 µL of “fixation solution” (50% ethanol, 1% acetic acid, and 49% distilled water) was added to each well to fix the cells and bring NR into solution. The plates were shaken for 20 min, and the absorbance of the solution in each well was measured in a microplate reader at 540 nm and compared with the wells containing untreated cells.

MTT assay

MTT assay was performed by the method of Mosmann (1983) with the modifications Kuzma et al. (2012) (22, 23). Following disaggregation of cells with trypsin/EDTA and resuspension of cells in medium, a total of 10⁵ cells/well were plated in 96 well tissue-culture plates. After 24 h incubation, cells were exposed to the different concentrations of RV (2-400 µM) in medium for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ in air. After exposure, the medium was aspirated and MTT (5 mg/mL of stock in DPBS) was added (20 µL/well in 200 of cell suspension), and cells were incubated for an additional 4 h with MTT dye. At the end of incubation, the dye was carefully taken out and 100 µL of DMSO was added to each well. The plates were shaken for 5 min. The absorbance of the solution in each well was measured in a microplate reader at 540 nm.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed three times in duplicate and cell viability was plotted as percent of control (assuming data obtained from the absence of RV as 100%). Data was statistically analysed with the independent student’s t-test by SPSS for Windows 20.0 computer program. p Value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. IC50 values represent the concentrations that reduced the mean absorbance of 50% of those in the untreated cells.

RESULTS

MDA-MB 231, HeLa and V79 cells were exposed to RV (2-400 µM) for 24 h and cytotoxicity was determined with the NRU and the MTT assays. We obtained more or the less same results by two cytotoxicity assays. In MDA-MB 231 cells, RV has almost no cytotoxic effects at the 2, 5, 10 and 25 µM concentrations. The cell viability seemed to decrease at higher concentrations than 50 µM. At the maximum concentration, cell viability was decreased to 55% (Figure 2). In HeLa cells, RV has almost no cytotoxic effects at the 2, 5 and 10 µM concentrations. The cell viability decreased slightly at higher concentrations than 25 µM and at the 400 µM, cell viability was decreased to 57% (Figure 3). No cytotoxic activity of RV was observed in healthy V79 cells at the studied concentrations. Even at the highest concentration, cell viability was higher than 60% (Figure 4). Since RV has not strong cytotoxic activity against these cell lines, the IC50 values were not obtained in the studied concentrations by the two assays for 24 h incubation in these cell lines.
Cancer is a global problem and each year 14 million people are diagnosed with cancer and 8 million of them is resulted with death (24). Host and environmental factors such as diet and lifestyle, are effective factors in cancer development (25). In recent years, many studies have been focused on the cancer therapy with natural products. Current literature about anti-cancer properties of natural products is contradictory. There are some evidences on the effects of these products on cancer cells. But it is still unanswered argument whether it will help to the treatment of some cancer including breast and cervical cancers or not. Resveratrol (RV) is a natural polyphenolic compound and found
in many plant species. It is suggested to show various biological activities such as cardioprotective, antiplatelet, anti-inflammatory, neuroprotective, antiviral and anti-cancer (26, 27). But it can also exhibit pro-oxidant activities depending on the concentration and cell type, especially in the presence of transition metal ions, that cause oxidative damage in cellular DNA (28). Cancer chemopreventive and anticancer effects is one of the most blazing activities of RV. These effects were first described by Jang et al. in 1997 and since then, there is a growing interest regarding clinical implications of RV on cancer treatment (29). RV is suggested to show anticancer effects by targeting the cell growth, inflammation, apoptosis, invasion and metastasis (30).
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**Figure 4.** Comparison of MTT and NRU assay in V79 cells after exposure to RV for 24 h; data presented as percentage of control ±SEM; SEM: standard error mean.

Most of the studies about RV’s beneficial effects are based on *in vitro* studies. In a previous study, the cytotoxic effects of RV were increased in a dose depending manner on 3T6 and HL60 cells which was evaluated by MTT assay and Trypan Blue staining (16). In a different study, SH-SY5Y and CV1-P cells were incubated with RV for 12 h and 24 h respectively and cytotoxicity was measured by MTT assay. It has been shown that RV significantly decreased the cell viability of CV1-P cells but had no significant cytotoxic effect on SH-SY5Y cells, although there was a slight decrease at 50 and 100 µM concentrations (17). The cytotoxic effect of RV was evaluated in C6 cells after incubation for 24 h and 72 h. The cell viability was decreased with the increasing concentrations of RV and the IC50 values of RV were found to be 85 and 15 µM respectively in this cell line (18). It was observed that RV reduced cell viability approximately 35% measured by MTT assay in RAW264.7 cells after 24 h treatment (19). In another study, it is claimed that RV enhanced the anti-cancer effect induced by As2O3 *in vitro* (31). It is suggested that RV’s anticancer effects are based on its antioxidant activities. On the other hand, a recent study showed that there was no difference in antioxidant activities between red wine and the red wine enriched 10-fold of resveratrol (32). In our study, we chose MDA-MB 231 and HeLa cells as a cancer cell lines because breast and cervix cancer are the most common cancer types in women in the world. We found that RV seemed not to induce a pronounced cytotoxicity in all cell types at the studied concentrations after 24 h incubation. Recently Guisado et al. have showed that RV has cytotoxic effects in MDA-MB 231 cells but this cell line was almost insensitive to any concentrations of RV used for treatments shorter than 36 h (33). This result was consistent with our study. But inconsistent with the limited studies about cytotoxicity of...
RV in HeLa cells, we showed that it has not strong cytotoxic effect in this cell line (26). We choosed V79 cell as a healthy cell line. This cells are widely used in toxicity studies and they can keep basal cell functions in normal cell culture conditions since they do not have p450 enzyme system (34,35). Our results showed that the cytotoxic effects of RV were increased in a dose dependent manner but it has less cytotoxic effect at the higher concentrations. Although, Gesher et al. mentioned that when RV added to diet of rodents, it impeded the development of cancer (36). However, they suggested the main issue of the clinical applications of RV in humans is its minimal oral bioavailability due to its rapid and extensive metabolism leading to the formation of various metabolite, mostly glucuronides and sulfates (37). In addition to that, many effects of RV does not follow linear but rather hermentic dose-response curves (38). Thus choising of the proper dosage is problematic (39).

In the present study, the results obtained from the cytotoxicity assays indicate that there are differences between the three cell lines concerning their sensitivity to RV. HeLa cells appear to be more sensitive as indicated by the NRU assay. This difference could be due to different uptake mechanisms of RV by these cell lines. When the two cytotoxicity assays, employed to assess cytotoxic effects of RV in vitro, we obtained more or the less same results with minor differences can be explained by the nature of each assay. The NRU assay is a colorimetric assay measuring the uptake of the dye by functional lysosomes whereas the MTT assay is mainly based on the enzymatic conversion of MTT in the mitochondria (40). When comparing the cytotoxicity assays, MTT assay appears to be more sensitive in detecting loss of viability than NRU assay. Increasing concentration resulted in increased cytotoxicity, which was observed more accurately with the MTT assay. The standard deviation was high in NRU assay. So more reliable and reproducible data can be obtained with MTT assay.

CONCLUSION

RV has suggested to have beneficial effects on some diseases related to oxidative damage based on its antioxidant properties. On the other hand, RV has show prooxidant properties depending on cell type and concentration. In our in vitro study, it seems that RV has no protective effects against human cervical and breast cancers since it is not cytotoxic in HeLa and MDA-MB 231 cells. Also it has almost no cytotoxic effects in healty V79 cell line at the studied concentrations. Additional animal and human studies should be performed to confirm beneficial and toxic effects of RV in different disorders especially in different cancer types.
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