β-human chorionic gonadotropin assay in vaginal washing fluid for the accurate diagnosis of premature rupture of membranes during late pregnancy

Abstract

Objective: To determine whether the measurement of beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) levels in vaginal fluid is useful for the diagnosis of premature rupture of membranes (PROM).

Material and Methods: A total of 92 pregnant women between 24 and 40 weeks gestation participated in this study. The patients with fluid leaking from the vagina were designated Group 1, the patients with no fluid leaking from the vagina were Group 2, and those with a suspicion of fluid leaking from the vagina were classified as Group 3. Irrigating the posterior vaginal fornix with 5 mL sterile saline was used to measure β-hCG levels of the patients. Receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis was used to determine the cut-off value for a positive diagnosis.

Results: The β-hCG levels of vaginal fluid were measured as 20.5±25.0 mIU/mL, 254.6±346.8 mIU/mL, and 74.3±100.8 mIU/mL in Group 1, Group 2, and Group 3, respectively. Vaginal β-hCG level was higher statistically significantly in Group 2 than Group 1 and 3 (p<0.001). 100 mIU/mL was accepted as a cut-off value by using the receiver operator curve (ROC) analysis was used to determine the cut-off value for a positive diagnosis.

Conclusion: The study showed that the measurement of β-hCG level in vaginal washing fluid is an efficient and easy diagnostic test for predicting the amount of fluid leaking from the vagina. However, due to the low negative predictive value of the test, it would not be convenient in daily practice. (J Turkish-German Gynecol Assoc 2013; 14: 201-4)
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Introduction

Premature rupture of membrane (PROM) is shown in 5-15% of all term births and in 20-40% of all preterm births. The most common complication of PROM is infection. Although term births with prolonged PROM are at risk of infection, the main threat involves preterm cases. Infection risk increases concomitantly with a prolonged latent period (1).

Diagnosis of PROM is easy when there is a demonstration of amniotic fluid leakage from the cervix, but more difficult when there is doubt surrounding whether PROM has occurred. Failure to identify patients with membrane rupture can result in
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a failure to implement obstetric measures, while false diagnosis can lead to inappropriate interventions such as hospitalisation or labour induction. The diagnosis of PROM usually depends on a combination of factors, including the patient's history, identification of amniotic fluid index (AFI) pooling and ferning, and the nitrazine test (2, 3). However, in equivocal cases of PROM, the traditional method has been associated with both false-positive and false-negative results (4).

The absence of a non-invasive "gold standard" test for the diagnosis of membrane rupture has led to the search for alternative biochemical markers. Biochemical substances which have high amniotic concentration, e.g. prolactin (5), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP) (6), insulin like growth factor binding protein-1 (7), foetal fibronectin (8), and deaminooxidase (9), have all have been previously studied.

Beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) is produced by trophoblastic tissue, which is present in varying degrees in serum, urine, and amniotic fluid during pregnancy. It is present in AF as well as maternal blood and urine, at concentrations ranging from approximately 2000-70,000 mIU/mL (10). Unfortunately, there is no information available about β-hCG levels in vaginal fluid. Because β-hCG is secreted by cervical glands, a certain level should be present in vaginal fluid. Therefore, we measured and compared β-hCG levels in the vaginal fluid of normal pregnant women, pregnant women with PROM and pregnant women with suspected PROM.

In this study, our aim was to determine the diagnostic value of β-hCG level in vaginal washing fluid for PROM.

**Material and Methods**

This study was conducted at Zeynep Kamil Education and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey, in the Department of Perinatology, from April 2011 to December 2011. The study was approved by the local ethics committee, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The pregnant women in their second and third trimester that had normal foetal development in ultrasound in respect of their last menstruation date were included in this study. A history of preterm labour and PROM, multiple pregnancy, uterine pathology and malformation, cervical dilatation more than 2 cm, vaginal bleeding or placenta previa, vaginal contaminations with urine or faeces, or those with no follow-up were excluded from the study. A total of 92 pregnant women at the 24-40th week of gestation were enrolled in the present study. A power analysis was not used to calculate the sample size in each group.

At admission, all patients underwent a sterile speculum examination. Amniotic fluid pooling with or without valsalva manoeuvre was noted. The patients with negative, positive and suspected pooling were classified as Group 1 (28 patients), Group 2 (52 patients) and Group 3 (12 patients), respectively. Group 1 contained patients with amniotic fluid leaking from the vagina upon speculum examination, Group 2 included patients without complaint of amniotic fluid leaking from the vagina, while Group 3 was composed of those patients who complained of vaginal discharge and/or perineal wetness, and these patients were negative for amniotic fluid pooling with or without valsalva manoeuvre on speculum examination.

After taking the history of patients, vaginal examination with a sterile speculum was performed on all patients. Amniotic fluid pooling, drainage of amniotic fluid, blood, urine, meconium or semen with valsalva manoeuvre and cervical dilatation were evaluated. Subsequently, 5 cc of sterile saline was placed in the vagina through the speculum. After a 20 minute waiting period, 3 cc of vaginal washing fluid was removed using a syringe. The fluid was transported to the laboratory within one hour, and then placed into a biochemistry tube for β-hCG analysis. All of the samples were studied in the same laboratory using the same technique. β-hCG level was measured and interpreted after the samples were centrifuged 5 minutes at 3000 rpm. At the same time, the amniotic fluid index (AFI) of patients was measured with the four quadrant method and recorded. AFI values less than 50 mm were defined as oligohydramnios and values between 50-80 mm were considered as borderline oligohydramnios.

For statistical analysis, SPSS Software Version 19 (IBM, United States of America) was used. In order to analyse parametric data and perform sub-analysis, ANOVA and post-tukey tests were used, respectively. To analyse non-parametric data and perform sub-analysis, Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests were used, respectively. The chi-square test was utilised to analyse rational data. To determine cut-off values, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve and sensitivity analysis were performed.

**Results**

Demographic data for each group are shown in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences between the groups in terms of age, weeks of gestation, AFI and APGAR (Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration) score values (p>0.05). The birth weight was calculated as 33×2.4±74.8 for group 1, 2758.2±935.5 g for group 2, and 2428.8±1105.8 g for group 3. The mean birth weight of group 1 was statistically significantly higher than that of group 2 and 3 (Table 1, p<0.05). No significant difference was observed between the groups in terms of number of gravida, parity and abortus numbers (p>0.05). The β-hCG levels of group 1, 2 and 3 were measured as 20.5±25.0 mIU/mL, 254.6±346.8 mIU/mL and 74.3±100.8 mIU/mL, respectively. A statistically significant difference was found between the groups for β-hCG levels. On the day of the measurement of hCG, the average gestation age was calculated as 38th, 36th and 35th weeks for groups 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Duration from the day of measurement of hCG to the delivery time was 21 hours for group 1, and 55 hours for groups 2 and 3. All values were found to be statistically significant when β-hCG cut-off levels were accepted as 90, 95, 100, 105 or 110 mIU/mL for predicting certain leakage of fluid from the vagina (p<0.05). ROC curve analysis was used to establish the optimal cut-off concentration for vaginal washing fluid for β-hCG levels. The optimal cut-off value was found to be 100 mIU/mL (Figure 1). According to this cut-off point, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive value were calculated as 71.2%, 100%, 100% and 65.1%, respectively.

Fluid leaking from the vagina would be considered if the patient’s β-hCG value in their vaginal fluid was higher than 100 mIU/mL. Although patients with β-hCG values lower than 100 mIU/mL in
In a study published by Kim et al. (11), β-hCG is available. The advantages of the PROM is because of their complexity and cost. The other biochemical marker used for the accurate diagnosis of PPROM and those without. They considered that there was a significant difference in the second and third trimesters using a vaginal β-hCG threshold concentration of 50 mIU/mL. In a study conducted by Ni et al. (15), β-hCG, AFP, and IL-6 markers were investigated in vaginal fluid. According to the ROC analysis, the sensitivity and specificity were 97.7% and 100% for AFP, and 95.1% and 89.5% for β-hCG, respectively. They found that AFP had highest diagnostic value. In the present study, sensitivity and specificity were found to be 72.1% and 100%, respectively, for β-hCG in vaginal fluid.

**Discussion**

PROM is associated with infectious morbidity in the mother and foetus, cord accidents, and imminent term or preterm labour. For these reasons, its correct diagnosis is very important. The history of the patient is often sufficient for diagnosis in 90% of cases of suspected PROM. Although an accurate diagnosis can be made with intra-amniotic injections of dye, both patients and doctors are reluctant to go through such an invasive procedure. An ideal laboratory diagnostic technique should be acceptable to both patients and doctors and should be non-invasive, accurate and rapid. The use of biochemical markers (confirmation of AFI components, such as AFP, foetal fibronectin, or insulin-like growth factor-binding protein-1 in the vaginal fluid) seems to present a reasonable alternative method for diagnosing PROM (6-8). All of these markers have advantages and disadvantages. However, they have not been popular because of their complexity and cost.

The other biochemical marker used for the accurate diagnosis of PROM is β-hCG in vaginal fluid. It does not require additional instruments when a commercial β-hCG kit for pregnancy testing is available. The advantages of the β-hCG test include the low cost and rapid results.

In a study published by Kim et al. (11), β-hCG cut-off value of PROM was estimated in 120 patients and when the cut-off value was accepted as 39.8 mIU/mL, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated as 68%, 95%, 82% and 90%, respectively. Unlike our study, the values of sensitivity and specificity for the second and third trimester were compared separately and sensitivity was found to be higher in the second trimester. Therefore, it was suggested that testing β-hCG in vaginal fluid in the second trimester could be more convenient. Anai et al. (14) evaluated β-hCG as a marker for PPROM and established the vaginal β-hCG concentration ranges of a subset of patients without PPROM in the first, second, and third trimesters. These investigators demonstrated a definitive difference in mean β-hCG concentrations of subjects with PPROM and those without. They considered that there was a significant difference in the second and third trimesters using a vaginal β-hCG threshold concentration of 50 mIU/mL. In a study conducted by Ni et al. (15), β-hCG, AFP, and IL-6 markers were investigated in vaginal fluid. According to the ROC analysis, the sensitivity and specificity were 97.7% and 100% for AFP, and 95.1% and 89.5% for β-hCG, respectively. They found that AFP had highest diagnostic value. In the present study, sensitivity and specificity were found to be 72.1% and 100%, respectively, for β-hCG in vaginal fluid.

As can be seen above, different cut-off levels, sensitivities, specificities, positive and negative predictive values for β-hCG in vaginal washing fluid have been reported in various studies for the accurate detection of PROM. These different results could have arisen from reasons such as the existence of a difference in the number of samples, including. In addition, patients with vaginal bleeding were included in some studies.

**Table 1. Comparison of maternal age, gestation weeks, amniotic fluid index (AFI), birth weight, APGAR (Appearance, Pulse, Grimace, Activity, Respiration) score and β-hCG value between the groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group 1 (n=28) (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=52) (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Group 3 (n=12) (mean±SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>27.0±4.5</td>
<td>28.2±5.4</td>
<td>26.2±6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation weeks</td>
<td>38.6±1.9</td>
<td>36.6±4.5</td>
<td>35.2±6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFI (mm)</td>
<td>116.5±47.9</td>
<td>100.5±44.4</td>
<td>88.3±43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>3330.2±478.0</td>
<td>2758.2±953.5</td>
<td>2428.8±1105.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR at 1 min</td>
<td>8.4±0.6</td>
<td>7.4±2.3</td>
<td>7.2±2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APGAR at 5 min</td>
<td>9.5±0.7</td>
<td>8.7±2.0</td>
<td>8.2±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-hCG (mIU/mL)</td>
<td>20.5±25.0</td>
<td>254.6±346.8</td>
<td>74.3±100.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ANOVA test was used. p<0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.
As already known, cut-off values are significantly affected by the number of samples. Also, \( \beta \)-hCG values may vary from laboratory to laboratory and \( \beta \)-hCG level in amniotic fluid of term and preterm pregnancies may vary. In the studies discussed, the term and preterm groups were not homogeneous in terms of pregnancy.

In conclusion, we believe that \( \beta \)-hCG measurements in vaginal fluid would be accurate for diagnostic testing for prediction of PROM. Also, it can be performed quickly and easily. Because it has a low negative predictive value, diagnostic confusion may occur. Extended studies are needed to determine the cut-off value of \( \beta \)-hCG in the diagnosis of PROM.
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