Laparoscopy versus laparotomy for the management of endometrial carcinoma in morbidly obese patients: a prospective study
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Abstract

Objective: To compare the results of total laparoscopic hysterectomy and total abdominal hysterectomy in morbidly obese women with early stage endometrial cancer.

Material and Methods: This prospective study was conducted on 140 morbidly obese women with body mass indices ≥35 kg/m² and presenting with clinical stage 1 endometrial cancer. The patients underwent total laparoscopic hysterectomy (n=70) or total abdominal hysterectomy (n=70), bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, pelvic lymphadenectomy, and peritoneal washing. Age, parity, menopausal status, weight, height, medical problems, history of previous laparotomy, surgical procedure, operative time, estimated amount of blood loss, preoperative hematocrit, postoperative hematocrit, operative complications, conversion to laparotomy, need for intraoperative or postoperative blood transfusion, intraoperative and postoperative complications, secondary surgery, tumor stage, grade, histology, number of recovered lymph nodes, and visual pain scores of the patients were recorded.

Results: Postoperative complications were significantly higher in the laparotomy group. Hospital stay in the laparoscopy group was significantly lower than that in the laparotomy group. The visual pain scores were significantly higher in the laparotomy group on the first, second, and third postoperative days and on the day of discharge from the hospital. Resuming activity took a significantly longer time in the laparotomy group (34.70 days) than in the laparoscopic group (17.89 days).

Conclusion: With the availability of skilled endoscopic surgeons, most obese women with early stage endometrial cancer can be safely managed by performing laparoscopy with an excellent surgical outcome, shorter hospitalization, less postoperative pain, and faster resumption of full activity. (J Turk Ger Gynecol Assoc 2015; 16: 164-9)
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecologic malignancy among women in Turkey with an incidence of 8.4 cases per 100,000 (1). The standard modality of management of early EC is surgery via laparotomy. Laparoscopic surgery for EC was first reported by Childers and Surwit in 1992 (2). Recently, diverse studies have demonstrated favorable outcomes of laparoscopic surgery for EC. Most patients with EC are obese (3). The risk of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and death due to EC is 6.25 times higher in morbidly obese patients (4). Obesity is one of the common public health problems in Turkey, particularly among women. The Turkish population has a higher rate of obesity than European countries; however, the rate is similar with the United States (5). Obesity and other medical conditions often complicate the surgery, thereby increasing the morbidity and mortality rates of the disease. Obesity makes the laparoscopic approach more difficult (6, 7). However, it has been shown that obese patients are benefitted more from laparoscopy. Laparoscopic staging of EC in obese or morbidly obese patients results in fewer operative complications and faster recovery (8-11).

The aim of this study was to compare the laparoscopic approach with laparotomy in morbidly obese Turkish women with early stage EC women with similar clinical characteristics.

Material and Methods

This prospective study was conducted at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Dokuz Eylül University School of Medicine, İzmir, Turkey from January 2005 to July 2012. The same surgical team performed the surgeries (US, OB, BS). The subjects were morbidly obese clinical stage 1 EC women, with body mass indices (BMIs) ≥35 kg/m². The patients
who met the inclusion criteria were offered total laparoscopic hysterectomy (TLH), pelvic lymphadenectomy, and peritoneal washing. The patients who refused laparoscopic management underwent laparotomy and were included in the laparotomy group. Patients who previously underwent retroperitoneal surgery and radiation therapy to the lower abdominal region and had severe cardiopulmonary disease, severe orthopedic problems, enlarged uterus preventing vaginal removal, intra-peritoneal disease, cervical involvement, and contraindications to laparoscopy were excluded from the study. Obese is classified according to the World Health Organization as class I for a BMI between 30 and 34.9 kg/m², class II for a BMI between 35 and 39.9 kg/m², and class III for a BMI ≥40 kg/m². The patients with a BMI ≥35 kg/m² were allowed to participate in the study. Informed consent for each patient and approval of the institutional ethics committee were obtained. All patients underwent bowel preparation preoperatively. Antibiotics prophylaxis in the form of 1000 mg Cefazoline (Cefozin, Bilim İlaç, İstanbul, Turkey) was administered before the skin incision. A low-molecular-weight heparin, Enoxaparin Sodium 40 mg (Clexane, Sanofi Aventis, İstanbul, Turkey), was injected subcutaneously for antithrombotic prophylaxis starting from 12 h before the surgery and continued for 14 days postoperatively. As surgical staging peritoneal washing, TLH or total abdominal hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy and bilateral pelvic and/or para-aortic lymphadenectomy were performed. In addition to the general characteristics of the patients surgical procedure, operative time (OT), estimated amount of blood loss (EBL), hematocrit levels, operative complications, conversion to laparotomy, need of blood transfusion, secondary surgery, tumor stage, grade, histology, number of recovered lymph nodes, and visual pain scores of the patients were recorded. The time spent from the entry of the Veress needle to the last suture on skin incision was defined as OT. The time spent for paraaortic lymphadenectomy was calculated separately. Estimating the amount of irrigated fluid and the weight of the swabs helped to calculate EBL. The hemoglobin level lower than 8 g/dL or symptomatic anemia was accepted as indications for erythrocyte suspension transfusion. Ureteral, bowel, bladder, vascular injuries, bleeding requiring blood transfusion, and abdominal wall bleeding were defined as intraoperative complications. Analgesia was controlled by Tradamol (Contramol, Abdi İbrahim, İstanbul, Turkey), and Tenoxicam (Tilcotil, Deva, İstanbul, Turkey) was used to relieve the postoperative pain. The hemoglobin level lower than 8 g/dL or symptomatic anemia was accepted as indications for erythrocyte suspension transfusion. Ureteral, bowel, bladder, vascular injuries, bleeding requiring blood transfusion, and abdominal wall bleeding were defined as intraoperative complications. Analgesia was controlled by Tradamol (Contramol, Abdi İbrahim, İstanbul, Turkey), and Tenoxicam (Tilcotil, Deva, İstanbul, Turkey) was used to relieve the postoperative pain. The hemoglobin level lower than 8 g/dL or symptomatic anemia was accepted as indications for erythrocyte suspension transfusion.

Results
Totally, 140 morbidly obese women with clinical early stage EC who met the inclusion criteria were included in the study. The study participants were allocated to either the laparoscopy group (n=70) or the laparotomy group (n=70). Of 70 patients, six laparoscopic procedures converted to laparotomy. The conversion rate was 6/70 (8.6%). Advanced stage disease (n=3), vascular injury (n=1), dense adhesions (n=1), and intestinal injury (n=1) were considered as underlying causes of conversion to laparotomy. These patients were not excluded from the laparoscopy group in further analysis. There were no significant differences in age, BMI, comorbidities, previous laparotomy, and operative procedures (Table 1). Table 2 illustrates the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system defined in 1988 (12). The TLH procedures were performed according to the classification system described by Garry et al. (13). A closed entry technique with the Veress needle and carbon dioxide gas insufflation was used. Following the establishment of pneumoperitoneum, a camera was placed through the umbilicus. Totally, two 10 mm and two 5 mm trocars were inserted into the abdomen. A laparoscopic sealer/divider instrument, 10 mm LigaSure AtlasTM (Valleylab, Covidien, Minneapolis, United States), was used in all procedures. Round ligaments were divided and retroperitoneal spaces were established bilaterally. The uterine arteries were first identified and ligated. Then, the infundibulopelvic ligaments were transsected by LigaSure. Following dissection of the anterior and posterior peritoneum, uterosacral and cardinal ligaments were divided. Vaginal fornixes were delineated and circular colpotomy was performed using unipolar hook cautery. All the specimens were retrieved from the vagina. The vaginal cuff was closed with intracorporeal 1/0 Polyglycolide-co-Lactide sutures (Pegelak, Doğsan, Trabzon, Turkey).

Surgical intervention
Laparotomy was performed according to the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging system
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to OT and EBL. The mean OT was 155.03±37.68 (124-340) min with EBL of 561.86±341.55 (254-1800 mL) and 185.94±30.26 (130-245) min with EBL of 438.29±271.97 (290-1250 mL) in the laparoscopy and laparotomy groups, respectively. There were no significant differences between the number of intraoperative and postoperative blood transfusions and the number of lymph nodes collected (Table 3). The complication rates are illustrated in Table 4. There were no significant differences in the rates of the intraoperative complications of both groups. However, postoperative complications were significantly higher in the laparotomy group.

Table 1. Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TLH (n=70)</th>
<th>TAH (n=70)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>55.56±10.62</td>
<td>56.24±10.55</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI; kg/m²)*</td>
<td>44.49±6.99</td>
<td>45.90±7.22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>23 (32.9)</td>
<td>20 (28.6)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥Two</td>
<td>42 (60.0)</td>
<td>44 (62.9)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Laparotomy**</td>
<td>24 (34.3)</td>
<td>19 (27.1)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysterectomy + PLND</td>
<td>62 (88.57%)</td>
<td>61 (87.14%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysterectomy + PLND+PALND</td>
<td>8 (11.43%)</td>
<td>9 (12.86%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean±SD, ** number, %
TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; PLND: pelvic lymph node dissection; PALND: paraaortic lymph node dissection; NS: nonsignificant

Table 2. Stage and grade of the operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TLH (n=70)</th>
<th>TAH (n=70)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIGO Stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary serous</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrial stromal sarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed nullerian tumor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36 (51.4%)</td>
<td>40 (57.1%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>26 (37.14%)</td>
<td>22 (31.4%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (14.28%)</td>
<td>8 (11.4%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; FIGO: international federation of gynecology and obstetrics; NS: nonsignificant

Table 3. Characteristics of the operations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TLH (n=70)</th>
<th>TAH (n=70)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating room time (min)*</td>
<td>155.03±37.68</td>
<td>185.94±30.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated amount of blood loss (mL)*</td>
<td>561.86±341.55</td>
<td>438.29±271.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative blood transfusion**</td>
<td>12 (17.1%)</td>
<td>10 (14.2%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative blood transfusion**</td>
<td>6 (8.6%)</td>
<td>7 (10.0%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node count*</td>
<td>22.99±6.7</td>
<td>23.53±7.11</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic + Common iliac Paraaortic</td>
<td>10.50±7.23</td>
<td>14.88±5.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*mean±SD, **n (%)
TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; NS: nonsignificant

Table 4. Intra- and postoperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TLH (n=70)</th>
<th>TAH (n=70)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative complications (n, %)</td>
<td>5 (7.14%)</td>
<td>1 (1.43%)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular injury requiring intervention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel injury</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder injury</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma requiring intervention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative complications (n, %)</td>
<td>8 (11.4%)</td>
<td>21 (30.0%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post incisional or port-site hernia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound infection</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureterovaginal fistula</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute renal failure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive care admission</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-laparotomy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; NS: nonsignificant

Of the four intraoperative complications that occurred in the laparoscopy group, one of them required further reoperation by laparotomy and the other required conversion to laparotomy. In the first case, there were dense adhesions, including omentum on the left pelvic side wall due to previous left oophorectomy. Partial omentectomy and adhesiolysis was performed. Acute abdomen developed on the 7th postoperative day. Body temperature, C-reactive protein, and white blood cell levels were
elevated. Sigmoid colon injury was detected after tomographic examination. Colostomy (Hartman) was performed on the same day. The patient started to experience pain on the left leg; hypotension and severe dyspnea developed on the 12th postoperative day. Thrombus in the left external iliac vein and pelvic abscess were detected on ultrasonography. The patient was reoperated. Thromboectomy and pelvic abscess drainage were performed. Unfortunately, massive internal bleeding developed in the patient 1 h after completing the operation. A wide damage in the iliac artery was noticed after draining the hematoma. The iliac artery was ligated and femora-femoral bypass was performed. The patient was discharged from the hospital 35 days after the first operation. In the second case, a vascular injury that occurred during the laparoscopic nodal dissection required laparotomy, and bilateral internal iliac arteries were ligated to stop the bleeding.

Hospital stay in the laparoscopy group was significantly lower than that in the laparotomy group. To determine the level of pain or describe the discomfort of the patients, a visual pain scale was used. The visual pain scores were significantly higher in laparotomy group on the first, second, and third postoperative days and on the day of discharge from the hospital. Resuming activity means performing only light household chores. It took a significantly longer time for the laparotomy group (34.70 days) to perform such activities than the laparoscopic group (17.89 days). There was only one recurrence in the laparotomy group but none in the laparoscopic group. There was no occurrence of death intraoperatively and immediately after the operation. The mean follow-up periods were similar (31.14 vs. 34.80 months in the laparoscopy and laparotomy groups, respectively). The death rates were same in the two groups. In the laparotomy group, one patient died because of pelvic recurrence after 24 months postoperatively and one patient died because of cardiac reasons 61 months postoperatively. In the laparoscopy group, two patients died during the follow-up period. One was due to urosepsis and the other was due to acute pyelonephritis and secondary bacteraemia after 20 and 24 months of the follow-up period, respectively (Table 5). The 3-year progression-free survival for the laparoscopy group was 100% and 98.57% in the laparotony group. The corresponding 3-year overall survival rates were 97.14% and 98.57% in the laparoscopy and laparotomy groups, respectively.

Discussion

Obese women are at a risk for developing EC (14). They have greater surgical and anesthetic risks. The recovery and wound healing take a longer time (15). Laparoscopic surgery for EC in obese patients as an alternative to laparotomy has been the subject of many studies during the past 10 years, and it has been associated with fewer operative complications and more rapid recovery (8, 10, 11, 16). Faster entry into the abdomen via a closed establishment of the pneumoperitoneum in laparoscopic access and well-trained endoscopic surgeons are the main factors that shorten the OT in laparoscopic surgery. The entry and closure of the abdomen took quite a long time, which lengthens the OT in patients in the laparotomy group.

Lymph node count has been used as a marker for the quality of staging in EC. Therefore, lymphadenectomy is an important step in gynecologic oncology. There were conflicting evidences in the literature regarding lymph node counts (8-11). Tumor staging should be accurate. The interobserver variability can be seen among pathologists about the evaluation of positive lymph nodes and tumor deposits (17). In addition to interpathologist variations, BMI also influences the final lymph node count in EC staging (18). We performed complete pelvic lymphadenectomy to all patients to increase the strength of the study. To prevent interpathologist variations, frozen and paraffin section analyses were performed by the same pathologists who are experienced in the gynecologic oncology field. In the cur-

| Table 5. Postoperative follow-up characteristics of the operations |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
|                | **TLH (n=70)** | **TAH (n=70)** | **p**     |
| Postoperative hospital stay (days)* | 4.64±4.68    | 10.36±5.69     | <0.001    |
| Postoperative pain (VAS)*         |              |                |           |
| First day                          | 4.13±1.54    | 6.60±1.23      | <0.001    |
| Second day                         | 2.80±0.94    | 5.67±1.94      | <0.001    |
| Third day                          | 2.27±0.88    | 4.66±1.97      | <0.001    |
| At the time of discharge from the hospital | 1.96±0.89 | 4.41±2.17      | <0.001    |
| Resuming full activity*           | 17.89±11.52  | 34.70±18.21    | <0.001    |
| Recurrence**                       | 0             | 1 (1.42%)      | NS        |
| Death**                           | 2 (2.86%)    | 2 (2.86%)      | NS        |
| Follow-up period (months)*        | 31.14±19.00  | 34.80±16.55    | NS        |
| Progression-free survival**       |              |                |           |
| (3 years)                         | 70 (100%)    | 69 (98.57%)    | NS        |
| Overall survival** (3 years)      | 68 (97.14%)  | 69 (98.57%)    | NS        |

* mean±SD, ** n (%)  
TLH: total laparoscopic hysterectomy; TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy; VAS: visual pain score; NS: nonsignificant
rent study, the average lymph node counts are not statistically different according to the procedure performed. Several studies have demonstrated that laparoscopy causes lesser complications than laparotomy in obese women (7, 8, 16, 19-24). In the current study, there was no significant difference between both the groups with respect to the incidence of operative complications. However, postoperative complications were significantly higher in the laparotomy group. The most common complications were post-incisional hernia, cellulitis, and wound infections. The wound complications were significantly lower in the laparoscopy group (2.85% vs. 18.57%, p=0.002) than the laparotomy group because of a smaller wound size. Deep vein thrombosis, ileus, and intensive care admissions were also lower in the laparoscopy group.

The conversion rate to laparotomy changes between 7.5% and 36% and increases proportionally with BMI (7, 8, 25). A higher conversion rate has been described in patients with a high BMI (23). The conversion rate was 8.6% in our study and consistent with the literature. Two of the four intraoperative complications in the laparoscopy group resulted in conversion to laparotomy. In the first case, a vascular injury that occurred during the laparoscopic nodal dissection required laparotomy, and the bilateral internal iliac arteries were ligated to stop the bleeding. In the second case, the ileum was injured during adhesiolysis because of a previous appendectomy. A linear incision was formed 4 cm in length, the injured region was resected, and end-to-end anastomosis was performed laparotomically. In the first case of other two intraoperative complications without conversion to laparotomy, the urinary bladder was injured and repaired laparoscopically. In the second case, the sigmoid colon and iliac artery injuries were detected postoperatively. Both patients also fully recovered and had no permanent damage.

With respect to hospital stay, laparotomy in the obese patients prolongs hospital stay (7, 8, 10, 11, 15, 26-28). Similarly, in our study, there was a significant difference (5.2 vs. 12.8 days, p<0.001) between women who underwent laparoscopy and those who underwent laparotomy. This difference originated from the higher rate of postoperative complications in the laparotomy group.

We observed that patients managed by laparoscopy experience significantly less pain on the first, second, and third postoperative days and also on the day of discharge from the hospital. They resumed full activity sooner than the laparotomy group. The smaller incisions, absence of bowel manipulation, and less exposure during laparoscopy to air decreases the postoperative pain and ileus and allows early ambulation and early discharge from the hospital.

Only one case of recurrence has been detected after a 36-month follow-up period in a study cohort. Four patients died because of various reasons. In the laparoscopy group, two patients died because of urosepsis and acute pyelonephritis with secondary bacteraemia after 20 and 24 months of follow-up periods, respectively. Postoperatively, in the laparotomy group, two patients died because of pelvic recurrence and cardiac disease after 24 and 60 months after discharge, respectively. None of the patients died for reasons connected to the operation. There was no significant difference with respect to either the overall survival or progression-free survival between the laparoscopy group and the laparotomy group.

Our study is a prospective but not a randomized study. Some patients that should have been operated by the laparoscopic route according to randomization demanded to be operated by laparotomy. This is a limitation of our study. Recently, robotic surgery has been used in gynecologic cancers. A comparison of laparoscopic versus robotic surgery in morbidly obese EC patients may be the subject of future studies.

In conclusion, obese women are at a higher risk of developing EC. The type of surgical management of the disease in morbidly obese women affects the operative morbidity. With the availability of skilled endoscopic surgeons, a laparoscopic approach does not increase the intraoperative morbidity related to surgery and it has favorable surgical outcomes, shorter hospitalization, less postoperative pain, and faster resumption of full activity postoperatively.
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