Isolated Zygomatic Arch Fracture: A Case Report

Abstract

Zygomatic bone is situated at the lateral part of the facial triangle, and is frequently exposed to maxillofacial traumas, since it forms the most prominent region of the facial skeleton. Isolated zygomatic arch fractures comprise 5% of all facial fractures and 10% of zygomatic bone fractures. In this case report, the clinical and radiological characteristics, and the treatment options of isolated zygomatic arch fractures have been discussed. The traumatic zygomatic arch fracture of the left zygomatic arch region of a 35-year-old male patient and its treatment have been reported in this case report. The Gillies method should be considered as an effective treatment method in isolated zygomatic arch fracture cases due to its feasibility and esthetic properties.

Introduction

The zygomatic bone is the most prominent bone of the facial region situated at the lateral part of the facial triangle, and is articulated with the maxilla, frontal and temporal bones. Zygomatic bone fractures are common in face traumas. Isolated zygomatic arch fractures comprise 5% of all facial traumas and 10% of zygomatic bone fractures (1,2). Zygomatic arch fractures are commonly observed as “greenstick” fractures approximately 1.5 cm posterior to the zygomatico-temporal suture.
Ecchymosis and edema may be observed in the periorbital region and buccal sulcus in zygomatic arch fractures, and asymmetry, pain and trismus may be observed in the face. The most frequent cause of admission to dentists in zygomatic arch fractures is the limited opening ability of the mouth, which is observed in approximately 45% of zygomatic arch fractures (3). Limited mandibular motion is the result of the seizing up of the coronoid process to the displacing part of the zygomatic arch inward during opening of the mouth (3,4). Furthermore, the mandible is deviated to the fractured side during the opening of the mouth.

Various intraoral and extra-oral approaches have been used as closed reduction techniques in isolated zygomatic arch fractures. The Gillies methods, first described by Gillies et al. (5) in 1927, have been used closed reduction technique for zygomatic arch fractures (6). In this report, an isolated zygomatic arch fracture case has been presented with the diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to zygomatic arch fractures in the light of the literature.

Case Report

In the computed tomographic examination of the 35-year-old male patient who was referred from the emergency unit of the medical faculty to our clinics with the complaints of post-traumatic limited mouth opening and pain, a fracture line was observed in the left zygomatic arch region with a displaced angled inward in a “greenstick” style, where the mandible was in contact with the coronoid process (Figure 1). The mouth opening of the patient was measured as 9 mm. In the extra-oral examination of the region, collapse of the left zygomatic arch and asymmetry compared to the opposite arch, and infraorbital ecchymosis and swelling were observed (Figure 2). No fracture line other than the isolated zygomatic fracture was observed considering the images. No visual function disorder or fracture of the orbital region or the surrounding tissues was detected on the consultation with the eye clinic. Under general anesthesia, the patient underwent an operation with the indication of isolated zygomatic arch fracture. The hairy skin was shaved 2.5 cm anterior and superior to the ear curve. The ramus parietalis-ramus frontalis division of the superficial temporal artery was defined, a 2 cm skin incision was performed and advanced until the temporal fascia dissecting the subcutaneous tissues. The superficial fascia of the temporal muscle was incised. The zygomatic arch was accessed by advancing downwards through the temporal muscle and fascia with the help of an elevator. Reduction was performed for the fractured part. The reduction of the fractured bones and the symmetry of both arches were checked over the zygomatic arch by palpation during the operation (Figure 3). The cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues were primarily sutured and the operation was terminated. Post-operative computed tomography examination revealed the continuity of

Figure 1. Pre-operative 3-dimensional computed tomography image of the patient; isolated zygomatic arch fracture is visualized

Figure 2. Pre-operative extra-oral image of the patient. Collapse is observed in the left zygomatic arch region
the zygomatic arch (Figure 4). The mouth opening of the patient was measured as 27 mm in the early post-operative period, and 38 mm at the 6th month. No difficulty or pain was observed during mouth opening. No pain, sensitivity of asymmetry, compared to the opposite arch, was observed in the zygomatic arch region of the patient (Figure 5, 6). The patient’s clinical 12-month follow-up was uneventful.

Discussion

The zygomatic bone is situated at the lateral part of the triangle of the face, and is exposed to maxillofacial traumas frequently due to its anatomic positioning. Zygomatic bone fractures may either be observed in an isolated zygomatic arch fracture form or in form of complex fractures. For classification of the fractures, the fracture may be named together with the neighboring bone classically (6,7) or the new classification system may be used (1).

A periorbital fracture may be considered in zygomatic region fractures. Pre-operative radiological examination should be performed in detail and consultation from the ophthalmologists should be requested with regard to the deformity of the orbital region, diplopia, enopthalmos, or similar complications (8). Early diagnosis and surgical intervention with accurate timing are important in zygomatic arch fractures. Surgical intervention is suggested within one week after the trauma (9).

Fibrous ankylosis cases may be observed related
to the delays in the treatment of zygomatic arch fractures, which may consequently lead to limitations in mouth opening (10).

Zygomatic arch fractures may primarily be evaluated via panoramic radiography. In suspicious cases, a radiography obtained using the submentovertex technique is an important tool in investigating the fracture line along the arch. It should be considered that the radioluscence image of the zygomatico-temporal suture may be misjudged as a fracture. In cases with insufficient two-dimensional imaging or for those in whom the fracture line should be examined in detail, dental volumetric tomography may be used to obtain high resolution images. In particular, the axial sections enable a clear visualization of the zygomatic arch, and the fracture segments and the asymmetry may be observed clearly via three-dimensional imaging (3,4,11). Ultrasonography and fluoroscopy may be used for intraoperative imaging (12). In addition to these methods, the computed navigation technique is a facilitating method in defining the position and evaluating the accuracy of the post-operation localizations of the segments (13).

The Gillies method (temporal approach) (5), Keen’s technique (the buccal sulcus approach) (14), the lateral coronoid approach (15), the eyebrow elevation approach (16) and the percutaneous approach (17) have been used as closed reduction techniques in zygomatic arch fractures. In the operation presented herein, the Gillies method was preferred since it enabled the concealing of the scar, protection of the facial nerve, and easy applicability within a short time. The Gillies method has been shown to give successful outcomes with regard to stabilization and esthetic means in long term studies (12,18).

Isolated zygomatic arch fractures may frequently be observed in maxillofacial region injuries. Cases with zygomatic arch fractures may successfully be treated via the Gillies method in a closed manner. Consistent with the presented case herein, this method may be preferred as a successful treatment alternative in uncomplicated zygomatic arch fractures to provide an effective treatment and minimize the risk of complications for both the patient and the physician.
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