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Abstract

Aim: Uniportal video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (uVATS) is increasingly being used worldwide. In this study, we reviewed our early experiences with the use of uVATS in the treatment and the diagnosis of various thoracic diseases.

Methods: From January 2010 to January 2016, a single operator performed uVATS in 263 cases. Medical records of the patients were retrospectively reviewed.

Results: Of the 263 patients included in this study, 164 (62.4%) were male and 99 (37.6%) were female. The mean age of the study group was 51 (range: 17-93) years. Pleural biopsy was performed in 140 patients (88 males, 52 females) and diagnostic wedge resection in 123 patients (76 males, 47 females). The mean duration of wound drainage stay was three (range, 1-14) days; the mean duration of hospital stay was four (range, 2-19) days. Three patients suffered surgical complications including wound infection in two patients and air leak in one patient.

Conclusion: It is already known that uVATS is a technically safe and feasible method used for the diagnosis and treatment of various respiratory tract diseases and provides good postoperative results and this view is also supported by us.
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Introduction

Video-assisted thoracic surgery (VATS) has been performed from the late 1980s. Since then, the technique has widened its clinical indications for the past 20 years. Numerous previous studies have already proved that VATS is more advantageous for postoperative recovery, cosmetic results, and oncological outcomes compared to conventional thoracotomy (1,2). Furthermore, as surgical experience in VATS has accumulated and instruments advanced, there have been attempts to perform uniportal VATS (uVATS) as an alternative for multiport VATS, with a few studies having reported the safety and feasibility of the former (3,4).
We reviewed our early experiences with the use of uVATS in the treatment of various thoracic diseases.

Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Bursa Yüksek İhtisas Training and Research Hospital (approval no: 2011-KAEK-25 2016/08-07). Hospital records of 263 patients, who underwent uVATS between January 2010 and January 2016, were retrospectively reviewed. The patients were evaluated with respect to age, gender, length of postoperative hospital stay, pathological diagnoses, postoperative complications, mortality, and morbidity (Table 1).

Preoperative Evaluation

The preoperative examinations were composed of thoracic computed tomography (CT) scan, spirometry, and arterial blood gas analysis. Positron emission tomography CT scan, brain magnetic resonance imaging, and bone scintigraphy were carried out if a malignant tumor was suspected. The preoperative indications for surgery included diagnosis and resection of mediastinal masses and pleural effusions, lung biopsy for interstitial pneumonia or pleural diseases, and management of pulmonary malignancy or benign conditions such as pneumothorax. uVATS was performed whenever surgeons found technically feasible.

Surgical Technique

The patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position after intubation with a double-lumen endotracheal tube. After one-lung ventilation, the location and length of the single incision was decided according to the indication for the surgery. The senior surgeons usually stood to the anterior side of the patient, and the assisting physicians behind the patient. A 2- to 3-cm-long incision was made in the fourth or fifth intercostal space (ICS), parallel to the anterior axillary line. When approaching the upper lobe, e.g. in cases of lung bullae, the incision was made in the 5th ICS on the mid-axillary line. However, when approaching the lower lobe, the 6th or 7th ICS in the anterior axillary line was selected for the incision. If the patient had a previous wound scar from VATS or closed thoracotomy, we actively utilized that site despite the surgeon’s discomfort. A wound protector was used without spreading the ribs in all patients. A 10 mm, 30-degree video thoracoscope was routinely used for visualization, and articulated instruments were used for wedge resection from lung tissue.

The same pain control method was used in all patients. Bupivacaine 0.5% at a volume of 10-15 cc was injected on the parietal pleura, muscle, and subcutaneous layer before skin incision. Continuous-patient-controlled analgesia was not used for pain control. A single chest tube (28-F) was inserted into the end of the incision.

The decision to remove the chest tube was made when the lungs fully expanded and there was no pleural effusion on chest X-ray; there was no air leakage through the chest tube; and the amount of drainage was less than 100 cc per day. In the cases of pneumothorax, most patients had their chest tubes removed on postoperative day two and discharged on the same day after confirming with a postprocedural chest X-ray.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with the IBM SPSS 21 software package. Continuous variables were presented as median (range).

Results

This study included 263 patients, of whom 164 (62.4%) were male and 99 (37.6%) were female. The mean age of the whole group was 51 (range, 17-93) years. The most frequent symptoms were shortness of breath, chest pain, and cough. Pleural biopsy was performed in 140 patients (88 males, 52 females) and diagnostic wedge resection was performed in 123 patients (76 males, 47 females). The histopathological diagnoses included bullous-type lung disease (n=66), granulomatous reaction (n=38), metastatic carcinoma (n=29), chondroid hamartoma (n=12), chronic active pleuritis (n=77), malignant mesothelioma (n=5), and interstitial pneumonia (n=11). Postoperative complications consisted of wound infection in two patients and prolonged air leak in one patient. The mean duration of wound drainage was three (range, 1-14) days and the mean duration of hospital stay was four (range, 2-19) days. The median length of intensive care unit stay was one (range, 0-4 days) day. There was no operative death (Table 2).

Table 1. General details of the patients included in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Patients (n=263)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male</td>
<td>164 (62.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>female</td>
<td>99 (37.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum-maximum</td>
<td>17-93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coughing</td>
<td>48 (18.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dyspnea</td>
<td>126 (47.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dyspnea + chest pain</td>
<td>89 (33.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pleural biopsy</td>
<td>140 (53.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wedge resection</td>
<td>123 (46.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of postop. hospital stay</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ± SD</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minimum-maximum</td>
<td>2-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop. complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wound infection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged air leak</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Mean ± standard deviation, 2Numbers and % values, SD: Standard deviation
Discussion

uVATS has a history spanning over more than 1 decade and, more recently, has become an increasingly popular approach for surgical treatment of thoracic diseases (5-8). The potential advantages of uVATS include less postoperative pain, fewer paraesthesias, and better cosmetic results (7-12). Nevertheless, uVATS still draws less attention because there are still some unclear points in terms of the safety in terms of mortality and morbidity associated with this technique.

Being the largest series of uVATS, this study repeats previous findings regarding the safety of uVATS (5,6,8-10,12,13). It is clear that this technique can be used in selected patients with minimal in hospital mortality and morbidity.

Possible clinical advantages include the single-port approach with the potential of reducing postoperative chest pain due to the involvement of only one ICS and the avoidance of trocar use, which avoids intercostal nerve compression. It has been reported that fewer paraesthesias and less postoperative pain occurred with the single-port approach compared with the multiport approach (14,15).

Evaluation of the undiagnosed pleural effusion, undiagnosed pulmonary nodules, interstitial lung disease, malignant tumor invasion, secondary cancer in lymph nodes, and staging of primary extrathoracic tumors are the known diagnostic indications for uVATS (16). Alar and Ozcelik (17) and Kara et al. (18) reported the facile and reliable application of uVATS with a low incidence of recurrence in patients with pleural effusion. In all of our 140 patients who could not be diagnosed by thoracentesis or closed pleural biopsy, uVATS was used to diagnose mesothelioma (n=25), squamous cell carcinoma (n=13), breast cancer metastasis (n=5), active chronic pleurisy (n=77), and granulomatous reaction (n=20). Kürkçüoğlu et al. (19) and Kurul et al. (20) obtained similar results with us in 47 and 23 patients, respectively, with pleural effusion.

With the development of minimally invasive surgical techniques, thoracoscopic wedge resections were frequently recommended for diagnostic purposes in interstitial lung diseases, solitary pulmonary nodules, and for therapeutic reasons in some lung pathologies including bullous diseases, metastasectomies, peripherally located hamartoma and pulmonary aspergiloma, especially because patient comfort is not impaired in the postoperative period (21).

uVATS also has a high capability in the diagnosis of nodular and interstitial lesions which could not be diagnosed with bronchoscopy and transthoracic biopsy. Kurul et al. (20) used uVATS in patients with single or multiple nodules imaged by CT to diagnose malignant pathologies in four cases and benign pathologies in nine cases. We also applied uVATS in 42 cases and diagnosed 15 of the cases with malignant pathologies including squamous cell carcinoma (n=6), adenocarcinoma (n=3) and bronchoalveolar carcinoma (n=1), and lymphoma (n=5); 17 cases were diagnosed with benign pathologies including granulomatous reaction (n=15) and hamartoma (n=12). After using uVATS in 15 patients with an interstitial pattern on CT, we were able to diagnose granulomatous reaction (n=2), sarcoidosis (n=2), and squamous metaplasia (22).

uVATS can be performed in cases of primary or secondary spontaneous pneumothorax (23). One point requiring attention is the localization of all pulmonary air leaks, blebs and bullae by scanning. Another important point is the requirement of pleural abrasion or pleurectomy procedures after wedge resection, with or without air leakage, in order to ensure the removal of all blebs and bullae, overlooked or likely to recur post operatively, and thereby to prevent repetition of pneumothorax by means of the adhesion of the visceral and parietal pleura (24,25).

In our clinic, uVATS-assisted wedge resection and pleural abrasion were performed in 56 cases consulting with spontaneous pneumothorax. There were no incidences of recurrence within the 12-month follow-up of all cases. Giant bullous lung disease large enough to fill at least 1/3 of a hemithorax, presenting as a complication of emphysema, can be operated with uVATS. Development of symptoms, such as shortness of breath related to the bulla, pneumothorax, infection, empyema, and massive haemoptysis are the indications for surgery (25). The place of preventive surgery in asymptomatic patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Histopathologic diagnoses on the basis of gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathological diagnoses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullous lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulomatous disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondroid hamartoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pleuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malign mesothelioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers and % values
is a subject of debate, and the advantages and risks of surgery need to be carefully considered. When there are problems related with the patient and the surgical treatment, selecting the right patient, and evaluating the likely postoperative complications are important issues (25). When selecting a surgical procedure, a surgeon has to consider the factors least likely to impair the current respiratory function of the patient, and choose a procedure with the best outcomes. uVATS is therefore preferred to open surgery for patients with limited respiratory function. Here the aim is to excise the bulla without causing air leakage and to achieve the expansion of the lung (25). Ten of our patients with giant bullous lung disease suffered shortness of breath adversely affecting daily life. In order to prevent or minimize the formation of air leakage, staple line reinforcement was used and the bullae were excised followed by pleural abrasion. No recurrence was observed during a mean period of 16 months of follow-up. Linear and circular stapling devices enable surgeons to perform minimally invasive surgery allowing simultaneous stapling and sealing of the tissue, thus giving surgeons the ability to divide and transect a variety of tissues with ease. These potential complications can lead to significant postoperative morbidity and even mortality, which were not experienced during the relatively shortened course of uVATS.

Study Limitations
The limitations of this study are its descriptive and retrospective nature. Moreover, our study did not analyze postoperative pain, cosmetic factors, long-term survival outcomes, and quality of life. We did not include both groups of patients because we are at the beginning stage of lung cancer treatment with uVATS, and we also evaluated our case with sympathectomy in another study.

Conclusion
uVATS is technically safe and feasible with good postoperative outcomes in selected patients.
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