

Idiopathic Brachial Neuritis Mimics Cervical Radiculopathy: A Case Report

İdiyopatik Brakial Nörit Servikal Radikülopatiyi Taklit Eder: Olgu Sunumu

Evrım Karadağ Saygı¹, Canan Şanal Toprak², Koza Çubukçu¹, Hakan Gündüz¹

¹Marmara University Medical School, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, İstanbul, Turkey

²Horasan State Hospital, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Erzurum, Turkey

Abstract

Idiopathic brachial neuritis (IBN) is an uncommon clinical disorder with onset of acute, aching shoulder pain, followed by progressive muscle weakness and atrophy of shoulder girdle and upper extremity. Case report: A 47 year old male patient presented with an acute onset left shoulder pain which has been lasting for two months. He had also severe upper extremity weakness demonstrated on physical examination and compression in C6 level on the left foraminal canal in imaging. According to these findings, primary diagnosis was cervical radiculopathy (CR) which may have required surgical intervention. However, detailed history of pain, physical examination findings and electroneuromyography (ENMG) results were consistent with IBN that had a slow but progressive recovery with conservative treatment. Although detailed history and physical examination are key points in the diagnosis of IBN, ENMG is the most helpful study for early and correct diagnosis which helps in avoiding unnecessary interventions.

Keywords

Brachial neuritis, cervical radiculopathy, electrophysiology

Anahtar Kelimeler

Brakial nörit, servikal radikülopati, elektrofizyoloji

Received/Geliş Tarihi : 29.04.2016

Accepted/Kabul Tarihi : 09.08.2016

doi:10.4274/meandros.2822

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi:

Evrım Karadağ Saygı MD,
Marmara University Medical School, Physical
Medicine and Rehabilitation, İstanbul, Turkey
Phone : +90 532 361 23 07
E-mail : evrimkaradag4@hotmail.com

©Meandros Medical and Dental Journal, Published by Galenos Publishing.
This is article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial 4.0 International Licence (CC BY-NC 4.0).

Öz

İdiyopatik brakial nörit (İBN) akut başlangıçlı omuz ağrısını, omuz kuşağı ve üst ekstremitede gelişen progresif kas güçsüzlüğü ve atrofinin takip ettiği nadir görülen bir hastalıktır. Olgu sunumu: 47 yaşında erkek hasta sol kol ve omuzda ani başlayan ve 2 aydır devam eden şiddetli ağrı şikayeti ile başvurdu. Ayrıca üst ekstremitede fizik muayene ile gösterilebilen ciddi kas güçsüzlüğü vardı. Manyetik rezonans görüntüleme (MRG) C6 seviyesinde foraminal kanalda basısı mevcuttu. Bu bulgulara göre ön tanı cerrahi girişim gerektirebilecek servikal radikülopati (SR) idi. Ancak detaylı anamnez, fizik muayene bulguları ve elektronöromiyografi (ENMG) sonuçları konservatif tedavi ile yavaş ancak progresif iyileşme gösteren İBN ile uyumlu idi. İBN tanısında kilit noktalar detaylı anamnez ve fizik muayene olsa da gereksiz girişimleri engellemek amacıyla erken ve doğru tanı için ENMG en faydalı testtir.

Introduction

Idiopathic brachial neuritis (IBN) is a peripheral neuropathy, with an unknown etiology, which mostly affects the upper trunk of brachial plexus, although focal involvement of individual nerves is also seen. Although there is no consensus about the most frequently affected

nerve, suprascapular, long thoracic, radial, phrenic and axillary nerves were reported as commonly involved nerves in literature (1, 2). This clinical entity is also known as brachial neuralgia, brachial plexus neuropathy, neuralgic amyotrophy, acute brachial radiculitis and Parsonage-Turner syndrome. It has a reported incidence of 1/1000/year (3). It is 30-50 times higher than previously thought because of the expanding clinical awareness of IBN (3). This syndrome usually affects adults between ages 20-60. Typical clinical course starts with unilateral shoulder pain followed by an augmented response to painful stimuli. Motor weakness develops approximately within days to 2 weeks and may be seen in an irregular distribution due to patchy involvement of brachial neuritis (1, 4). Although detailed physical examination and considering IBN in differential diagnosis are the key points, electroneuromyography (ENMG) is the most helpful study in diagnosis.

Case Report

A 47 year old male patient presented with an acute onset left shoulder and arm pain which has been lasting for two months. On physical examination, manual muscle testing of supraspinatus, infraspinatus, deltoid, biceps and triceps on the left side were graded 1/5. Sensory deficit was not detected. Left brachioradialis and biceps deep tendon reflexes were diminished. There was no restriction in the passive range of motion of left shoulder however pain was exacerbated with the shoulder movement. Shoulder pain was present but decreased as compared his initial severe pain. Past medical history was unremarkable. His laboratory findings like erythrocyte sedimentation rate, complete blood count and routine biochemical examinations were within normal limits. C-reactive protein and rheumatoid factor were negative.

In cervical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) on level C5-6 there was left posterolateral-foraminal disc herniation and probable compression on left C6 root (Figure 1 – Figure 2). In the electrophysiological study bilateral median, ulnar and radial nerve conduction studies were normal, but on the left side lateral antebrachial sensory nerve action potentials were absent (Table-1). In needle electromyography (EMG), there were abnormal findings in spontaneous action potentials in deltoid, biceps, triceps, brachioradialis and 1st dorsal interosseous muscles bilaterally.



Figure 1.



Figure 2.

Although both extremities were affected, pathological findings were more prominent on the left side. On left deltoid muscle motor unit action potentials were absent. Cervical paraspinal muscle studies were within normal limits (Table-2).

Table 1. Nerve Conduction Studies

	Latency (ms)	Amplitude (µV)	Velocity (m/sn)
Median (L, motor)	2.75	6.5	
	6.50	6.3	59.7
Ulnar (L, motor)	1.95	6.72	
	5.35	7.44	58.8
	7.1	6	51.4
Median (L, sensory)	2.58	42.9	50.4
Ulnar (L, sensory)	1.88	51	58,5
Lateral antebrachial cutaneous (L, sensory)	SAP was not obtained		
Median (R, motor)	3	9.2	
	6.2	8	58.5
Ulnar (R, motor)	2.05	6	
	5	6.8	62.3
	6.05	7	58.3
Median (R, duyu)	2.44	50.7	57.4
Ulnar (R, duyu)	2.02	46.3	54.5
Lateral antebrachial cutaneous (R, sensory)	3.28	12.7	52.2

L: Left, R: Right, SAP: sensory action potential

The patient was diagnosed as IBN by evaluating the type of pain, diffuse muscle weakness and ENMG results. Gabapentin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs were prescribed to reduce inflammation of brachial nerves and pain, as recommended in literature (2, 5). Physical therapy programme was designed. After two months his pain was relieved and muscle weakness was improved slightly. When ENMG was repeated after three months, partial regeneration findings were evident. On clinical examination pain and muscle weakness were detected also on the asymptomatic side.

Discussion

This patient was admitted to physical medicine and rehabilitation clinic with an acute severe left shoulder pain, which decreased in the last weeks. He also complained about progressive upper extremity weakness, demonstrated on physical examination.

In this case, primary diagnosis was cervical radiculopathy (CR). Compression in C6 level in MRI, on the left foraminal canal was evident. However the patients’ symptoms were also consistent with typical clinical course of IBN. Therefore, a differential diagnosis between CR and IBN was planned to avoid unnecessary interventions, because of their similar features, particularly at the time of onset.

Cervical radiculopathy and IBN are characterized by pain in shoulder, and upper extremity. Although CR generally has a gradual onset, IBN has a sudden

Table 2. Needle electromyography findings

Side	Muscle	Spontaneous Activities		MUP analyzing			Interference
		PSW	Fibrillation	Duration	Ampl	Polyphase	
L	Deltoid	++	++	Voluntary MUP was not obtained			
L	Supraspinatus	∅	∅	N	↑	↑	Mild decreased participation
L	Biceps	+++	+++	↑↑↑	↑	↑	A few MUP Participation
L	Triceps	++	±	↑	↑	↑↑↑	Severe decreased participation
L	Brachioradialis	++	++	↑	↑	↑↑	One oscillation
L	1.Dorsal interosseous	+	+	N	N	↑	Mild decreased participation
L	APB	∅	∅	N	N	N	Submaximal
R	Deltoid	++	++	↑	N	↑	Mild decreased participation
R	Triceps	+	+	N↑	N	N↑	Mild decreased participation
R	1.Dorsal interosseous	++	++	N↑	↑	↑	Mild decreased participation
L	Paraspinal C6	∅	∅				

L: Left, R: Right, PSW: Positive Sharp Wave, MUP: Motor Unit Potential, Ampl: amplitude

onset of severe pain. Progression of upper extremity weakness is generally related to increasing pain in CR patients, while upper extremity weakness related to IBN typically develops as the pain decreases. While neck movements generally aggravate the symptoms of CR, the symptoms of IBN worsen with shoulder movement (6). In this case, presence of the pain exacerbated by shoulder movement and also upper extremity weakness related to reducing pain were consistent with IBN.

The prominent symptom is the pain in shoulder girdle. Pain is severe, burning and sharp; it radiates up to neck, down to forearm on the lateral side. Pain is acute and night pain is prominent (7, 8). It may last from a couple of hours to 2-3 weeks.

Muscle weakness and pain symptoms may be seen together, but shoulder pain followed by patchy muscle weakness is a more common profile (4, 9). In the series of Van Alfen et. al., which consists of 246 cases, weakness developed within 24 hours in 33%, 1-7 days in 40%, 1-2 weeks in 14% cases (8). Weakness does not always follow the same route that pain follows. Atrophy may develop in the affected muscles (10).

Mild and patchiness sensory symptoms occur in a majority of patients on careful examination, frequently in the distribution of the lateral cutaneous antebrachial nerve. Sensory or motor symptoms may not match the distribution of pain and may not be noticed by patient because of the severe pain; it is very important clue for diagnosis of IBN (5, 11). In this case, there was no clinically confirmed sensory loss, even though antebrachial sensory action potentials were absent in the ENMG study, and this was considered as an evidence of IBN.

In the cases of IBN, laboratory findings are within normal range. Complete blood count, sedimentation rate, serum electrolytes, liver enzymes, the chemical analysis of urine samples and immunological studies are within normal limits. (4, 9). In this case laboratory findings proved no abnormalities, as mentioned. However, laboratory findings are also expected within normal range in CR patients and don't have a role to differentiate IBN from CR.

When considering the differential diagnosis, musculoskeletal disorders of shoulder, CR, poliomyelitis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, herpes zoster, tumors of spinal cord or brachial plexus, posterior interosseous nerve palsy, traumatic or

compressive nerve injuries should be considered (12, 13).

In this case ENMG study was planned to make the differential diagnosis between CR and IBN.

Electroneuromyography can localize the lesion and help confirm the diagnosis (4, 9, 14). Nerve conduction studies of the motor nerves are generally within normal limits. The amplitude of sensory nerve potentials may be smaller than expected. Needle EMG is the most helpful study in this diagnosis. In the needle EMG of proximal muscles with weakness and atrophy, fibrillation and positive sharp waves are evident (6). Paraspinal studies are usually in normal limits. It usually seems unilateral but about a third of this patients have bilateral involvement with an asymmetrical severity. Hence, even though the patient may complain of unilaterally clinical symptoms, bilaterally ENMG findings may be seen (15).

According to literature it is said that electrophysiological findings of C6 radiculopathies are less typical and specific compared to other radiculopathies on different levels. C6 radiculopathies may have electrophysiological symptoms of C5 and/or C7 radiculopathies (9). This case had diffuse proximal muscle weakness and showed denervation findings on EMG studies. These symptoms may have been due to C6 radiculopathy. However it was unlikely to explain the fibrillation and positive sharp waves of first dorsal interosseous muscle, which is innervated by C8-T1 roots. Patchy distribution findings of paresis supported diagnosis of IBN. On the other hand this case had also unilaterally clinical symptoms but bilaterally ENMG findings that were consistent with bilateral IBN. Lateral antebrachial cutaneous sensory responses were absent, and this is a symptom of upper trunk brachial plexopathy. Paraspinal EMG results were normal as would be expected with IBN. When all of the results above were considered these led us to the diagnosis of IBN.

Idiopathic brachial neuritis is a benign disorder with good prognosis. Approximately 80%-90% of the patients recover after 2-3 years, but 35%-70% may remain with residual paresis and exercise intolerance (16, 17). Complete recovery of muscle strength and functioning is common. However duration of recovery alters from one patient to the next. It may go from 1 month up to 3 years. Sensory and motor improvements occur at the same rate. If pain is intense

and muscle weakness is severe, healing process takes more time. If there is more than one nerve involved, recovery is expected to be slower. In upper trunks lesions, prognosis is better (10, 16). Exceptionally spontaneous recovery does not take place and the patient may require surgical intervention.

To conclude, incidence of IBN is not as rare as previously thought. It needs to be considered as a differential diagnosis in patients who complain about acute intense shoulder pain. A detailed history and physical examination are required for diagnosis. CR should be excluded to avoid unnecessary and inappropriate interventions. In order to differentiate IBN from CR, detailed ENMG studies on both affected and unaffected (contra-lateral) sides may help clinicians for early diagnosis.

References

1. Crag A, Richardson JK. Rehabilitation of patients with neuropathies. In: Braddom RL editor. *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 4th ed.: Elsevier Saunders; 2011. p.1065-95.
2. Monteiro Dos Santos RB, Dos Santos SM, Carneiro Leal FJ, Lins OG, Magalhaes C, Mertens Fittipaldi RB. Parsonage-Turner syndrome. *Rev Bras Ortop* 2015; 50: 336-41.
3. van Alfen N, van Eijk JJ, Ennik T, et al. Incidence of neuralgic amyotrophy (Parsonage Turner syndrome) in a primary care setting--a prospective cohort study. *PLoS One* 2015; 10: e0128361.
4. Miller JD, Pruitt S, McDonald TJ. Acute brachial plexus neuritis: an uncommon cause of shoulder pain. *American family physician* 2000; 62: 2067-72.
5. Van Eijk JJ, Groothuis JT, Van Alfen N. Neuralgic amyotrophy: An update on diagnosis, pathophysiology, and treatment. *Muscle Nerve* 2016; 53: 337-50.
6. Mamula CJ, Erhard RE, Piva SR. Cervical radiculopathy or Parsonage-Turner syndrome: differential diagnosis of a patient with neck and upper extremity symptoms. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy* 2005; 35: 659-64.
7. Gonzalez-Alegre P, Recober A, Kelkar P. Idiopathic brachial neuritis. *The Iowa orthopaedic journal* 2002; 22: 81.
8. van Alfen N, van Engelen BG. The clinical spectrum of neuralgic amyotrophy in 246 cases. *Brain* 2006; 129: 438-50.
9. Dumitru D, Zwarts M. *Brachial plexopathies and proximal mononeuropathies*. Electrodiagnostic medicine, 2nd edn Mosby, St Luis, MO 2002: 623-4.
10. Moghekar AR, Moghekar AR, Karli N, Chaudhry V. Brachial plexopathies: etiology, frequency, and electrodiagnostic localization. *Journal of clinical neuromuscular disease* 2007; 9: 243-7.
11. van Alfen N. The neuralgic amyotrophy consultation. *Journal of neurology* 2007; 254: 695-704.
12. Fransz DP, Schönhuth CP, Postma TJ, van Royen BJ. Parsonage-Turner syndrome following post-exposure prophylaxis. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders* 2014; 15: 265.
13. Yang JS, Cho YJ, Kang SH, Choi EH. Neuralgic Amyotrophy Manifesting as Mimicking Posterior Interosseous Nerve Palsy. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 2015; 58: 491-3.
14. Simmons Z. Electrodiagnosis of brachial plexopathies and proximal upper extremity neuropathies. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America* 2013; 24: 13-32.
15. Saurez GA. Immune brachial plexus neuropathy. In: Dyck PJ T, P K. editor. *Peripheral Neuropathy*. 2005. p.2299-308.
16. Tjoumakaris FP, Anakwenze OA, Kancherla V, Pulos N. Neuralgic amyotrophy (Parsonage-Turner syndrome). *Journal of the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons* 2012; 20: 443-9.
17. van Alfen N. Clinical and pathophysiological concepts of neuralgic amyotrophy. *Nature Reviews Neurology* 2011; 7: 315-22.