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Abstract

Aim: The purpose of this study was to investigate the detection rate of fractures by triage nurses by applying the Ottawa foot rule.

Materials and Methods: This is a prospective observational validation study that was designed in a training and research hospital between January and December 2013 on 98 patients with isolated foot injury. After triage nurses were provided training on the Ottawa foot rule for 4 hours, they evaluated patients with foot trauma by applying the rule. Foot radiographs were obtained from all trauma patients who were evaluated in the triage. Radiographs were evaluated by an emergency medicine specialist and fractures were determined.

Results: Data collection procedures included the evaluation of 90 out of 98 patients who demonstrated one or more qualities of the Ottawa foot rule according to the trained nurses. The fracture prediction rate of the triage nurses using the Ottawa foot rule was found to be 14.4%. The sensitivity of the “the inability to take four steps in the Emergency Department” was 100% and the specificity was 41.6%. Of all patients, 69.2% with fractures were aged <55 years, while 30.8% were aged ≥55 years.

Conclusion: This study revealed that triage nurses could successfully perform the Ottawa foot rule after a brief training. According to the Ottawa foot rule applied by the triage nurses, the “inability to take four steps in the Emergency Department” rule was found to be the most significant.
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Introduction

Emergency departments (EDs) face overcrowding, particularly during rush hours. One of the reasons for this overcrowding is the admission of minor trauma patients (1). Acute foot injuries are the primary reason for applying to the ED with minor trauma, but there is no fracture detected in most of the patients upon radiological visualization (2, 3). Despite the low incidence of fractures, the common clinical practice in most EDs is to evaluate these patients using radiography to avoid medicolegal problems and patients’ dissatisfaction in addition to the real indications (4-7).

To overcome this problem, clinical decision rules for ordering diagnostic radiographs for in-patients with acute foot injuries have been developed and the Ottawa foot rule is one such rule. Stiell et al. (8) described the Ottawa foot rule as experiencing tender pain at the navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus, in the middle foot, inability to take four steps due to not bearing weight on foot immediately after trauma or in the ED (8-10).
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The Ottawa foot rule can determine the possibility of fracture and reduce the number of negative radiographies (11). A prospective observational study determined that ED triage nurses can successfully apply this rule (12).

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the detection rate of fractures by triage nurses applying the Ottawa foot rule.

Materials and Methods

Study design and setting
This prospective observational study was designed in a tertiary care hospital between January 2013 and December 2013 on 98 patients with isolated foot injury except ankle. The study protocol was examined and approved by the ethics committee of Dr Lutfi Kirdar Kartal Training and Research Hospital.

Selection of participants
All patients admitted to the ED with the complaint of foot trauma were investigated for eligibility in the study. All patients aged >10 years with isolated foot injury sustained within 7 days were included in the study and provided written informed consent.

Patients who had been transferred from another hospital on diagnosis of fracture, pregnancy, altered mental state, making an application for the second time, multiple trauma patients, and patients with isolated skin injuries, such as burns, abrasions, lacerations, and puncture wounds were excluded from the study.

Observer groups
The triage nurses group consisted of four ED nurses. The median age for the triage nurses was 30 years, and the median duration of their clinical experience in the ED was 7 years. The triage nurses groups included two males and two females.

Study protocol
Triage nurses were provided training in the anatomy of foot, Ottawa foot rule, objective of the study, study protocol for foot examination, and a practice of Ottawa foot rule for 4 hours by an emergency medicine specialist. After an interactive and applied training, data including patients’ age and sex were collected and Ottawa foot rule screening was performed.

Triage nurses evaluated patients by applying the Ottawa foot rule as experiencing tender pain at the navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus in the middle foot and the inability to take four steps immediately after trauma or in the ED. They recommended foot radiographies in patients meeting any of the rules. Foot radiographies were performed for all patients (n=98) admitted to the ED and evaluated in the triage with foot trauma. Patients and their radiographies were evaluated by an emergency medicine specialist and fractures were determined. The sensitivity and specificity of each components of the Ottawa foot rule were calculated.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS Corp.; Armonk, NY, USA) 19.0 software. Descriptive statistics were expressed as frequency and percentage.

Results
Ninety-eight patients who had applied to the emergency service with the complaint of isolated foot trauma were evaluated by the trained nurses and underwent foot radiography. Ninety of 98 patients demonstrated one or more component of the Ottawa foot rule (Figure 1), and 13 patients (14.4%) were identified with a fracture in the foot radiograph. At least one component of the Ottawa foot rule was positive in all patients who were identified with fracture in the foot radiograph. The Ottawa rule applied by nurses for diagnosing foot fracture had a 100% sensitivity and 10.4% specificity.

Of the 90 patients who demonstrated one or more components of the Ottawa foot rule, 64.4% (n=58) were males and 35.6% (n=32) were females. The average age was 30±15 years (min. 10, max. 70). The fracture rate was observed in 15.6% of females and 13% of males.

While 69.2% (n=9) of the patients with fractures experienced tender pain on the navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus, 30.8% (n=4) experienced no tender pain. In contrast, 61.0% (n=47) of the patients without fractures experienced tender pain on navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus. The sensitivity of the tender pain on navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus with fractures was 69.2% and the specificity was 39.0% (Table 1).

The Fisher exact and Chi-square tests were used to determine the difference between groups. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant for all tests.

Table 1. The relationship between Ottawa foot rule and fractures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fracture</th>
<th>No % (n)</th>
<th>Yes % (n)</th>
<th>Total % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tender pain on navicular or basis of the fifth metatarsus</td>
<td>69.2 (9)</td>
<td>61.0 (47)</td>
<td>62.2 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tender pain on the middle foot</td>
<td>30.8 (4)</td>
<td>39.0 (30)</td>
<td>37.8 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to take four steps</td>
<td>46.2 (6)</td>
<td>81.8 (63)</td>
<td>76.7 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to take four steps</td>
<td>53.8 (7)</td>
<td>18.2 (14)</td>
<td>23.3 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to take four steps</td>
<td>100.0 (13)</td>
<td>58.4 (45)</td>
<td>64.4 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to take four steps</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>41.6 (32)</td>
<td>35.6 (32)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Written informed consent was obtained from patients and Ethics committee approval was received out considering other rules.

This rule indicates the adequacy to suggest foot radiographies with 100% and the specificity was 41.6%. Moreover, 100% sensitivity of this rule was the best predictor within the rule. The sensitivity of this rule was 46.2% and the specificity was 18.2% (p=0.010; Table 1).

Of the patients without fractures, 58.4% (n=45) were unable to take four steps in the ED. The sensitivity of the inability to take four steps in the ED for diagnosing a fracture was 100% and the specificity was 41.6% (Table 1).

Of all 90 patients, 7.8% (n=7) were aged >55 years. Fractures were identified in 10.84% (n=9) of patients younger than 55 years, while fractures were identified in 57.1% of patients older than 54 years (p=0.008; Table 2).

### Discussion

In this study, we aimed to evaluate the detection rate of fractures by triage nurses applying the Ottawa foot rule. We showed that the detection rate of fractures by triage nurses applying the Ottawa foot rule was 14.4%. In recent studies, the detection rate of fractures by triage nurses was similar to that in our study. Crosswell et al. (6) performed a study on doctors and found the ratio to be 15%. This ratio was found to be 16% and 17% in the studies conducted by Knudsen et al. (13) and Morrisin and Lovell (14). Our results were also similar to those of other studies and the ratio was 14.4%. Hence, our nurses successfully performed the Ottawa foot rule.

Our nurses performed Ottawa foot rule after a 4-hour interactive and practical training, and we found that they could evaluate fractures with ratio of 14.4%. Derksen et al. (15) found similar results where nurses could evaluate patients after a brief training on the Ottawa foot rule. In the United States, nurses who were working at the triage training were trained of the same practice to evaluate minor trauma patients, and they were provided the title of mid-level practitioners (16). This was performed to reduce overcrowding of the ED and workload of doctors. However, this practice was difficult due to the long and expensive training period, which was not a part of nursing (17).

In the present study, when the Ottawa foot rule was applied by the triage nurses, sensitivity and specificity of each parameter of the Ottawa foot rule was evaluated. Inability to take four steps in the ED was the best predictor within the rule. The sensitivity of this rule was 100% and the specificity was 41.6%. Moreover, 100% sensitivity of this rule indicates the adequacy to suggest foot radiographies without considering other rules.

In this study, fracture incidence of patients >55 years was 5.28 times that of those <55 years. Hence, we can suggest that the Ottawa foot rule may be modified for two criteria, including the age of 55 years and the inability to take four steps immediately after trauma or in ED, similar to the Pittsburgh knee rule, which can be used as an alternative to the Ottawa knee rule, and includes criteria such as age and inability to take four steps immediately after trauma or in ED (18).

### Study limitations

There exist certain limitations to this study. This is a single-center study, the number of patients was small, and the fracture rates were lower since major trauma patients were excluded.

### Conclusion

Triage nurses could successfully perform the rule after a brief training. The similarity between doctors and triage nurses ratio for fracture determination with Ottawa rule is the indicator.

According to the Ottawa foot rule applied by the triage nurses, inability to take four steps in the ED was the most sensitive: the sensitivity of this criterion was 100% and the specificity was 41.6%. Ottawa foot rule modified by the inability to take four steps in the ED and applied to the patients aged >55 years will be at the forefront and can reduce unnecessary imaging.

### Table 2. Distribution of fractures according to age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group, years</th>
<th>Fracture</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
<td>% (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture Yes</td>
<td>10.8 (9)</td>
<td>57.1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>89.2 (74)</td>
<td>42.9 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0 (83)</td>
<td>100.0 (7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While 46.2% (n=6) patients with a fracture felt tender pain in the middle foot, 53.8% (n=7) did not state any tender pain. Of the patients, 81.8% without a fracture felt tender pain in the middle foot. The sensitivity of tender pain on the middle foot among fracture cases was 46.2% and the specificity was 18.2% (p=0.010; Table 1).

Of the patients without fractures, 58.4% (n=45) were unable to take four steps in the ED. The sensitivity of the inability to take four steps in the ED for diagnosing a fracture was 100% and the specificity was 41.6% (Table 1).

Of all 90 patients, 7.8% (n=7) were aged >55 years. Fractures were identified in 10.84% (n=9) of patients younger than 55 years, while fractures were identified in 57.1% of patients older than 54 years (p=0.008; Table 2).

### Conclusion

Triage nurses could successfully perform the rule after a brief training. The similarity between doctors and triage nurses ratio for fracture determination with Ottawa rule is the indicator.

According to the Ottawa foot rule applied by the triage nurses, inability to take four steps in the ED was the most sensitive: the sensitivity of this criterion was 100% and the specificity was 41.6%. Ottawa foot rule modified by the inability to take four steps in the ED and applied to the patients aged >55 years will be at the forefront and can reduce unnecessary imaging.
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