ORIGINAL RESEARCH / *ÖZGÜN ARAŞTIRMA*

🆔 **Banu Çevik**
🆔 **Fatih Doğu Geyik**

Prolonged stay in intensive care unit: Retrospective analysis of predisposing factors and outcome

Yoğun bakımda uzun yatış: Etkileyen faktörler ve sağkalımın retrospektif analizi

Banu Çevik
University Of Health Sciences, Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Istanbul, Turkey

Fatih Doğu Geyik
University Of Health Sciences, Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Istanbul, Turkey

Banu Çevik (✉),
University Of Health Sciences, Kartal Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Training and Research Hospital, Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Istanbul, Turkey

E-mail : banueler@yahoo.com
Phone : +90 216 441 39 00

ABSTRACT *Objective:* Prolonged stay in intensive care unit (ICU) is a serious problem resulting in increased cost, resource utilization, and patients' morbidity and mortality. This study was aimed to discuss the factors affecting the prolonged stay in ICU.
Materials and Methods: Between 2015and 2017, 219 patients were evaluated retrospectively. The factors affecting of the prolonged stay in ICU were evaluated in respect of age, gender, the reason of admission, length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, requiring renal replacement therapy, tracheotomy, blood transfusion, inotropic and vasopressor agents and identified infection.
Results: The percentage of the prolonged stay in ICU was 14.56% of overall admissions. The mean age of the patients was 64.74±18.18 years and the age is a predictive factor for the prolonged stay (p=0.006). The percentage of the male patient was %63.47 and the 67.58% of all patients were admitted due to non-surgical reasons. Gender (p=0.73) and the cause of admission (p=0.629) were not predictive factors for the prolonged stay. High APACHE II score (p=0.000), duration of mechanical ventilation (p=0.025), renal replacement therapy (p=0.000), tracheotomy (p=0.25) and inotropic and vasopressor agents requirements (p=0.000) were the other predictors of the prolonged stay in ICU. The mortality was 67.13% and 85.04% respectively in all admissions and in patients staying more than 30 days in ICU. Mortality was significantly high in long-term patients (p=0.004).
Conclusion: Many reasons cause prolongation of ICU stay and this leads to the failure to use ICU resources efficiently. We are of the opinion that, the improvements in the intermediate and palliative care units and wide-spread home care facilities will play an impressive role in the increasing of ICU beds availability.
Keywords: Intensive care unit, length of stay, risk factors

ÖZ *Amaç:* Yoğun bakım ünitelerinde uzun yatış, maliyetin artması, kaynakların kullanılması, hasta mortalite ve morbiditesi ile sonuçlanan ciddi bir problemdir. Bu çalışmada yoğun bakım ünitesinde yatış süresinin uzamasına etki eden faktörlerin tartışılması amaçlandı.
Gereç ve Yöntem: 2015-2017 yılları arasında 14 günden uzun yatan 219 hasta retrospektif olarak incelendi. Yaş, cinsiyet, yatış nedeni, yatış süresi, mekanik ventilasyon süresi, renal replasman tedavisi ihtiyacı, trakeotomi, kan transfüzyonu ihtiyacı, inotropik ve vazopresör ilaçların kullanımı ve tanımlanmış enfeksiyon varlığının yatış süresi üzerine etkileri incelendi.
Bulgular: Uzun yatan hasta oranı tüm yatışların %14.56'sı idi. Yaş ortalaması 64.74±18.18 yıldı ve kalış süresinin uzamasında belirleyici bir faktör olarak bulundu (p=0.006). Erkek hastaların oranı %63.47 idi ve tüm hastaların % 67.58'si cerrahi dışı nedenlerden dolayı kabul edilmişti. Cinsiyet (p=0.73) ve yatış nedeni (p=0.629) yatış süresini etkileyen faktörler olarak saptanmadı. Yüksek APACHE II skoru (p=0.000), mekanik ventilasyon süresi (p=0.025), renal replasman tedavisi (p=0.000), trakeotomi (p=0.25), inotropik ve vazopresör ihtiyacı (p=0.015) yoğun bakım ünitesinde uzun yatış süresini etkileyen diğer faktörler olarak belirlendi. Mortalite tüm uzun yatışlarda % 67.13, yatış süresi 30 günden fazla olan hastalarda % 85.04 idi. Yatış süresi uzamış hastalarda mortalite anlamlı olarak yüksek bulundu (p=0.004).
Sonuç: Birçok nedenden dolayı yoğun bakım hastalarının yatış süreleri uzamakta ve bu durum yoğun bakım kaynaklarının verimli kullanılamamasının önemli nedenlerinden biri olarak karşımıza çıkmaktadır. Palyatif ve ara bakım ünitelerinin geliştirilmesi ve evde bakım hizmetlerinin yaygınlaştırılmasının yoğun bakım yatak uygunluk oranlarının arttırılmasında büyük rol oynayacağı kanısındayız.
Anahtar Kelimeler: yoğun bakım ünitesi, yatış süresi, risk faktörleri

Introduction

Intensive care unit (ICU) is an important part of the healthcare system in which dedicated to patients having severe, life-threatening diseases and requiring continuous life-support with specialized healthcare team besides advanced monitoring equipment (1). Because of rapid population growth and new developments in medical care, demand for ICU beds increases at a greater rate than supply and this suggests a major problem of triage decisions. Unavailable beds cause delay to ICU admissions and result in a high mortality rate (2).

Time spent in ICU is not accurately predictable and the most encountered question is how long it will take the patient to recover and discharge. There is no consensus definition of the prolonged length of stay (LoS) and the percentage of patients with LoS varies from one center to another. It has been variously defined as over 10, 21 or 30 days (3-5). More recently Zampieri et al.(6) described at the least a 14-day stay in ICU as being prolonged. The ratio of the prolonged stay was previously reported on 4-11% of all admission (7-9). Some suggested that up to 45% of all ICU stays may be consumed by these patients (9).

Different factors have been discussed as contributors to a prolonged stay in ICU. Need for mechanical ventilation (9), intracranial mass (6), APACHE II score (10) and blood transfusion (11) were identified as factors associated with the prolonged stay.

There is limited data from Turkey related to patient's characteristic having prolonged LoS. Aygencel et al. (12) reported a ratio of 9.3% patients with ICU stay≥ 21 days in a tertiary medical ICU. Köse et al. (13) suggested a ratio of 11.3% in critically ill patients staying ≥28 days in another tertiary center. The main goal of this study was to document the factors associated with LoS in a mixed medical-surgical tertiary ICU and outcome of these patients.

Materials and Methods

After Ethical Committee approval, a 3-yr-retrospective study (2015-2017) conducted at a 680-bed- referral hospital affiliated to xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx with a 32-bed ICU that admits 1600 patients per year. In our hospital, there are 3 mixed medical-surgical closed tertiary units accepting all surgical (except cardiovascular surgery) and non-surgical critically ill patients under the Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care

with anesthesiologists available 24/7. There is also 6-beds-burn intensive care unit staffing by the anesthesiologist excluded from this study. Data was collected from the 10-bed tertiary ICU mostly accepting patients from operating theater and wards.

All data were recorded in a three-step process from admission registry, patients' charts and hospital electronic database including age, gender, the reason of admission, length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, requiring renal replacement therapy, tracheotomy, blood transfusion, inotropic and vasopressor agents, identified infection and outcome. Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II scores and Predicted Death Rate (PDR) was also recorded from the patients' first-day follow-up charts previously calculated online by http://ybs.saglik.gov.tr with the Health Quality Standards (HQS) of The Ministry of Health of Turkey.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables such as age, length of stay, duration of mechanical ventilation, APACHE II scores were expressed as mean (standard deviation). Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to investigate the predictors of LoS. Results are presented as odds ratios with 95%confidential and p<0.05 values were accepted as statistically significant.

Results

The study group was composed of 219 (14.56 % of overall admissions) patients stayed longer than 14 days between 2015-2017 years with a mean LOS of 40.47±43.93 days (14-335). Mean age of the patients was 64.74±18.18 (16-98) years with male gender prominence (63.47%). The cause of admission in 148 patients was non-surgical. The mean APACHE II score was 22.60±5.21 and the mean PDR was 44.87±17.13 %. In 97 patients the length of MV was longer than 21 days. In 52 patients (23.74%) renal replacement therapy and in 86 patients (39.27%) tracheotomy was performed. Transfusion rate was 15.52% (n=34). Infection was diagnosed with 32.88% (n=72) of the patients. Inotropic and vasopressor agent requirement was 61.64% (135 patients). The mortality rate was 67.12% (Table 1).

When the patients were divided into 2 groups according to the LOS as >30 days (Group I) or <30 days (Group II), 127 patients were in Group I and 92 patients were in Group II.

There was a statistically significant difference in respect of age, length of MV, the requirement of tracheotomy, infection and mortality between groups. Gender, the cause of ICU admission, APACHE score, PDR, renal replacement therapy and transfusion requirements showed the insignificant difference (Table 1).

When regression analysis was performed to evaluate the predictors of mortality, the age, APACHE score, PDR, length of MV, renal replacement therapy, tracheotomy and use of inotropic and vasopressor agents were found highly predictive factors (Table 2).

Discussion

We considered as prolonged stay longer than 14 days in a tertiary ICU accepting mixed surgical and non-surgical

Table 1. Demographic data and clinical characteristics of the patients.				
	All patients (n=219)	LOS>30 days (n=127)	LOS<30 days (n=92)	P value
Age (years) (mean± ss)	64.74±18.18	66.58±16.37	62.21±20.26	**0.013***
Gender (n/%) Female Male	80 (36.53) 139 (63.47)	47 (37.00) 80 (63.00)	33 (35.87) 59 (64.13)	0.73
Cause of ICU admission (n/%) Non-surgical Surgical	148 (67.58) 71 (32.42)	86 (67.72) 41 (32.28)	62 (67.39) 30 (32.61)	0.629
APACHE II score	22.60±5.21	23.02±4.98	22.02±5.48	0.318
PDR (%)	44.87±17.13	45.98±16.65	43.33±17.73	0.432
Length of MV (n/%) <21 days >21 days	97 (44.29) 122 (55.71)	29 (22.83) 98 (77.17)	68 (73.91) 24 (26.08)	**0.000***
RRT (n/%) + -	52 (23.75) 167 (76.25)	28 (22.05) 99 (77.95)	24 (26.09) 68 (73.91)	0.587
Tracheotomy (n/%) + -	86 (39.27) 133 (60.73)	68 (53.54) 59 (46.46)	18 (19.56) 74 (80.44)	**0.000***
Transfusion (n/%) + -	34 (15.52) 185 (84.48)	18 (14.17) 109 (85.83)	16 (17.39) 76 (82.61)	0.831
Infection (n/%) + -	72 (32.87) 147 (67.13)	65 (51.18) 62 (48.82)	7 (7.61) 85 (92.39)	**0.000***
Mortality + -	147 (67.13) 72 (32.87)	108 (85.04) 19 (14.96)	39 (42.39) 53 (57.61)	**0.004***
Inotropic and vasopressor agent (n/%) + -	135 (61.64) 84 (38.36)	103 (81.10) 24 (18.90)	32 (34.78) 60 (65.22)	**0.015***
LOS: Length of stay, ICU: Intensive care unit, PDR: Predictive death rate, MV: Mechanical ventilation, RRT: Renal replacement therapy *p<0.05 significant				

Table 2. Predictors of mortality			
	Pearson correlation	(CI 95%)	P value
Age	0.169	(-0.002-0.006)	**0.006***
Gender	-0.022	(-0.103-0.140)	0.371
Cause of ICU admission	-0.042	(-0.113-0.150)	0.27
APACHE II score	0.361	(-0.069-0.112)	**0.000***
PDR	0.356	(-0.026-0.029)	**0.000***
Length of MV	0.133	(0.060-0.359)	**0.025***
Renal replacement therapy	0.231	(0.045-0.333)	**0.000***
Tracheotomy	0.132	(-0.292-0.015)	**0.025***
Transfusion	0.025	(-0.205-0.127)	0.355
Infection	0.034	(-0.120-0.179)	0.307
Inotropic and vasopressor agent	0.304	(0.086-0.333)	**0.000***
*p<0.05 significant			

patients with a mean LoS of 40.47±43.93 days. The reasons were multi-factorial and showed variability according to ICU characteristics. Martini et al. (14) reported a mean 116 days of stay in surgical critical care patients. On the other hand, in a respiratory ICU, this ratio changed to a mean 24.17±8.38 days (15). Even nurse to patient ratio may affect the stay the patient in ICU (16).

According to gender characteristics, most of the patients were men in our study (63.47%). Gender-related differences were discussed in previous studies but it's unclear whether the gender was a predictive factor of clinical outcome. In Austria, men were admitted to ICU more than women even the severity of illness was the grater in women (17). A study from Sweden represented that 60% of patients were men in ICU but their severity of illness was similar to women (18). Some authors suggested gender-based treatments due to biologic differences between genders (19). In risk-adjusted mortality, male sex was associated with a lower mortality rate after cardiac arrest compared to menopausal aged women (20). In our study, gender was not a predictive factor for prolonged stay.

Advanced age is considered to associate with high mortality rate. There is conflict data about the correlation between age and poor prognosis. Some small samples identified advanced age to be associated with high mortality (21, 22) but in a larger group of patient, no correlation was found (23). In a Nigerian tertiary hospital, the ratio of patients older than 50 years with prolonged LoS was 28.9% (11). In our study, the mean age of patients was significantly higher in patients staying more than 30 days in ICU (p=0.006).

In literature, unexpected admissions of patients from wards or after surgical procedures correlated with LoS in ICU (6, 9). In our results, Los was similar in surgical and non-surgical patients and not found a predictive factor of Los (p=0.27).

The mean APACHE II score of the patients was 22.60±5.21. The score was higher in patients staying more than 30 days (23.02±4.98) with an insignificant difference. High APACHE II score was related to increased risk of death, so PDR of these patients increased as high as 45.98±16.65 %. Oliveria et al reported that APACHE II score>11 was significantly related to LoS in ICU (10).

Duration of mechanical ventilation showed a correlation with prolonged stay ICU in this study (p=0.25). Similar results were also reported by many authors (6,10,25). National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care (NAMDRC) 2004 consensus stated that ICU care improvement and technological developments resulted with the prolonged mechanical ventilation (24). Prolonged mechanical ventilation associated with the infection which indicated worse prognosis (25).

Acute or chronic renal failure is a common condition which is frequently requiring dialysis strategies. In our center, we prefer continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) in ICU conducted by anesthesiologists. The ratio of CRRT in our study group was 23.74% and found a predictive factor of LoS.

Tracheotomy is the most frequent surgical procedure in ICUs with the indications of prolonged mechanical ventilation, weaning failure and obstruction in the upper airway. The

LoS in patients with tracheotomy was reported longer than intubated patients (26, 27). Our results also showed that the tracheotomy was a predictive factor of prolonged ICU stay.

Infection in ICU is a serious concern resulting in many clinical and medico-legal problems. Prolonged LoS is one of these problems resulting in unavailability of ICU beds. Dasgupta et al reported that infected patients stayed approximately more than 3-times longer than uninfected patients (17.28±8.59 vs 5.8±4.72 days, p<0.001) (28). In our study, there was a significant difference between patients with LOS≤30 days or more but in regression analysis infection was not found as a predictor. This was a limitation of this study. The infection sources and pathogen identifications were not handled in this study. We simply evaluated the patients whether the infection was present or not. This issue may be a subject of another detailed study regarding the correlation between infection and the length of stay in ICU.

Inotropic agents and vasopressors are widely used pharmacological treatments in ICUs for many clinical settings to increase myocardial contractility and vascular tone. Despite widespread usage, understanding of the clinical effects of these agents was not clearly understood (29). In our study, the usage of these medications prolonged the LOS of patients. Another limitation of this study was the indications of inotropic and vasopressor agents. Evaluation of the requirements may explain the reasons for the prolonged stay in ICU more clearly. This subject may be evaluated in another clinical study.

Overall mortality was 67.12% in our patients. This seemed high but in mixed medical- surgical ICUs this ratio may show a great variability. One-year mortality rate was reported as 94.3% and 61.3 % in older and younger patients respectively (13). It's better to examine the mortality within specific diagnostic groups but this issue was not a goal of this study.

This was a single-center investigation and reflected only our results. Multi-center analysis concerning the predictive factors of the prolonged stay in ICUs may be more helpful to understand the underlying reasons.

Conclusion

As a result, long-term patients constitute a considerable proportion of overall admissions to ICU with a high mortality rate. Overall mortality was 67.12% in our study; age, APACHE II score, duration of mechanical ventilation, renal replacement therapy requirement, presence of tracheotomy and the infusion of inotropic and vasopressor agents were found as predictive factors for prolonged stay in ICU. The reasons of LoS are multifactorial and show a wide variability between centers. It results with the unavailability of ICU beds despite of increasing the number of patients demanding for critical care. The development of intermediate and palliative care units and increasing in home care facilities will reduce the non-beneficial use of ICU resources.

Ethics

Ethics: This study was approved by Ethical Committee (29/05/2018-2018/514/130/11)

Author Contribution

Surgical and Medical Practices: BÇ, FDG; Concept: BÇ; Design: BÇ; Data collection and processing: BÇ; Analysis and interpretation: BÇ, FDG; Literature search: BÇ; Writing: BÇ

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by authors

Financial disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Marshall JC, Bosco L, Adhikari NK, Connolly B, Diaz JV, Dorman T, Fowler RA, Meyfroidt G, Nakagawa S, Pelosi P, Vincent JL, Vollman K, Zimmerman J. What is an intensive care unit? A report of the task force of the World Federation of Societies of Intensive and Critical Care Medicine. J Crit Care 2017; 37: 270-276.

2. Chalfin DB, Trzeciak S, Likourezos A, Baumann B, DellingerRP, DELAY-ED study group. Impact of delayed transfer of critically ill patients from the emergency department to the intensive care unit. Crit Care Med 2007; 35(6):1477-83.

3. Williams TA, Ho KM, Dobb GJ, Finn JC, Knuiman M, Webb SA; Royal Perth Hospital ICU Data Linkage Group. Effect of length of stay in intensive care unit on hospital and long-term mortality of critically ill adult patients. Br J Anaesth 2010; 104(4):459-64.

4. Crozier T, Pilcher DV, Bailey MJ, George C, Hart GK Long stay patients in Australian and New Zealand intensive care units: demographics and outcomes. Crit Care Resusc 2007; 9(4):327-33.

5. Eggenberger E, Marquez S, Doan T, Radosevich DM, Chipman JG, Banton KL, Beilman GJ. Stuck in the Unit: Three-year outcomes following prolonged stay in the surgical intensive care unit. Surgical Science 2014; 5:376-83.

6. Zampieri FG, Ladeira JP, Park M, Haib D, Pastore CL, Santoro CM, Colombari F. Admission factors associated with prolonged (>14 days) intensive care unit stay. J Crit Care 2014; 29 (1):60-5.

7. Laupland KB, Kirkpatrick AW, Kortbeek JB, Zuege DJ. Long-term mortality outcome associated with prolonged admission to the ICU. Chest 2006; 129(4): 954-9.

8. Heyland DK, Konopad E, Noseworthy TW, Johnston R, Gafni A. Is it 'worthwhile' to continue treating patients with a prolonged stay (>14 days) in the ICU? An economic evaluation. Chest 1998; 114(1):192-8.

9. Arabi Y, Venkatesh S, Haddad S, Al Shimemeri A, Al Malik S. A prospective study of prolonged stay in the intensive care unit: predictors and impact on resource utilization. Int J Qual Health Care 2002; 14 (5):403-10.

10. Oliveira AB, Dias OM, Mello MM, Araújo S, Dragosavac D, Nucci A, Falcão AL. Factors associated with increased mortality and prolonged length of stay in an adult intensive care unit. Rev Bras Ter Intensiva 2010; 22(3):250-6.

11. Tobi KU, Amadasun FE. Prolonged stay in the Intensive Care Unit of a tertiary hospital in Nigeria : Predisposing factors and outcome. Afr J Med Health Sci 2015; 14:56-60.

12. Aygencel G, Türkoğlu M. Characteristics, outcomes and cost of prolonged stay ICU patients. Yoğun Bakım Derg 2011; 3:53-8.

13. Kose I, Zinciroglu C, Ozturk YK, Senoglu N, Erbay RH. Characteristics and outcomes of patients with prolonged stays in an intensive care unit. Eur J Gen Med 2016; 13(2):127-133.

14. Martini V, Lederer A-K, Laessle C, Makowiec F, Utzolino S, Fichtner-Feigl S, Kousoulas L. Clinical Characteristics and Outcomes of Surgical Patients with Intensive Care Unit Lengths of Stay of 90 Days and Greater. Crit Care Res Pract 2017; 2017: 9852017.

15. Khattab AM, Abd Elgawad El-Masry A, El Maraghy AA, Ahmed NO. Predictors and outcome of prolonged stay in the respiratory ICU. Egypt J Bronchol 2018; 12:57-68.

16. Verburg IWM, Holman R, Dongelmans D, de Jonge E, de Keizer NF. Is patient length of stay associated with intensive care unit characteristics? J Crit Care 2018 Feb; 43:114-121.

17. Valentin A, Jordan B, Lang T, Hiesmayr M, Metnitz PG. Gender-related differences in intensive care: a multiple-center cohort study of therapeutic interventions and outcome in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 2003; 31(7):1901-7.

18. Banck M, Walther S, Karlstrom G, Nolin T, Sjoberg F, Samuelsson C. There are more male than female patients within intensive care. But it is still unclear whether there are gender inequalities in Swedish intensive care or not. Lakartidningen 2014; 111(9–10):388–90.

19. Akgün KM, Murphy TE, Araujo KLB, Van Ness PH, Pisani M. Does Gender Impact Intensity of Care Provided to Older Medical Intensive Care Unit Patients? Crit Care Res Pract 2010: 404608.

20. Samuelsson C, Sjöberg F, Karlström G, Nolin T, Walther SM. Gender differences in outcome and use of resources do exist in Swedish intensive care, but to no advantage for women of premenopausal age. Critical Care 2015; 19(1):129.

21. Williams TA, Dobb GJ, Finn JC, Webb SA. Long-term survival from intensive care: A review. Intensive Care Med 2005; 31:1306–15.

22. Boumendil A, Somme D, Garrouste-Orgeas M, Guidet B. Should elderly patients be admitted to the intensive care unit? Intensive Care Med 2007 Jul; 33(7):1252.

23. Williams TA, Ho KM, Dobb GJ, Finn JC, Knuiman M, Webb SA; Royal Perth Hospital ICU Data Linkage. Effect of length of stay in intensive care unit on hospital and long-term mortality of critically ill adult patients. Br J Anaesth 2010; 104(4): 459-64.

24. MacIntyre NR, Epstein SK, Carson S, Scheinhorn D, Christopher K, Muldoon S; National Association for Medical Direction of Respiratory Care. Management of patients requiring prolonged mechanical ventilation: report of a NAMDRC consensus conference. Chest 2005; 128 (6):3937-54.

25. Higgins TL, McGee WT, Steingrub JS, Rapoport J, Lemeshow S, Teres D. Early indicators of prolonged intensive care unit stay: impact of illness severity, physician staffing, and pre-intensive care unit length of stay. Crit Care Med 2003; 31 (1): 45-51.

26. Arabi Y, Venkatesh S, Haddad S, Al Shimemeri A, Al Malik S. A prospective study of prolonged stay in the intensive care unit: predictors and impact on resource utilization. Int J Qual Health Care 2002; 14(5):403-10.

27. El-Anwar MW, Nofal AA, Shawadfy MA, Maaty A, Khazbak AO. Tracheostomy in the Intensive Care Unit: a University Hospital in a Developing Country Study. Int Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2017; 21(1): 33-37.

28. Dasgupta S, Das S, Chawan NS, Hazra A. Nosocomial infections in the intensive care unit: Incidence, risk factors, outcome and associated pathogens in a public tertiary teaching hospital of Eastern India. Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine : Peer-reviewed, Official Publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine 2015; 19(1):14-20.

29. Bangash MN, Kong M-L, Pearse RM. Use of inotropes and vasopressor agents in critically ill patients. Br J Pharmacol 2012; 165(7):2015-2033.