

Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: One Center's Five Years Experience

Üst Gastrointestinal Sistem Kanaması: Tek Merkez Beş Yıllık Deneyim

Esmâ GÜLDAL ALTUNOĞLU,¹ Cüneyt MÜDERRİSOĞLU,¹
Fusun ERDENEN,¹ Hasan BEKTAŞ,² Hayri POLAT¹

SUMMARY

Objectives: Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is important for hospitalization. We investigated the etiologies and risk factors of 792 patients admitted to our hospital over five years.

Methods: 792 patients admitted with hematemesis and/or melena were included in the study. Histories, examinations, laboratory tests, and endoscopic procedures were reported. Lesions that caused bleeding were determined, and they were identified based on Forrest classification. The specimens were evaluated in the pathology laboratory. The control group consisted of 713 patients without bleeding who had undergone gastroscopy for other complaints.

Results: There were 550 male and 242 female subjects. The percentage of GI bleeding was 70% among patients ≥ 40 years. *Helicobacter pylori* positivity with CLO tests was observed in 163 patients. The most frequent lesions were duodenal ulcer, mucosal lesions, hiatal hernia, esophageal varices, stomach ulcer, stomach cancer, and portal hypertensive gastropathy. There was history of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drug (NSAID) use in 29.5%. The middle-aged group (54.2 \pm 15.8) presented with a higher frequency of bleeding due to NSAID. Duodenal ulcer and mucosal lesions were observed more commonly among smokers and middle-aged male patients. 44 patients had a history of alcohol, which correlated with mucosal lesions, esophageal varices and portal hypertensive gastropathy. 290 patients had a history of recurrent GI bleeding, which was associated with mucosal lesions, peptic ulcer and esophageal varices.

Conclusion: UGIB is still important for morbidity and mortality. The common use of endoscopy and the increase in experience enable treatments. However, age, comorbidities, and anti-thrombotic and NSAID use continue to increase risks.

Key words: Duodenal ulcer; risk factors; upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

ÖZET

Amaç: Üst gastrointestinal sistem (GİS) kanaması hastane yatışlarının önemli bir nedenidir. Biz hastanemize beş yılda hematemez ve melena ile başvuran hastalardaki etyoloji ve risk faktörlerini inceledik.

Gereç ve Yöntem: Hematemez ve/veya melena ile başvuran 792 hasta çalışmaya alındı. Anamnez, muayene, laboratuvar bulguları ve endoskopik veriler kaydedildi. Kanama nedeni olan lezyonlar Forrest sınıflamasına göre belirlenip biyopsi örnekleri patoloji laboratuvarında değerlendirildi. Kanama öyküsü olmayan ve başka nedenlerle endoskopi yapılan 713 hasta kontrol grubu olarak alındı.

Bulgular: Hastaların 550'si erkek 242'si kadındı. Kanayanların %70'i 40 yaşının üstündeki hastalardaydı. CLO testiyle *Helicobacter pylori* pozitifliği 163 hastada saptandı. En sık görülen lezyonlar duodenal ülser, mukozal lezyonlar, hiyatal herni, özefagus varisi, mide ülseri, mide kanseri ve portal hipertansif gastropatiydi. Olguların %29.5'inde nonsteroid antiinflatuvar ilaç (NSAİ) kullanım öyküsü vardı. Orta yaş grubunda (54.2 \pm 15.8) NSAİ'ye bağlı kanama daha fazlaydı. Duodenal ülser ve mukozal lezyonlarda yaşlı, sigara içen erkeklerde daha fazlaydı. Kırk dört hastada mukozal lezyon, özefagus varisi ve portal hipertansif gastropatiyle korele alkol öyküsü vardı, 290 hastada mukozal lezyon, peptik ülser ve özefagus varisi ile ilişkili tekrarlayan kanama öyküsü vardı.

Sonuç: Tıptaki gelişmelere rağmen üst GİS kanamaları önemli morbidite ve mortalite nedenidir. Endoskopinin sık kullanımı ve artan tecrübe tedavi olanakları sağlamaktadır. Ancak yaş, komorbiditeler, antitrombotik ve NSAİ kullanımı hala riski arttırmaktadır.

Anahtar sözcükler: Duodenal ülser; risk faktörleri; üst gastrointestinal sistem kanaması.

Submitted (Geliş tarihi): 28.05.2012 Accepted (Kabul tarihi): 22.06.2012

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul

²Department of General Medicine, Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul

Correspondence (İletişim): Dr. Esmâ Güldal Altunoğlu. e-mail (e-posta): esmaaltunoglu@yahoo.com

INTRODUCTION

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is a frequent cause of hospitalization, with an average incidence ranging from 50 to 150/100,000 patients. The incidence of UGIB is higher among patients of lower socioeconomic status and increases with age.^[1,2] Moreover, UGIB is 4-fold more frequent than is lower GI bleeding and is twice as likely to occur in males compared to females.^[3-5] UGIB is an important contributor to hospital morbidity and mortality. The mortality rate which may be as high as approximately 10%, has been associated with patient's presentation and age and comorbidities.^[6-8] The most important diagnostic tool in patients with UGIB is upper GI tract endoscopy. Gastroscopy is sensitive and specific in diagnosing lesions that cause UGIB. Treatment following diagnosis may lead to hemostasis, and recurrent bleeding can be prevented in many patients. UGIB requires immediate intervention. We investigated the etiology and risk factors for UGIB in 792 patients admitted to our hospital for UGIB between 2003 and 2008.

METHODS

The records of 792 patients admitted to our hospital between January 2003 and September 2008

with hematemesis and melena were retrospectively examined. Our study was performed in a restricted Turkish population all of whom were Caucasians. Hematemesis, melena, recurrent GI bleeding, and bleeding severity were determined based on the criteria of the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Data included the results of patients' history, physical examinations, laboratory tests, and hemodynamic monitoring. The hemodynamic goals of treatment included a systolic blood pressure >100 mm Hg and a hematocrit >30%. Control group consisted of 713 patients without GIS bleeding, who had been evaluated by upper endoscopy for other complaints such as anemia or dyspepsia in the same interval. All patients provided informed consent prior to endoscopic procedures. All gastroscopic procedures were performed in our endoscopy unit, with lesions identified based on the Forrest classification and classified by etiology. Biopsies were examined by our hospital pathology department. *Helicobacter pylori* (Hp) was investigated with CLO test and histopathologically. Risk factors for UGIB included age, gender, presence of *Helicobacter pylori*, medication use (including NSAIDs and aspirin), previous GI bleeding, co-morbid conditions, and alcohol and tobacco use.

Table 1. Features of patients and controls

		GI bleeding (+) Group		GI bleeding (-) Group			OR (95%CI)
		(n)	(%)	(n)	(%)		
Age, years	≥40	610	77.0%	440	61.7%	$\chi^2:41.69$ p=0.0001	2.08 1.66-2.60
	<40	182	23.0%	273	38.3%		
Gender	Male	550	69.4%	234	32.8%	$\chi^2:201.68$ p=0.0001	4.65 3.74-5.78
	Female	242	30.6%	479	67.2%		
Aspirin use	+	62	7.8%	12	1.7%	$\chi^2:30.31$ p=0.0001	4.96 2.65-9.29
	-	730	92.2%	701	98.3%		
NSAID use	+	234	29.5%	120	16.8%	$\chi^2:33.72$ p=0.0001	2.07 1.62-2.66
	-	558	70.5%	593	83.2%		
Alcohol use	+	44	5.6%	23	3.2%	$\chi^2:4.78$ P=0.029	1.76 1.05-2.95
	-	748	94.4%	690	96.8%		
Smoker	+	115	14.5%	100	14.0%	$\chi^2:0.075$ P=0.784	1.04 0.77-1.39
	-	677	85.5%	613	86.0%		
History of bleeding	+	290	36.6%	51	7.2%	$\chi^2:185.9$ p=0.0001	7.5 5.45-10.32
	-	502	63.4%	662	92.8%		
GIS operation	+	42	5.3%	15	2.1%	$\chi^2:10.54$ P=0.001	2.6 1.43-4.74
	-	750	94.7%	698	97.9%		

Table 2. Differences between groups by accumulation of factors

Number of Factors		GI bleeding (+) Group		GI Bleeding (-) Group		OR (95%CI)
		n	%	n	%	
1	+	294	50.3%	153	23.9%	χ^2 :92.54 p=0.0001
	-	290	49.7%	487	76.1%	
2	+	147	33.6%	58	10.6%	χ^2 :77.64 p=0.0001
	-	290	66.4%	487	89.4%	
3	+	49	14.5%	11	2.2%	χ^2 :45.45 p=0.0001
	-	290	85.5%	487	97.8%	
≥4	+	12	4.0%	4	0.8%	χ^2 :9.43 p=0.002
	-	290	96.0%	487	99.2%	

Table 3. Differences between groups by accumulation of factors

		GI bleeding (+) Group		GI bleeding (-) Group		OR (95%CI)
		n	%	n	%	
Hp	+	163	54.20%	149	54.40%	χ^2 :0.003 p=0.957
	-	138	45.80%	125	45.60%	

Statistical analyses were performed using the NCSS 2007 program, with results compared using t-tests and chi-square tests. Odds ratios were used to identify risks, with statistical significance defined as a p value <0.05 with a 95% CI.

RESULTS

The baseline demographic and clinical factors of the 792 patients who underwent endoscopy because of to UGIB are shown in Table 1. Also shown are the same parameters in a control group of 713 patients who underwent gastroscopic examination for other

reasons, including anemia or dyspepsia.

Bleeders was significantly older than nonbleeders (52.30±15.70 years vs. 45.26±15.04 years, p=0.0001). Bleeders tended to be male and ≥40 years of age, with a history of aspirin and NSAID use. In addition, bleeding was 7.5-fold more frequent in patients with bleeding history than patient without a history of GI bleeding; and 2.6-fold more frequent in patients who had undergone a previous upper GI system operation. Multivariate analysis showed that older age, male gender, aspirin and NSAID use, and history of bleeding or GI surgery were risk factors for UGIB (Table 2).

We observed no correlation between Hp positivity and GI bleeding (Table 3).

Pathological endoscopic diagnosis in patients with UGIB are shown in Table 4.

We investigated the lesions according to Forrest classifications in 163 patients who had bled from gastric or duodenal ulcer. There was 1 patient in Forrest Ib and there were 18 patients in Forrest IIa, 8 patients in Forrest IIb, 11 patients in Forrest IIc and 25 patients in Forrest III. Sclerotherapy was performed using adrenalin injection in Forest Ib and Forest IIa patient groups.

Table 4. Pathological endoscopic findings

	n	%
Mucosal lesions	309	41.93
Cardioesophageal sphincter insufficiency	140	19.00
Esophageal varices	95	12.89
Hiatal hernia	75	10.18
Esophagitis	39	5.29
Duodenal ulcer	36	4.88
Cancer	16	2.17
Previous stomach surgery	12	1.63
Gastric ulcer	11	1.49
Barrett's esophagus	4	0.54
Total	792	100

DISCUSSION

UGIB is a frequently encountered emergency situation, with various etiologies, that affects patient mortality and morbidity. Hematemesis, melena, or hematochezia are the most commonly experienced clinical findings in GI bleeding. GI bleeding varies by age and gender.⁸ We found that most of our patients with UGIB were male, accounting for almost 70% of the total. Bleeding was also associated with increased age, in as much as 77% of our patients were ≥ 40 years old, in agreement with previous results.^[4,9,10]

Our patients admitted for GI bleeding and the control group was investigated endoscopically for anemia or dyspepsia. We tried to make these two groups randomly from consecutive patients. For ethical reasons we did not make a healthy control group without any risk factors. This may be a limitation of our study.

The most common cause of UGIB is the presence of duodenal ulcers, which are more frequent than gastric ulcers in such patients.^[11,12] We found that duodenal ulcers were 3-fold times more common than were gastric ulcers. Hemorrhaging of duodenal ulcers is caused by erosion of an underlying artery, with the severity of the hemorrhage being dependent on the size and diameter of the affected artery. Thus, posterior duodenal ulcers resulting from erosion of the gastroduodenal artery cause serious bleeding.^[13] Duodenal ulcers are associated with Hp infection, which disrupts the mucous barrier and has a direct inflammatory effect on the gastric and duodenal mucosae.^[14] The presence of Hp in patients with duodenal ulcers can be shown both by the CLO test and carbon urea breath test and by pathological examination. Using the CLO test, we found that 368 patients (46.4%) were Hp- positive, with 163 (54.2%) of such patients being histopathologically positive. The sensitivity of CLO-test to detect Hp is low and shows a wide difference with the material used. The gold standart test is histopathological evaluation.^[15,16] However, we observed no correlation between Hp positivity and GI bleeding.

Aspirin and other NSAIDs are major causes of UGIB worldwide.^[17-20] It has been estimated that

more than 30 million people throughout the world consume NSAIDs daily, and many studies have shown that these drugs have toxic effects on the GI mucosa. NSAIDs are used primarily by patients with musculoskeletal system diseases. These drugs have GI-related side effects, including dyspepsia, peptic ulcers, hemorrhage, and even death. NSAIDs affect cyclooxygenase-1, resulting in impairment of the mucosal defenses to acid. NSAID disturbs prostaglandin synthesis and causes a decrease in mucus and bicarbonate secretion through topical damage. In addition, such drugs inhibit mucosal proliferation by decreasing mucosal blood flow, thus causing erosions, ulcerations, and bleeding in the gastric mucosa.^[10] We found that NSAID use continues to be a significant risk factor for GI bleeding in elderly patients. For example, we found that 234 patients (29.5%) with UGIB had a history of NSAID use, including 157 (67%) males and 77 (33%) females. The middle-aged group of patients (54.2 ± 15.8 years of age) presented with a higher frequency of bleeding caused by NSAID use. Holvoet et al.^[21] reported that patients with GI bleeding from erosive lesions associated with NSAID ingestion were older than patients who did not use NSAIDs. Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) inhibitors, which are extensively used because of anti-inflammatory and analgesic properties, show decreased GI toxicity.^[22] Although different types of NSAID have been associated with various bleeding risks, no anti-inflammatory drug, including selective COX-2 inhibitors, is completely safe for the GIS.^[23-25] Furthermore, use of injurious medicine concomitantly, the combination of COX-2 inhibitors or non-selective NSAIDs with low-dose aspirin, non-aspirin antiplatelet agents, or warfarin increased the risk of GI bleeding.^[25-28]

Aspirin is a leading agent in primary and secondary protection from cardiovascular diseases. Even at low doses (75-300 mg), however, aspirin may cause GI bleeding.^[17,29,30] There was no meaningful difference in the amount of bleeding between patients taking 75 mg or 300 mg aspirin, and no differences in GI toxicity profiles between patients taking enteric-coated and conventional aspirin. However, a meta-analysis of 31 clinical studies that included 192,000 patients showed that GI bleeding was significantly

less frequent in patients taking less than 100 mg/day aspirin than in those taking more than 200 mg/day (1.56% vs. 2.29%, $p < 0.001$).^[29,30] It has been shown that the incidence of hemorrhage increases in the first two months of aspirin treatment, followed by a stable period for up to six months, with a continued but decreasing risk thereafter.^[29] We found that 7.8% of the UGIB group used aspirin regularly, a significantly higher percentage than in the control group.

Before the widespread use of fiberoptic endoscopy, it was not possible to determine the location of UGIB, but increased use of endoscopy and experience have led to better diagnosis and treatment of GI lesions. GI mucosal lesions such as gastritis, duodenitis, and gastroduodenal erosions are frequently related to the use of NSAIDs and presence of *Hp*. Often, the eradication of *Hp* and discontinuation of NSAIDs reverse mucosal lesions.^[2] We found that mucosal lesions occurred most frequently in patients with a history of GI bleeding, particularly in those who used NSAIDs. Moreover, in agreement with previous findings, we observed that NSAIDs frequently caused GI bleeding in multiple regions. NSAIDs were found to be responsible for 29.5% of all cases of UGIBs. Furthermore, the side-effects of NSAIDs are not homogeneous, being dependent on molecular structure and dosage. Although COX-2 inhibitors have been reported to decrease the GI bleeding risk;^[22,23] other studies have found no significant differences in the frequency of GI hemorrhage between patients using COX-2 inhibitors and other NSAIDs.^[24] Esophageal varicose bleedings constitute only 5-10% of all UGIBs, but may lead to more serious hemorrhage. Esophageal varices were detected during diagnosis in 60% of patients with decompensated liver disease and in 30% of patients with compensated disease. The risk of bleeding has been associated with the size and number of varices, the condition of the liver, and alcohol use.^[2] We found that bleeding was attributable to esophageal varices in 12.5% of patients, but in 20% of patients with a history of alcohol use. In patients with portal hypertension (other than varices), bleedings caused by portal gastropathy may be observed. We found that 7% of bleeders had portal hypertensive gastropathy and 12.9% showed

esophageal varices.

Alcohol can injure different areas of the upper GI tract in various ways. Even a single incident of intense alcohol use may cause mucosal inflammation and hemorrhage. As heavy alcohol intake independently increases risk, the incidence of UGIB is highest among persons who are both heavy drinkers and users of aspirin or ibuprofen.^[3,31-33] We found that only 5.6% of our patients with UGIB had a history of alcohol consumption. Smoking has also been reported to be a risk factor for GI bleeding;^[3,32,34,35] although some studies have found no such association.^[21] We did not find any association between smoking and UGIB.

A history of previous duodenal ulcer and bleeding has also been linked with both upper GI bleeding.^[28,34,35] We found that 290 patients (36.6%, $p = 0.0001$) had experienced previous GI bleeding.

140 patients had cardioesophageal sphincter insufficiency. Similarly 75 patients had hiatal hernia. Although these lesions are known not to lead bleeding per se these were the pathological findings in our patient group. These subjects might have bled before hospital admission, so no bleeding was observed at the time of endoscopic evaluation. Another reason for bleeding may also be plausible. We did not write our other diagnostic procedures and final diagnose here in this paper for the subjects who had colonoscopic or enteroscopic evaluation.

Patients with active arterial bleeding, nonbleeding visible vessel or adherent clot needs aggressive therapy with adrenalin, alcohol or sclerosan injection, electrocoagulation, heater probe coagulation or endoclips.^[36-38] We performed sclerotherapy for active bleeding patients. All patients received high dose intra venous proton pump inhibitors and transfusion when needed. None of our patients needed emergency surgery.

CONCLUSION

Despite advances in medicine and technology, UGIB is still an important cause of admission to emergency departments. Increased use of and experience with endoscopy have made it easier to diagnose and

treat such lesions. We found that age ≥ 40 years, male gender, use of antithrombotics and NSAIDs, alcohol consumption, and previous GI system operation or bleeding were significant risk factors for UGIB.

REFERENCES

1. Arasaradnam RP, Donnelly MT. Acute endoscopic intervention in non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Postgrad Med J* 2005;81:92-8.
2. Palmer K. Acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *Br Med Bull* 2007;83:307-24.
3. Mojtahed K, Hartley R, Mojtahed N, et al. GI bleeding in the elderly. *DspaceUNM*: 22.08.2008 <https://repository.unm.edu/dspace/handle/1928/6874>. (02.01.2009).
4. Rockey DC. Gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2005;34:581-8.
5. Caestecker J. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding:surgical perspective. *eMedicine* <http://www.emedicine.com/med/topic3565.htm>. (16.12.2005).
6. Eisen GM, Dominitz JA, Faigel DO, et al. An annotated algorithmic approach to upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2001;53:853-8.
7. Ferguson CB, Mitchell RM. Non-variceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Ulster Med J* 2006;75:32-9.
8. Manning-Dimmitt LL, Dimmitt SG, Wilson GR. Diagnosis of gastrointestinal bleeding in adults. *Am Fam Physician* 2005 Apr 1;71:1339-46.
9. Okutur SK, Alkim C, Bes C ve ark. Akut üst gastrointestinal sistem kanamaları: 230 olgunun analizi. *Akademik Gastroenteroloji Dergisi* 2007;6:30-6.
10. Kashyap R, Mahajan S, Sharma B, et al. A clinical profile of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding at moderate altitude. *JACM* 2005;6:224-8.
11. Thomopoulos KC, Vagenas KA, Vagianos CE, et al. Changes in aetiology and clinical outcome of acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding during the last 15 years. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;16:177-82.
12. Mbengue M, Seck A, Dia D, et al. Gastroduodenal peptic ulcer: descriptive study. [Article in French] *Dakar Med* 2003;48:176-80. [Abstract]
13. Palmer K. Management of haematemesis and melena. *Postgrad Med J* 2004;80:399-404.
14. Cerulli MA. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *eMedicine*. <http://www.emedicine.com/med/topic3565.htm>. (19.10.2005).
15. Sánchez-Delgado J, Gené E, Suárez D, et al. Has H. pylori prevalence in bleeding peptic ulcer been underestimated? A meta-regression. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106:398-405.
16. Polat M, Saçıkara M, Nazlıgül Y ve ark. Helicobacter pylori enfeksiyonu teşhisinde bir hızlı üreaz testinin (Hpfast) histolojik yöntemle karşılaştırılması. *Nobel Medicus* 2010;6:53-6.
17. Ghosh S, Watts D, Kinnear M. Management of gastrointestinal haemorrhage. *Postgrad Med J* 2002;78:4-14.
18. Papatheodoridis GV, Archimandritis AJ. Role of Helicobacter pylori eradication in aspirin or non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug users. *World J Gastroenterol* 2005;11:3811-6.
19. Di Fiore F, Leclaire S, Merle V, et al. Changes in characteristics and outcome of acute upper gastrointestinal haemorrhage: a comparison of epidemiology and practices between 1996 and 2000 in a multicentre French study. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2005;17:641-7.
20. Sakamoto C, Sugano K, Ota S, et al. Case-control study on the association of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in Japan. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 2006;62:765-72.
21. Holvoet J, Terriere L, Van Hee W, et al. Relation of upper gastrointestinal bleeding to non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and aspirin: a case-control study. *Gut* 1991;32:730-4.
22. Esrailian E, Gralnek IM. Nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding: epidemiology and diagnosis. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am* 2005;34:589-605.
23. Lanás A, García-Rodríguez LA, Arroyo MT, et al. Risk of upper gastrointestinal ulcer bleeding associated with selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors, traditional non-aspirin non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, aspirin and combinations. *Gut* 2006;55:1731-8.
24. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Logan R. Risk of adverse gastrointestinal outcomes in patients taking cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors or conventional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: population based nested case-control analysis. *BMJ* 2005;331:1310-6.
25. Laporte JR, Ibáñez L, Vidal X, et al. Upper gastrointestinal bleeding associated with the use of NSAIDs: newer versus older agents. *Drug Saf* 2004;27:411-20.
26. Zullo A, Hassan C, Campo SM, et al. Bleeding peptic ulcer in the elderly: risk factors and prevention strategies. *Drugs Aging* 2007;24:815-28.
27. Shiotani A, Kamada T, Manabe N, et al. The risk factors for aspirin induced peptic ulcer. [Article in Japanese] *Nihon Rinsho* 2010;68:2015-9. [Abstract]
28. Lanás A. Gastrointestinal bleeding associated with low-dose aspirin use: relevance and management in clinical practice. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2011;10:45-54.
29. de Abajo FJ, García Rodríguez LA. Risk of upper gastrointestinal bleeding and perforation associated with low-dose aspirin as plain and enteric-coated formula-

- tions. *BMC Clin Pharmacol* 2001;1:1.
30. Törüner M. Aspirin and gastrointestinal toxicity. *Anadolu Kardiyol Derg* 2007;7:27-30.
 31. Gundling F, Harms RT, Schiefke I, et al. Self assessment of warning symptoms in upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Dtsch Arztebl Int* 2008;105:73-7.
 32. Kelly JP, Kaufman DW, Koff RS, et al. Alcohol consumption and the risk of major upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1995;90:1058-64.
 33. Kaufman DW, Kelly JP, Wiholm BE, et al. The risk of acute major upper gastrointestinal bleeding among users of aspirin and ibuprofen at various levels of alcohol consumption. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94:3189-96.
 34. Rodríguez-Hernández H, Rodríguez-Morán M, González JL, et al. Risk factors associated with upper gastrointestinal bleeding and with mortality. [Article in Spanish] *Rev Med Inst Mex Seguro Soc* 2009;47:179-84. [Abstract]
 35. Udd M, Miettinen P, Palmu A, et al. Analysis of the risk factors and their combinations in acute gastroduodenal ulcer bleeding: a case-control study. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2007;42:1395-403.
 36. Kovacs TO, Jensen DM. Endoscopic treatment of ulcer bleeding. *Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol* 2007;10:143-8.
 37. Pais SA, Yang R. Diagnostic and therapeutic options in the management of nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2003;5:476-81.
 38. Chiu PW, Sung JJ. Acute nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2010;26:425-8.