The eustachian tube (ET) is a small passage between the middle ear and the nasopharynx and its main function is to provide ventilation of the middle ear (1). ET equalizes the pressure in the middle ear with the atmospheric pressure. Nasal pathologies (anatomic, functional) may affect the middle ear ventilation by acting on the ET. The effects of nasal obstruction on the pathophysiology of middle ear diseases and the success rates of the surgeries (tympanoplasty, miringoplasty) performed in this region are debated (2).

The importance of ET for the middle ear is not fully understood, although it is clearly demonstrated that this structure is affected by nasal pathologies. However, whether before all middle ear surgeries, all septal deviations in the nose should be corrected is still controversial. In the literature, there are studies claiming that surgical operation is needed to provide healthy functions of the ET (ETF) and for the successful middle ear surgeries (3-5), as well as there are studies claiming that surgical operation is unnecessary (2,6,7). Because we do not have a common practice, the choice is mostly left to the physician. This choice sometimes leads to unsuccessful tympanoplasty with incomplete treatment and sometimes can lead to increase in cost and additional morbidities with excessive treatment.

Nasal septum deviation (NSD) is divided into several sub-types and different obstructions can occur in nasal passage depending
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The importance of ET for the middle ear is not fully understood, although it is clearly demonstrated that this structure is affected by nasal pathologies. However, whether before all middle ear surgeries, all septal deviations in the nose should be corrected is still controversial. In the literature, there are studies claiming that surgical operation is needed to provide healthy functions of the ET (ETF) and for the successful middle ear surgeries (3-5), as well as there are studies claiming that surgical operation is unnecessary (2,6,7). Because we do not have a common practice, the choice is mostly left to the physician. This choice sometimes leads to unsuccessful tympanoplasty with incomplete treatment and sometimes can lead to increase in cost and additional morbidities with excessive treatment.

Nasal septum deviation (NSD) is divided into several sub-types and different obstructions can occur in nasal passage depending
on the severity of the deviation. Our goal in our study is to find out what kind of nasal septal deviation affects the ETF. Thus, we suggest that prior to middle ear surgery, incomplete treatment or over-treatment can be avoided.

**Methods**

Our study was started after approved by the Ethics Committee of Clinical Research of the Bezmialem Vakıf University Faculty of Medicine with the number 71306642-050.01.04. Eighty patients who were admitted to the otorhinolaryngology out patient clinic with nasal congestion were included in the study. Fifteen healthy volunteers with normal examination were referred as the control group. Patients and healthy controls were informed about the study and informed and oral consents were taken from them.

The patient group was divided into six groups according to the Baumann and Baumann’s (8) classification of nasal septum, one of the most frequently used classifications in the literature (Figure 1):

- **Group 1 (n=30 ears, 15 patients):** NSD type 1,
- **Group 2 (n=28 ears, 14 patients):** NSD type 2,
- **Group 3 (n=28 ears, 14 patients):** NSD type 3,
- **Group 4 (n=24 ears, 12 patients):** NSD type 4,
- **Group 5 (n=26 ears, 13 patients):** NSD type 5,
- **Group 6 (n=24 ears, 12 patients):** NSD type 6,

**Group 7 (n=30 ears, 15 patients):** Healthy volunteers with no deviation of nasal septum.

Full otolaryngologic examination was performed in the study and control groups. Patients without intact tympanic membrane, with ear history (radical and modified radical mastoidectomy, chronic otitis media, adhesive otitis media), with upper respiratory tract obstructive pathologies (adenoid vegetation, nasal polyposis, nasal synchia, and upper respiratory tract infections) were excluded from the study.

Tympanometric measurements and automated ETF tests (ETFT) were performed with Impedance Audiometry AC40. In the present study, an automated Toynbee test was used to evaluate the ETF in patients with nasal septal deviation and healthy volunteers in the control group.

In these patients, type A tympanogram peaks are between -100 and +100 daPa and this determines normal middle ear function (1 daPa=1.02 mm H₂O) (9). P1 is the stable tympanometric measure. P2 is the Toynbee test which is the result of swallowing when the mouth and nose of patients are closed. The P3 is the Valsalva maneuver which occurs when the mouth and nose of the patients are closed. Peak pressure values were recorded as P1, P2 and P3 and the maximum and the minimum pressure values were recorded as maximum pressure difference - Pmax - Pmin.

The criteria P1-P2 >10 or Pmax-Pmin >15 were used to evaluate ET as functional. In these criteria, P1 is the peak point of classic tympanometry, P2 is the peak point of the Toynbee test and P3 is the peak point of the Valsalva test (9).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 16.0 for Windows ile (SPSS Inc.; Chicago, IL, USA). Numeric variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation. The one way ANOVA test was used in comparison between groups. Paired t-test was used for pre- and post-operative comparisons in each group. P<0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

There were no differences between groups in terms of age and gender (p>0.05) (Table 1). The mean values of Pmax-Pmin in ETFT performed to the right and left ears of the patients and controls in the groups were calculated. Pmax-Pmin >15 daPa was considered as functional ET. There was no difference between groups 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 in terms of preop Pmax-Pmin mean and Pmax-Pmin mean (Table 2). The mean Pmax-Pmin values in group 4 and 6 were less than 15 daPa and were 11.41±6.22 and 10.62±5.37, respectively. These values were statistically significantly lower than the mean Pmax-Pmin value in healthy controls which was 42.63±15.19 (p=0.001 and p=.001, respectively) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Gender Male/Female</th>
<th>Statistics p values</th>
<th>Age Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Statistics p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (n=25): NSD type 1</td>
<td>13/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27.34±4.82</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=23): NSD type 2</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.09±7.38</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n=21): NSD type 3</td>
<td>12/9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>31.55±6.19</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (n=20): NSD type 4</td>
<td>13/7</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>29.37±5.03</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (n=23): NSD type 5</td>
<td>14/9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.76±9.16</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6 (n=19): NSD type 6</td>
<td>11/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.01±8.59</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7 (n=25): Control</td>
<td>15/10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30.47±6.23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=156)</td>
<td>89/67</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28.94±6.77</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSD: nasal septum deviation; SD: standard deviation

One-way ANOVA test was used in comparison between groups, p<0.05 was considered significant.

**Figure 1. Six types of nasal septum deviation**
There were no differences between the P_max-P_min mean in the postoperative 6th month and preoperative period in groups 1, 2, 3 and 5 (Table 3). The P_max-P_min means in the postoperative 6th month in group 4 and 6 (35.72±10.79 and 37.13±12.88, respectively) were statistically significantly higher than the P_max-P_min means in the preoperative period (11.41±6.22 and 10.62±5.37, respectively) (p=0.001 and p=.001, respectively) (Table 3).

According to the literature, P1-P2 >10 was considered as functional ET. The ETFT was positive in 27 ears and negative in 3 ears in group 7. The positive/negative ratio was 26/4 in group 1 and was similar to group 7 (p=0.248) (Figure 2). The positive/negative ratio was 21/7 in group 2 and was similar to group 7 (p=0.085) (Figure 2). The positive/negative ratio was 22/6 in group 3 and was similar to group 7 (p=0.168) (Figure 2). The positive/negative ratio was 5/19 in group 4 and was statistically significantly lower than group 7 (p=0.021) (Figure 2). The positive/negative ratio was 23/3 in group 5 and was similar to group 7 (p=0.291) (Figure 2). The positive/negative ratio was 6/18 in group 6 and was statistically significantly lower than group 7 (p=0.011) (Figure 2).

The positive/negative status of ETFT in the postoperative 6 months were summarized in Figure 3. In groups 1, 2, 3 and 5, positive/negative ratios increased (27/3, 24/4, 25/3 and 24/2, respectively), however as preop ratios, they were not different from the ratios of group 7 (p=0.422, p=0.119, p=0.259 and p=.358, respectively) (Figure 3). The positive/negative ratio was 20/4 in group 4 and there was no difference between group 4 and 7 (p=0.163) (Table 3). The positive/negative ratio was 19/5 in group 6 and there was no difference between group 4 and 7 (p=0.094) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

We found ET dysfunction (ETD) in type 4 and 6 NSDs which significantly improved in the postoperative 6th month. There was no significant ETD in types 1, 2, 3 and 5 in the preoperative period. We believe that the types of septum deviation that can affect the surgical success in patients undergoing middle ear surgery in the postoperative period.

---

**Table 2. Preop eustachian tube function tests in the groups (P_max-P_min)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P_max-P_min (daPa) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (n=25): NSD type 1</td>
<td>35.35±9.48</td>
<td>Group 1 vs group 7: p=0.196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=23): NSD type 2</td>
<td>22.47±7.51</td>
<td>Group 2 vs group 7: p=0.094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n=21): NSD type 3</td>
<td>30.02±11.06</td>
<td>Group 3 vs group 7: p=0.239</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (n=20): NSD type 4</td>
<td>11.41±6.22</td>
<td>Group 4 vs group 7: p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (n=23): NSD type 5</td>
<td>39.84±12.54</td>
<td>Group 5 vs group 7: p=0.275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6 (n=19): NSD type 6</td>
<td>10.62±5.37</td>
<td>Group 6 vs group 7: p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 7 (n=25): Control</td>
<td>42.63±15.19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=156)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSD: nasal septum deviation; SD: standard deviation
One-way ANOVA test was used in comparison between groups, p<0.05 was considered significant

**Table 3. Comparison of preop-postop P_max-P_min values of the groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>P_max-P_min (daPa) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>P_max-P_min (daPa) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>p values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (n=25): NSD type 1</td>
<td>35.35±9.48</td>
<td>41.01±10.37</td>
<td>p=0.354</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=23): NSD type 2</td>
<td>22.47±7.51</td>
<td>31.25±11.66</td>
<td>p=0.072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 3 (n=21): NSD type 3</td>
<td>30.02±11.06</td>
<td>38.17±13.94</td>
<td>p=0.238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 4 (n=20): NSD type 4</td>
<td>11.41±6.22</td>
<td>35.72±10.79</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 5 (n=23): NSD type 5</td>
<td>39.84±12.54</td>
<td>42.96±14.01</td>
<td>p=0.305</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 6 (n=19): NSD type 6</td>
<td>10.62±5.37</td>
<td>37.13±12.88</td>
<td>p=0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=156)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSD: nasal septum deviation; SD: standard deviation
Paired t-test was used in comparison in groups, p<0.05 was considered significant
surgery are type 4 and 6. In type 1, 2, 3 and 5 deviations, surgery was considered to have no significant effect on ETF. Therefore, we think that operating patients with these types of nasal deviation who will undergo middle ear surgery is unnecessary.

There are many parameters that affect the success of surgical operations of the middle ear. One of the most important of these is that the ET is functional. A non-functional ET causes both middle ear diseases and failure of surgical operations. Schieder et al. (10) defined three subtypes of ETD. These are; dilator ETD, pressure-induced ETD and abnormal patent ETD. Among these, the most common type is the dilator type. The dilator ETD is composed of mucosal inflammation and edema caused by many reasons (upper respiratory tract infection, allergic rhinitis, sinusitis, reflux etc.) (11). It can be concluded that nasal factors have negative effect on middle ear diseases via ET.

It was shown that inflammatory, obstructive and infective pathologies in the nasal and paranasal sinuses could cause ETD (3-6). NSD is the most common of the major anatomical problems in the nose. The NSD may present with different clinical symptoms depending on the type, localization and additional pathologies of the anatomic structures. These symptoms may include nasal obstruction, nasal discharge, facial pain and headache, epistaxis, smell disorders, etc. and many patients may be asymptomatic.

Although there are many studies on the relationship between NSD and ETF, there are still no definitive data about the extent of this relationship. Although it is known that nasal pathologies affect ETF, there is limited information about how NSD affects ETF or whether it affects it. The best known of this information is that NSD affects ETF by affecting the air flow parameters (12). In addition, it is known that infection/inflammation in the nasopharyngeal region due to the conditions formed by NSD, affects ETF (13,14). It is not clear how much ETF is affected in patients with NSD despite these mechanisms are known.

According to the long-known classical data; septoplasty must be performed prior to middle ear surgery (3,5,6). In the studies performed, ETF has been shown to improve after septoplasty (5,10). Low and Willatt (5) concluded that the ipsilateral middle ear pressure in the obstructed nasal passage was negatively correlated with the asymmetry of the openings in the two nasal passages. Deron et al. (15) found that the pressure on the deviated side was higher than on the non-deviated side. Recent studies show that ETF may not be affected by NSD. Akyildiz et al. (2) showed that NSD decreased ETF but not at a level that would affect tympanoplasty results. They concluded that performing septoplasty before tympanoplasty is not necessary (2). Also, Tan et al. (16) did not recommend performing septoplasty before tympanoplasty and they indicated that septoplasty does not have effect on tympanoplasty results. However, in none of these studies, types of NSD were specified. In fact, the type and localization of NSD and additional pathologies affect the severity of the nasal obstruction and can change the air flow in the nasal passage. In our study, significantly more impairment in ETF was found in group 4 and 6 than in healthy volunteers without NSD. In the postoperative 6th month, there was no difference between group 4 and 6 and healthy volunteers without NSD in terms of ETF. There was no difference between NSD type 1, 2, 3 and 5 and healthy volunteers in terms of preoperative ETF and ETF. Eren et al. (17) investigated the satisfaction of the patients after the operation and the success of the operation in different types of NSD. In conclusion, it was found that patients with NSD type 2, 4 and 6 benefited more from the operation and that patient satisfaction was better (17). According to these results, all septum deviations are not required to be operated prior to middle ear surgery. Thus, increase in cost and morbidity will be avoided. We believe that septoplasty may increase the success of middle ear surgery for those with NSD type 4 and 6.

In the study of Maier and Krebs (6), it was reported that ETF was influenced by septal deviation and conchal hypertrophy. However, it was concluded that determining surgery after evaluating the ETF would be appropriate, rather than performing septoplasty for all septum deviations (6). In that study, septoplasty was recommended in severe nasal pathologies (6). According to our results, septoplasty should be performed in patients with severe septal deviation (type 4 and 6). In the study of Maier and Krebs (6), ETF was shown to have not improved in the early postoperative period despite surgery. In our study, postoperative evaluation was performed in the sixth month. We believe that we have achieved safe results with proper timing.

Harju et al. (18) showed that patients with inferior nasal concha were more associated with ETD compared with healthy controls. In our study, both severe deviation and conchal pathologies were present with type 4 and 6. In type 4, inferior conchal hypertrophy and middle concha bullosa were present whereas in type 6, bilateral inferior conchal hypertrophy were present in the non-deviated side. These additional pathologies accompanying type 4 and 6 in our study also increased ETD and our findings were in line with the literature.

**Study Limitations**

The strengths of our study are that for the first time in the literature ETD was examined with septum classification, the number of patients was sufficient and prospective measurements (postop sixth month) were performed. The limitation of our study is the use of a single type of ETFT.

**Conclusion**

For the first time in the literature, septums were classified and ETFTs were performed prospectively. As a result, we found that ETF was significantly impaired in type 4 and 6 and was significantly improved in postop 6th month. We recommend that the septoplasty operation be performed in patients with type 4 and 6 before middle ear surgery.
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