The Relationship Between the Physical Activity Level and Fatigue Perception, Quality of Life and Psychological Status in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome

Abstract

Objective: Currently, there is a need for research that examines factors which affect physical activity (PA) participation in Obstructive Sleep Apnea syndrome (OSAS) patients. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between the PA level and daytime sleepiness, fatigue perception, quality of life, and psychological status in patients with OSAS.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional study involved 38 patients with OSAS between the ages of 30-60 years. The PA level was determined using the Turkish version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). Quality of life was evaluated by the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire and Nottingham Health Profile (NHP). The Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) was used for evaluation of the sleepiness status. The fatigue perception of the patients was evaluated by the Fatigue Impact Scale (FIS) and the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS).

Results: According to IPAQ classification, 44.7% of patients were inactive. A marked correlation was observed between IPAQ-total score with ESS score (r =-0.493, p=0.002), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety score (r=-0.338, p=0.041), NHP-social isolation score (r=-0.406, p=0.013), FIS-physical score (r=-0.404, p=0.013), and FIS-psychosocial score (r=-0.411, p=0.012).

Conclusion: This study showed that the total amount of PA in patients with OSAS is closely related to excessive daytime sleepiness, anxiety level, social isolation and effects of fatigue on physical and psychosocial functions.
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Öz

Amaç: Obstrüktif Uyku Apne sendromu (OSAS) hastalarında fiziksel aktivite (PA) katılımını etkileyen faktörleri inceleyen araştırmalara ihtiyaç vardır. Bu çalışmanın amacı, OSAS’li hastalarda PA düzeyi ile gündüz uyku hali, yorgunluk algısı, yaşam kalitesi ve psikolojik durum arasındaki ilişkileri araştırmaktır.


Bulgular: IPAQ sınıflandırmasına göre, hastaların %44,7’si aktifti. IPAQ-total skoru ile EUÖ skoru (r=-0,493, p=0,002); Hastane Anksiyete ve Depresyon Ölçeği-A skoru (r=-0,338, p=0,041); NHP sosyal izolasyon skoru (r=-0,406, p=0,013); FIS fiziksel skoru (r=-0,404, p=0,013) ve FIS psikososyal skoru (r=-0,411, p=0,012) arasında belirgin bir korelasyon olduğu görüldü.

Sonuç: Bu çalışma, OSAS’li hastalarda toplam PA miktarının aşırı gündüz uykuluk hali, kaygı düzeyi, sosyal izolasyon ve yorgunluğun fiziksel ve psikososyal işlevleri üzerindeki etkileriyle yakından ilişkili olduğunu göstermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Obstrüktif uyku apnesi, apnea-hypopnea indeksi, egzersiz testi, anksiyete, sedaner yaşam tarzı
Introduction

Obstructive Sleep Apnea syndrome (OSAS) is a common disorder characterized by repetitive episodes of breathing cessation during sleep that leads to poor quality of sleep (1,2). Physical inactivity is strongly associated with some of OSAS risk factors like obesity (1,3). The exercise training programs are especially recommended in these patient group due to positive impact on the inflammatory profile, disease severity and improvement of metabolic syndrome (3,4).

Respiratory effort-related arousals are thought to be responsible for lower sleep efficiency, excessive daytime sleepiness and fatigue in patients with OSAS (1). Fatigue, tiredness, or lack of energy complaints may be as important as that of sleepiness in OSAS and they are more frequent in women than men (5). Depressive symptoms are common in up to 50% of patients with OSAS (1). In addition, higher levels of depressive symptoms were found to be related with greater levels of fatigue in OSAS (6).

Individuals with OSAS have decrease in physical activity (PA) participation (7-9). The most common clinical outcomes of OSAS like excessive daytime sleepiness, fatigue, depression, functional and cognitive decline can increase the duration of sedentary time. Currently, there is some knowledge on the amount of PA in individuals with OSAS and potential factors that is related with amount of PA and sedentary time (7,9) but there is little data about the relationship between the PA level and fatigue, daytime sleepiness, quality of life and psychological status. There is a need for research that examines patterns and factors that affect PA participation in OSAS patients. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between the PA level and daytime sleepiness, fatigue perception, quality of life and psychological status in patients with recently diagnosed OSAS.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The research was performed in Hacettepe University Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation. The study has been approved by the Hacettepe University Ethics Committee no: GO 18/491. Participants were informed about the study and their written informed consents were collected prior to study. This cross-sectional study included 38 patients with OSAS between 30-60 years old diagnosed by the Department of Chest Diseases and Sleep Center, University of Health Sciences, Ankara Devkapı Yıldırım Beyazıt Training and Research Hospital. Individuals who could be cooperative for measurements and questionnaires and have stable general health status included in the study. Patients with a neurological, orthopedic or psychological disease that may affect assessments and have chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma, patients whose medical condition is dangerous for exercise, patients with body mass index (BMI) 40 kg/m² or more were excluded. None of patients were on continuous positive airway pressure-therapy, because all of them were directed shortly after the diagnosis and evaluated.

Assessments

Physical data (age, height, weight) were recorded. BMI was calculated as body weight/height² (kg/m²). BMI was classified like underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5-24.99 kg/m²), overweight (>25 kg/m²) and obese (>30 kg/m²) (10). Smoking history was recorded as pack-years. Symptoms of individuals related to OSAS were questioned and recorded.

All patients underwent polysomnography (PSG). All PSG recordings included electroencephalogram, electrooculogram, and submental and bilateral anterior tibialis electromyogram using surface electrodes. They also included recordings of airflow (using thermistors), arterial oxygen saturation (SpO₂) (using pulse oximetry), abdominal and thoracic respiratory movements (using thoracoabdominal inductance plethysmography), electrocardiogram body position, and snoring. The sleep stages were analysed using an agreed criteria developed by Hori et al. (11). Respiratory events and other related events were scored using the American Academy of Sleep Medicine Task Force criteria (12). An apnoea was defined as complete cessation of airflow for at least 10 s. A hypopnoea was defined as a reduction in airflow by more than 50% from baseline for at least 10 s in association with a fall in arterial SpO₂ of at least 3%. The term apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) was described as the number of apnoea plus hypopnoea per hour of sleep (13). The patients were divided to three groups according to their AHI scores like: mild OSAS (AHI <15/hr), moderate OSAS (AHI between 15 and 30/hr) and severe OSAS (AHI ≥30/hr). AHI, oxygen desaturation index, AHI during rapid eye movement (REM) period of sleep (AHIREM), AHI during non-REM period of sleep (AHINREM), apnea index, hypopnea index, mean heart rate and SpO₂ during sleep, sleep efficiency, number of arousals, arousal index, apnea and hypopnea duration were recorded from (PSG).

Patients’ circumference measurements (neck, waist, abdomen, hip) were made with a tape measure and waist/hip ratio was calculated (14). Fat mass (FM) and fat free mass (FFM) was determined using the skinfold method (Skinfold Caliper, Holtain Ltd, Crosswell, UK) from biceps, triceps, subscapular, and supraclavical regions. Measurements were repeated three times and the mean of the three measurements was used (15).

The PA level was determined using the Turkish version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). This is a seven-item questionnaire consisting of list of activities, and requests estimates of the duration and frequency for each activity engaged in over the previous 7 days. Scores for moderate and vigorous activities and walking were calculated as the sum of the corresponding item scores in terms of duration multiplied by known metabolic equivalents per activity. The sitting question is a separate score and is not included in the PA score (16).

The 6 minute walk test (6MWT) is a self-paced test of walking capacity. Patients were requested to walk as far as possible in 6 minute along a flat corridor. Standardised instructions and encouragement are commonly given during the test. The test was administered twice in the same day with a half-hour interval. The distance in metres is recorded. The 6MWT distance was expressed as percentages of the expected values from age and sex (6MWT% of distance) (17).
Health-related quality of life was evaluated by generic Turkish version of the Nottingham Health Profile (NHP) and diseasespecific the Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire (FOSQ) Turkish version. The NHP is a useful patient-reported outcome for determining impact of chronic disease on patients that contains 38 statements of six subdimensions (energy, pain, emotional reactions, sleep, social isolation and physical mobility). The score of each dimensions ranges from 0-100. Higher scores indicate higher quality of life impairment (18). The FOSQ assesses the impact of excessive daytime sleepiness on physical, mental and social functioning in everyday activities. It consists total 26 items on four areas: activity level (9 questions), vigilance (7 questions), general productivity (8 questions) and social outcome (2 questions). The questions have responses on a 4 point scale (no difficulty, a little, moderate, or extreme). Total score is obtained by summing the scores of all items. Lower scores indicates greater dysfunctionality and worse quality of life (19).

The Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) was used for evaluating sleepiness. The patients are asked to rate their likelihood of falling asleep in eight everyday situations over the previous month on a scale of 0-3. The ESS score is calculated by summing the eight item scores and ranges from 0 to 24. The higher ESS scores indicate greater daytime sleepiness (20).

The fatigue perception of the patients were evaluated by the Fatigue Impact Scale (FIS) and the Fatigue Severity Scale (FSS). FIS is a multidimensional scale consisting of 40 questions to evaluate the patient’s perception of the limitations caused by fatigue during the last month in the physical (10 items), cognitive (10 items) and psychosocial (20 items) functions. Total score ranges between 0 and 160. High scores indicate a higher effect of fatigue on daily life (21). The FSS is one of the most frequently used 9-item scale developed for evaluating fatigue. Patients are asked to provide a score for each item on a range from 1 (strongly disagreement) to 7 (strongly agreement), a score of ≥4 indicates severe fatigue (22).

Psychosocial status of patients was assessed with Turkish version of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS). The HADS is divided into two subscales to measure anxiety (HADS-A) and depression (HADS-D) during the past week. Total subscale scores range from 0 to 21. Cut off points for HADS-A score is 10 or more, and HADS-D score is 7 or more. Higher scores shows better psychosocial status (23).

**Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (version 18.0) for Windows (24). For categorical variables, number (n) and percentage (%) are presented, and for continuous variables, the mean and standard deviation are presented. The variables were investigated using visual (histograms/probability plots) and Shapiro-Wilk test to determine whether or not they are normally distributed. Correlations were analyzed using the Spearman correlation analysis because data was under non-parametric conditions (25). Correlations were classified as “strong” (r=0.70), “moderate” (r=0.50–0.69), “weak” (r=0.26–0.49), and “very weak or no correlation” (r=0.00–0.25) (26). Statistical tests were two-tailed and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant (25).

**Results**

Table 1 has shown the characteristics of the patients. Twelve patients (31.6%) were in the mild OSAS group, eleven patients (28.9%) were in the moderate OSAS group and fifteen patients (39.5%) were in severe OSAS group. According to BMI classification, 2.6% of patients was normal, 39.5% of patients was overweight and 57.9% of patients was obese. Mean FM percentage of patients was 33.8±5.7 and median FFM percentage of patients was 57.9 (Table 1). Distribution of symptoms related with OSAS was like following: 60.5% of patients had debility, 65.8% of patients had forgetfulness, 39.5% of patients had decision-making difficulty, 63.2% of patients had night sweating, 44.7% of patients had lack of concentration, 65.8% of patients had dry mouth, 23.7% of patients had morning headache, 42.1% of patients had memory weakness, 50% of patients feeling of suffocation during sleep and 97.4% of patients snore. According to IPAQ categorical classification, 17 (44.7%) patients were inactive, 14 (36.8%) patients were minimally active, and seven (18.4%) patient was sufficiently active in our study. Mean 6MWT distance was 621.9±49.5 m. and mean percentage of 6MWT that they reached according to their age and sex was 104.5±9.5% (Table 2).

| Table 1. Antropometric and demographic data of patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea syndrome |
| Variables                                      | Mean ± SD or Median (Range) |
| Age (years)                                    | 46.2±7.9                    |
| BMI (kg/m²)                                    | 30.4±3.9                    |
| AHI                                            | 26.9 (6-85.9)               |
| ODI                                            | 16.4 (5.2-82.5)             |
| AHINREM                                        | 25.7 (0-88.2)               |
| AHINREM                                        | 25.4 (2.4-86)               |
| Al                                             | 4.5 (0.2-48.5)              |
| HI                                             | 21.3 (5-85.2)               |
| Apena duration (seconds)                       | 13.9 (0.4-27.5)             |
| Hypopnea duration (seconds)                    | 21.7 (11.1-31.6)            |
| Sleep efficiency (%)                           | 100 (47.6-98.5)             |
| Arousal (n)                                    | 332 (0-644)                 |
| Arousal index                                  | 53.1 (0-96.7)               |
| SpO₂ (% mean during sleep)                     | 92 (84-95.6)                |
| HR (beats/min) mean during sleep               | 66 (51-92)                  |
| Neck circumference (cm)                        | 40 (37-47)                  |
| Waist circumference (cm)                       | 101.4±9.5                   |
| Abdomen circumference (cm)                     | 105.9±10.0                  |
| Hip circumference (cm)                         | 107.3±7.2                   |
| Waist/hip ratio                                | 0.9±0.1                     |
| FM (%)                                         | 33.8±5.7                    |
| FFM (%)                                        | 57.9 (37.2-90.2)            |

Table 2. Physical activity level, functional exercise capacity, daytime sleepiness, quality of life, fatigue perception and psychosocial status in patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea syndrome

| Variables | IPAQ-vigorous PA score (MET-min/week) | IPAQ-moderate PA score (MET-min/week) | IPAQ-walking PA score (MET-min/week) | IPAQ-total PA score (MET-min/week) | IPAQ-sitting score (min) | 6MWT distance (m) | %6 MWT | ESS score (0-24) | NHP-energy score | NHP-pain score | NHP-emotional reactions score | NHP-sleep score | NHP-social isolation score | NHP-physical mobility score | NHP-total score (0-100) | NHP-physical score (0-35) | FOSQ-activity level score | FOSQ-vigilance score | FOSQ-general productivity score | FOSQ-social outcome score | FOSQ-total score (5-20) | FSS score (0-7) | HADS-A score | HADS-D score | HADS-total score (0-42) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
|           | 0 (0-5760)                          | 0 (0-2880)                           | 643.5 (0-2970)                      | 990 (0-8232)                    | 336 (120-900)           | 621.9±49.5            | 104.5±9.5  | 9.9±5.9        | 24 (0-100)       | 0 (0-54)       | 21.3 (0-80.9)                 | 12.6 (0-100) | 0 (0-100)                    | 11.5 (0-63.2)            | 113.5 (0-259.2) | 2.9±0.6               | 2.6±0.8         | 3.13 (0.75-4)   | 3.5 (0-4)      | 11.7±2.7      | 8 (0-35)       | 10.6±8.0        | 16 (0-63)       | 33 (0-131)          | 4.1±1.6         | 6.7±3.5         | 5.6±3.3         | 12.3±6.4        | 0 (0-7) | 4.1±3.5 | 6.7±3.5 | 5.6±3.3 | 12.3±6.4 | 0 (0-7) | 4.1±3.5 | 6.7±3.5 |

IPAQ: International Physical Activity Questionnaire, 6MWT: 6 minute walk test, ESS: Epworth Sleepiness Scale, NHP: Nottingham Health Profile, FOSQ: Functional Outcomes of Sleep Questionnaire, FIS: Fatigue Impact Scale, FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale, HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, min: Minutes, MET: Metabolic equivalent

Nine (23.7%) patients had lower normal daytime sleepiness, 16 (42.1%) patients had higher normal daytime sleepiness, 1 (2.6%) patients had mild excessive daytime sleepiness, 4 (10.5%) patients had moderate excessive daytime sleepiness and 8 (21.1%) patients had severe excessive daytime sleepiness according to ESS scores (27). In addition, 55.3% of patients with OSAS had severe fatigue. When we look at the mental state of the patients, 78.9% of patients had high anxiety level and 63.2% of patients had high depression level.
about the relationship between cognitive functions and PA level (31), the correlation between increase in moderate activities level and decrease in effects of fatigue on cognition is an expected and new finding for OSAS. Fatigue is a common symptom in patients with OSAS and reported by 61% of patients in one study (5). Present study showed that 55.3% of patients with OSAS had severe fatigue and 60.5% of patients reported debility. Hong andDimsdale (7) showed that PA level of moderate-severe OSAS patients is significantly related with subjective well-being (vitality) regardless of sleep apnea severity or BMI and fatigue perception was negatively correlated with PA. ESS score was significantly related with self-reported complaints (sleepiness, tiredness and lack of energy) but not with fatigue but female patients showed notably association with all of these complaints (5). There was any association between AHI and ESS scores in this present study as indicated in the literature (32). But both fatigue severity and impacts of fatigue on physical, psychosocial and cognitive functions were related with excessive daytime sleepiness. Due to common poor sleep quality and sleep fragmentations in OSAS, increase in fatigue perception and decrease in cognitive functions related with daytime sleepiness are expected situations.

Psychological well-being results showed that 78.9% of patients had high anxiety level and 63.2% of patients had high depression level. In spite of newly diagnosed, higher rates of depression and anxiety level can be due to decreased functionality and quality of life as a results of nocturnal hypoxemia, sleep fragmentation, snoring, excessive daytime sleepiness and poor neurocognitive performance. Indeed, most prevalent symptoms that reported by our patients were snoring, forgetfulness and debility. A previous study demonstrated that in spite of above normal thresholds, depression and anxiety levels were not correlated with the AHI score in newly diagnosed OSAS patients (33). But as the anxiety level increases, total PA amount decreases in our patients with different disease severity as a new finding. Quality of life is weakly or not related with determinants of OSAS severity like AHI and nocturnal hypoxemia (30,34,35). In moderate-severe OSAS, the decrease in quality of life was related with physical functioning and ESS score was found to be correlated with physical and mental domains of quality of life (35). We also found significant association between excessive daytime sleepiness and physical mobility and vigilance dimensions of quality of life. Daytime sleepiness adversely affects quality of life in OSAS by reducing mobility and vigilance. In a study with somnolent moderate-severe OSAS, higher mobility and sleep subdimensions of NHP was related with poor PA level but daytime sleepiness was not related with PA level (8). These can be related with that our AHI scores were lower and most affected dimension of NHP in our patients were emotional reactions, social isolation and sleep according to reported normative data (36). Therefore, as the amount of total PA and walking decreases, social isolation of patients increases according to our data. The median sitting score (5.6 hours) of our patients was higher than reported for general population (37). BMI, daytime sleepiness, exercise self-efficacy, fear of movement, and depressive symptoms were shown to explain only 22.9% of variance in sedentary time (9). We too didn’t find any associations between sedentary time and daytime sleepiness and level of anxiety and depression. A very important consequence of our work was that the quantity of sitting as an indicator of sedentary time is significantly related with energy, emotional reactions dimensions and general quality of life. Any relationship between NHP-sleep dimension with certain parameters can be explain with that questions in this section don’t reflect the features of OSAS and contain detailed information about sleep.

**Study Limitations**

There are several limitations in this study. First of all, our study is limited by its modest sample size and by exclusion criteria. It is unknown whether our observations would generalize to patients with OSAS with comorbidities like hypertension. Another limitation of our study, we evaluated the patients immediately after diagnosis. It might be better to monitor the long-term outcomes of the patients.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the present study showed that total amount of PA is closely related with excessive daytime sleepiness, anxiety level, social isolation and effects of fatigue on physical and psychosocial functions in patients with OSAS. The amount of sedentary time is negatively associated with especially energy and emotional reactions dimensions of general quality of life of patients with OSAS. Furthermore, the association between in moderate activities level and decrease in effects of fatigue on cognition is a new finding for OSAS.
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