A Case of Spinal Thoracic Empyema Following Epidural Injection

Epidural Enjeksiyon Sonrası Gelişen Spinal Torakal Ampiyem Olgusu

Introduction

In recent years, different complications due to widely applied spinal epidural injections can be seen. In this report, we present a thoracic empyema case with paraparesis and a previous history of spinal epidural injection.

Case Report

A 46-year-old male patient presented with complaints of numbness and weakness of the legs, and urinary incontinence. The patient stated that low back pain and numbness started about 3 months ago and that his complaints increased over the last 20 days. From the patient’s medical history, it was learned that he was hospitalized due to neck pain for seven days in an algology service of another hospital approximately one year ago and underwent spinal epidural injection. The patient said that he did not benefit from this treatment, which was repeated once in every 15 days for a total of 4 times. His past medical history revealed that he had kidney stones and hypertension, and he had sequelae in his right lower extremity due to traffic accident at the age of 12 years. His family history was unremarkable except spinal muscular atrophy in the medical history of his brother. There were night sweats and about 10 kg of involuntary weight loss in the last 3 months. Neurological examination revealed 3/5 motor strength in bilateral lower extremity, positive Babinski reflex and sampling was performed from calcific tissues. Dexamethasone on the left, areflexia on the lower extremities and sphincter defect.

Routine laboratory tests White Blood Cell=9.53, Hemoglobin Hgb=14.8, C-reactive protein=0.306, Sedimentation=9 mm/h) were within normal limits. Cervical spinal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed diffuse hyperintensity consistent with diffuse edema in the spinal cord and 8x5 mm wide subdural collections starting from the seventh cervical vertebra level and showing caudal extension, more prominent posteriorly. These findings were found to be consistent with subdural empyma. Thoracic spinal MRI revealed T2W hyperintense, T1W mild hypointense diffuse edematous signal changes in the central dorsal spinal cord, involving gray matter with mild expansion. Contrast-enhanced sections revealed arachnoidal and dural diffuse and prominent contrast enhancement. Appearance consistent with empyma was observed between T2-9 vertebra levels (Figure 1). In the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) examination, glucose was 33 mg/dl (concomitant blood glucose=121 mg/dl), protein was 562.3 mg/dl, and 40 leukocytes (60-70% mononuclear leukocytes (MNL) were detected in the cell count. Pandy was (++++) positive, acid-fast bacilli and tuberculosis (TBC) Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were negative. Since TBC could not be excluded with CSF findings, anti-TBC treatment was initiated. In the surgery performed for thoracic empyma, the arachnoid plan was lost, clear fluid was obtained by puncture from the thoracic region, cavity areas were observed under the tissue and sampling was performed from calcific tissues. Dexamethasone
treatment was added to anti-TBC treatment and patient was followed up. One month later, the lower extremity muscle strength of the patient improved to 4/5, however, urinary and fecal incontinence persisted.

In the late-term pathology report, it was noted that pre-operative CSF sample did not have any atypical cells and that small number of mature-looking lymphocytes, activated lymphocytes and macrophages were observed with PAP and Giemsa staining.

In the histochemical examinations of the specimen obtained during the surgery, no bacillary microorganism was observed with EZN staining and no fungal organism was observed with PAS staining. Necrotizing granulomatous inflammation was detected in the sample obtained from the intramedullary area. Lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear leukocytes were observed in the sample from intramedullary spinal fluid.

As the patient could not be reached in the follow-up, no information could be obtained about his final condition. The informed consent was obtained from the patient.

Discussion

Spinal epidural empyema is an insidious clinical condition that can be treated by early diagnosis and intervention, but its diagnosis is often delayed. Typical clinical findings include localized back pain, fever and neurological deficits. While empyema causes myelopathy due to pressure on the spinal cord, this situation may lead to motor weakness that can be even permanent (1,2). Difficulty in diagnosis and delay in treatment of patients with atypical clinical findings lead to increased morbidity and mortality (1).

Hematogenous spread from the upper respiratory tract or a dental infection has been described as possible etiologies in some cases, but it has also been identified as a local complication of vertebral osteomyelitis (3). In the literature, although it is defined as iatrogenic after spinal epidural catheterization, spinal extradural block or interventions for discopathy, sporadic cases are also reported (4,5). In our case, we thought that spinal epidural injection performed for neck pain had a role in etiology. While spinal epidural empyema usually occurs with Staphylococcus aureus, Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Brucellosis are other common microorganisms (2). Our patient was negative for Brucella serology and PCR, and mycobacterial culture.

MRI is diagnostic in most cases. The homogenous contrast enhancement caused by granulomatous tissue in the MRI is seen as a mass or as a contrast-enhancing inflammatory tissue (6). In our case, MRI revealed diffuse hyperintensity consistent with diffuse edema in the spinal cord and appearance that might be significant in terms of empyema around the cord between T2-9 vertebra levels accompanied by contrast enhancement.

Lumbar puncture is also a guiding for the detection of the agent. Low CSF glucose level compared to the concomitant blood glucose level was thought to be in favor TBC. However, regarding negative mycobacteria culture and PCR studies along with a previous history of spinal epidural injection, decreased glucose level was thought to be secondary to localized infection.

While surgical drainage and decompression with concomitant antibiotic therapy is required in most of the cases, antibiotic therapy may be sufficient in some well-selected cases. Our case also benefited from surgery and empiric antibiotics for possible bacterial microorganisms and TBC.

Conclusion

In case of affected spinal cord and the surrounding tissue in spinal imaging of patients admitting with paraparesis, questioning epidural injection in the history is important in the differential diagnosis and treatment.
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