Comparison of V-Y Flap and Limberg Flap Methods in Pilonidal Sinus Surgery

Pilonidal Sinüs Cerrahisinde V-Y Flep ile Limberg Flep Yöntemlerinin Karşılaştırılması
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of this study was to compare the advantages of V-Y flap and Limberg flap techniques in the surgical management of complicated pilonidal sinus disease requiring extensive excision, and to review the superiorities of each other in the light of the literature.

Method: The study included 100 patients who were hospitalized with the diagnosis of pilonidal sinus disease and who were planned to undergo flap reconstruction due to the diameter of the defect. Patients were randomly divided into two groups as A and B. Fifty patients in group A underwent V-Y flap and 50 patients in group B underwent Limberg flap. Both groups were prospectively followed-up in terms of complications, recovery times, workforce losses, patient satisfaction and 2-year recurrence rates, and the findings were compared statistically.

Results: Demographic characteristics, complaints and clinical findings of both groups were similar. Although postoperative wound infection rates were similar (26% vs. 28%; p>0.05), wound dehiscence was more common in the Limberg group (36% vs. 26%; p<0.05). The wound healing process and workforce losses were longer in the Limberg flap group, but the differences were not statistically significant. There were no differences between the groups in terms of patient satisfaction and recurrence in the 2-year follow-up period.

Conclusion: The long-term recurrence rates of V-Y flap and Limberg flap techniques are similar. Wound dehiscence is significantly less in V-Y flap technique. According to the results of this study, V-Y flap method following extensive tissue loss due to excision can be considered as a good alternative.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Komplike, geniş eksizyon yapılışı gereken pilonidal sinus hastalığının cerrahi tedavisinde popüleritesini koruyan iki yöntem olan V-Y ile Limberg flep tekniklerini karşılaştırılmıştır, birbirine olan üstünlüklerinin literatür şöyledikinde gözden geçirilmesi amacıyla bir çalışma planlandı.

Yöntem: Çalışma yarışma pilonidal sinus hastalığı tamsı ile yatırılan ve oluşacak defekt genişliği sebebiyle flep uygulanması planlanan 100 hasta dahil edildi. Randomize olarak 2 gruba ayrılan hastalardan A grubunda 50 hasta V-Y flep, B grubunda ise Limberg flep uygulandı. Hipotetik gelişen komplikasyonlar, iyileşme süreleri, iş gücü kayıpları, hastanın memnuniyeti ve 2 yıllık nüks oranlarını açısından prospektif olarak takip edildi ve elde edilen bulgular istatistiksel olarak karşılaştırıldı.

Bulgular: Her iki grubun demografik özellikleri, yakınmaları ve klinik bulguları benzerdi. Postoperatif yara enfeksiyon oranları %26 ve %28 (p>0.05) olarak aşırmıştı Limberg grubunda daha fazla görüldü (%26 vs. %36; p<0.05). Yara iyileşme süresi, iş gücü kayıpları Limberg flep grubunda daha uzun olmakla birlikte sonuçlar istatistiksel açıdan anlamını taşımadı. Hastanın memnuniyeti ve takip edilen 2 yıllık dönemdeki nüksler açısından gruplar arasında fark yoktu.
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Introduction

Pilonidal sinus disease is a subcutaneous tissue disease that is common in the community and that mostly affects young adult patients. The incidence is 26/100,000 in the general population and its frequency is higher in young patients and males. Patients usually present with signs of abscess or sinus with chronic discharge. The treatment of the disease is important because of the painful and frequently recurring symptoms that affect the patient’s lifestyle. The ideal treatment should be able to provide conditions such as quick recovery, no need for general anesthesia, outpatient treatment, minimal discomfort, minimal loss of workforce, better patient satisfaction with good cosmetic results, less recurrence and less cost. However, although many conservative and surgical methods have been defined, recurrence rates are still high and the search for the ideal treatment is ongoing.

Although the treatment of pilonidal sinus disease in the form of acute abscess is standard (drainage), the treatment of chronic and recurrent cases is not clear. Conservative treatment methods are recommended for simple cases with single orifice and short tracts. Surgical treatment with excision is generally accepted in cases with chronic course, multiple orifices or recurrence; however, the method of closure of the defect is controversial. In particular, there is no clear consensus on the method to be chosen for the closure of the defect in complicated or recurrent cases where the tissue loss is large.

This prospective randomized study aimed to compare popular Limberg flap and V-Y flap techniques in order to add a comment to this subject.

Materials and Methods

After the approval of the Ethics Committee of Medical Faculty of Yıldırım Beyazıt University, the patients who were admitted to General Surgery Clinic of Ankara Atatürk Training and Research Hospital between February 2012 and 2016 and who would need flap for the defect in the sacrococcygeal region after pilonidal sinus excision were included in the study. Patients who had a systemic disease that could adversely affect wound healing and who refused to participate in the study during the informed consent were excluded. When the power analysis was performed for statistical evaluation, it was calculated that 100 patients would be sufficient. Patients were labeled in groups A and B according to the order of admission. After excision of the diseased part, V-Y flap was applied to the patients in group A and Limberg flap technique was used in group B. When both groups reached 50 patients, the study was finalized.

Age, gender, duration of complaints, physical examination findings, body mass index, anesthesia method, length of hospital stay, orifice number and length of the sinus tract were recorded. All postoperative data regarding wound infection, wound dehiscence, suture removal day, postoperative loss of sensation, time to return to work, recurrence and its treatment, patient satisfaction and cosmetic concerns were recorded.

The surgical field of all patients was shaved on the operating table just before the operation. Povidone-iodine was used for skin cleansing. All patients underwent antibiotic prophylaxis with 1 g of cefazolin sodium during anesthesia induction. After the patients were taken to the operating table in the prone jackknife position, the excision area and the area suitable for the flap technique were marked with a pen.

In group A, the tissue was totally excised down to presacral fascia with a rectangular incision including all sinuses and pits until the presacral fascia was visualized. Following hemostasis, a V shaped incision was used to incise the right gluteal region up to gluteus muscle fascia and the tissue to be used for the flap was mobilized. The obtained fasciocutaneous flap was shifted to the opposite side in Y shape and was sutured to presacral fascia and subcutaneous skin with 1/0 polyglactin sutures. The skin was closed in interrupted 2/0 monofilament polypropylene sutures (Figure 1). A hemovac drain was used in all patients.

In group B, the tissue was totally excised down to presacral fascia with a rhomboid incision including all sinuses and pits. Following hemostasis, the flap from the right gluteal region was raised so that it included skin, subcutaneous fat, and the fascia overlying gluteus maximus, and rotated to cover the defect. Rhomboid was inserted into the defect so that the lower end did not remain in the intergluteal groove. The flap was sutured to presacral fascia and subcutaneous skin with 1/0 polyglactin sutures. The skin was closed in interrupted 2/0 monofilament polypropylene sutures. A hemovac drain was used in all patients (Figure 2).

All surgeries were performed by the same team. The wound was cared with pressure dressings and the drains were preserved until the daily drainage was below 10 mL. The wound infection was defined as presence of symptoms such as erythema, pain, redness, and induration, or surgeon opening the wound or defining the wound as infected, as well as purulent drainage not exceeding the skin and subcutaneous tissue in the wound within 30 days after the surgery. Five days of oral ampicillin + sulbactam treatment was performed in patients with wound infection. Spontaneous dehiscence without any evidence of infection in the first month was defined as wound dehiscence. The sutures of the patients in both groups were removed in our outpatient clinic and the day of removal was recorded in the
follow-up form. Patients in follow-up were checked in our outpatient clinic at the 6th, 6th and 24th months. The patients who could not come to the hospital were interviewed by telephone in terms of their time to return to work, operation satisfaction and recurrence, and their results were recorded. While evaluating the patient satisfaction levels, the patients were asked to score with a number between 1 and 5 (highest satisfaction 5, least satisfaction 1).

**Statistical Analysis**

SPSS for Windows 20.0 package program (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illionis, USA) was used for statistical analysis of the data. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Continuous variables were compared using t-test and categorical variables were compared using chi-square test. ANOVA test and post hoc Tukey test were used for the comparison of parametric data between the groups. Kruskal-Wallis and chi-square test were used for comparison of nonparametric values and percentages. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant in all tests.

**Results**

Ninety-three patients were male and seven were female, and the mean age was 26.8 years (range, 15-46). There was no difference between the two groups in terms of demographic characteristics and the anatomical structure of the disease (p>0.05) (Table 1).

Of the patients in group A, the number of patients with previous surgeries were as follows: three had primary repair, one had Limberg flap, one had Karydakis flap, one had marsupialization and five had only drainage. Of five patients with previous surgery in group B, three had primary repair, one had V-Y flap, and one had only drainage. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of previous surgery data (p>0.05).

There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of preoperative symptoms, orifice number, sinus tract length, type of anesthesia used for surgery and length of hospital stay. Complaints of all patients were pain, swelling and discharge in the sacral region. In all cases, there was more than one sinus orifice and there was also sinus orifice outside the midline. In other words, there was a need for an excision that would require a flap in all patients.

There were no differences between the groups in terms of postoperative complications, length of hospital stay, time of suture removal, loss of sensation, and time to return to work (Table 2). Wound infections were found in 13 patients in group A on day 7 (range, 4-13) and in 14 patients in group B on day 7 (range, 3-13). Wound dehiscence was observed on day 6 (range, 3-10) in all patients.

**Table 1. Characteristics of groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>V-Y group (A)</th>
<th>Limberg group (B)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>26.1 (15-45)</td>
<td>27.66 (15-46)</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, M/F</td>
<td>43/7</td>
<td>50/0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>24.93 (20.21-32.41)</td>
<td>23.95 (22.49-34.61)</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous surgery</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of complaints, month</td>
<td>18.34 (1-96)</td>
<td>17.27 (0.5-120)</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of sinus orifices</td>
<td>3 (2-5)</td>
<td>3 (2-6)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tract length, cm</td>
<td>3.03 (1-7)</td>
<td>2.7 (1-7)</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M: Male, F: Female, BMI: Body mass index
group A and on day 9 (range, 3-14) in group B. While there was no difference between the groups in terms of time of dehiscence, it was seen in more patients in Limberg group (36% vs. 26%; p<0.05).

Recurrence was observed in two patients (mean: 19 months) in group A and in three patients in group B (mean: 11 months) in the first 2 years. Although there was no significant difference between the groups in terms of recurrence, it was observed that recurrences developed earlier in the Limberg group. Contrary to the predicted, there was no difference in recurrence rates between obese patients and non-obese patients, and patients with and without infection (p>0.05). Although it was observed that patients in group A were more satisfied with cosmetic results, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of overall satisfaction (p>0.05) (Graphic 1).

### Discussion

Despite the definition of many methods for the closure of the wound in complicated pilonidal sinus patients treated with surgical excision that leaves a wide tissue defect, there is no consensus on optimal flap technique. The main objective is to ensure that the patient is operated with a technique with a low morbidity and mortality rate, and that he/she returns to work as soon as possible. For this, the complication rate of the procedure should be low, the hospital stay should be short and the recurrence rates should be low. As a definite method cannot be established regarding aforementioned features, the V-Y flap and the Limberg flap, which are two popular methods, were compared in this study. There are only three studies in the English literature comparing the V-Y flap and Limberg flap.

There are three basic principles in the surgical treatment of pilonidal sinus disease. These are complete eradication of the sinuses, good closure of the remaining defective area and prevention of recurrences. There is no contradiction in the complete removal of the sinuses, however, the main discussion is on how to close the defect formed. Leaving large defects open or marsupialization are not preferred today due to long recovery time and poor cosmetic results. It is difficult to perform flap techniques with more limited dissection such as Karydakis and Bascom without creating tension in large and complicated cases. As is well known, good wound healing can only be achieved by well-fed tissues and minimal tension. Therefore, various flap techniques have been developed and many studies have been published. While many factors such as being primary or recurrence, number of sinuses, defect size, patient preference, and surgeon experience play role in the preferred surgical technique, the most popular flap techniques for cases with large tissue loss are V-Y flap and Limberg flap techniques.

The 2-year recurrence rate of Limberg flap method, which is one of the most frequently used techniques, is reported as 0-6% in the literature. A similar recurrence rate was found in this study (6%). Limberg technique has low recurrence rate and low hospital stay in both primary and recurrent pilonidal sinus cases. However, in some studies in which Limberg flap method has been performed, cosmetic dissatisfaction is a significant disadvantage. In a study in sixty-three patients, 63% of patients were not satisfied with the cosmetic result. V-Y flap technique was first used by Khatri et al. in 1994 in five patients and it is preferred in recurrent cases. The researchers argued that V-Y flap technique provided a better wound healing due to lesser tension, lesser postoperative seroma and dead space rates as a result of limited dissection and mobilization compared to Limberg flap. The
In our study, the superiority of V-Y flap technique to Limberg was observed in terms of cosmetic satisfaction and low recurrence rate. Regarding wound dehiscence, V-Y flap results seem to be better with a statistically significant difference. According to the results of this study, V-Y flap technique should be considered as a good choice for the closure of large tissue defects in the surgical treatment of pilonidal sinus disease.
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