Birth Trauma: Sphincter Injuries

Doğum Travması: Sfinkter Yaralanması
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ABSTRACT

Aim: Fecal incontinence is a condition that has social and economic effects and that disrupts the quality of life. A complete definition of fecal incontinence is difficult. However, it can be defined as the failure to control the anal discharge of intestinal content at an appropriate time and place. The diagnosis of fecal incontinence is challenging and complex. One of the most important causes of fecal incontinence is trauma in the perianal region. The most important cause of such trauma is birth trauma. We examined our cases who had sphincter repair due to acute injury in the perianal region during delivery.

Method: The data of 10 female patients who were admitted to Necmettin Erbakan University, Meram Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery with perianal injuries between 2010 and 2015 were analyzed retrospectively. Data regarding age, severity of injury, first intervention time, repair type, wound problems and post-operative complications were investigated. Long-term results were analyzed.

Results: It was observed that 10 female patients underwent emergency surgery due to acute perianal injury. Ten patients were included in the study and their files were analyzed retrospectively. The mean age of the patients was 24 (range: 19-36) years. Four patients had type 4 injury, two had type 3a injury, one had type 3b injury, and three had type 3c injury.

Conclusion: We believe that it is important to perform surgical treatment before tissue edema develops.
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ÖZ


Bulgular: On kadın hastanın akut perianal bölge yaralanması nedeniyle acil cerrahi uygulandığı tespit edildi. Çalışmaya 10 hasta alınmış ve dosyaları retrospektif olarak incelendi. Kadın hastalarının yaş ortalaması 24 (19-36) idi. Four patients had type 4 injury, two had type 3a injury, one had type 3b injury, and three had type 3c injury.

Sonuç: Doğum travması nedeniyle doku ödemi gelişmeden yapılan cerrahi uygulamasının önemini ortaya koymaktayız.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Doğum, inkontinans, travma

Introduction

Fecal incontinence is a condition that has social and economic effects and disrupts quality of life. It is hard to offer an exact definition of fecal incontinence. However, it can be defined as the failure to control the anal discharge of intestinal content at an appropriate time and place. The prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment methods of fecal incontinence could not be clearly identified. Many methods used in diagnosis and treatment have evolved over time. The...
diagnosis of fecal incontinence is challenging and complex. One of the most significant causes of fecal incontinence is trauma in the perianal region. The most important cause of such trauma is birth trauma. It is difficult to evaluate patients and to plan treatment. The surgical method is determined by the severity of sphincter damage and injury to organs in the perianal region. Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyze the patients who underwent sphincter repair due to acute injuries in the perianal region.

**Materials and Methods**

The data of 10 female patients who were admitted to Necmettin Erbakan University, Meram Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery with perianal injuries between 2010 and 2015 were analyzed retrospectively. Data regarding age, severity of injury, first intervention time, repair type, wound problems and post-operative complications were investigated. Long-term results were analyzed. Patients with acute injury requiring emergency intervention were included in the study. Patients who did not undergo emergency intervention for a reason but who underwent repair procedures in the long-term were excluded from the study.

**Results**

It was observed that 10 patients underwent emergency surgery due to acute perianal injury. It was determined that 10 patients experienced injury during childbirth. Ten patients were included in the study and their files were analyzed retrospectively. The mean age of the patients was 24 (range: 19-36) years. Four patients had type 4 injury, two had type 3a injury, one had type 3b injury, and 3 had type 3c injury (Figure 1). Six patients were primipares and six patients gave birth to babies weighing more than 4000 gr. Six patients had episiotomy and 3 did not receive episiotomy. Moreover, six of the patients had vacuum assisted labor. Nine of the patients had emergency intervention within the first 10 (range: 1-18) hours. One patient was initially treated at an external center and presented to our center on the 3rd day upon split formation in the injury. This patient was re-operated (Table 1).

While all patients received sphincteroplasty and vaginoplasty, five patients underwent levatorplasty. After repair, the rectovaginal septum was thicker than 2 cm in all patients. Three/0 polyglactin sutures were used for all repairs and interrupted repair was completed (Figure 2). Drains were placed in the repair area. Vaginal tampons were used and were frequently changed to reduce the risk of surgical site complications. Colorectal irrigation was performed in all patients during the surgical procedure.

Colostomy was not created for any patient, however, medical ileus was created. Parenteral feeding was continued for six days. All patients received third generation cephalosporin and metronidazole. Oral intake was enabled on the 5th day and the patients were discharged between the 7th and 10th days. Patients were asked to avoid sexual intercourse for 3 months. They were advised not to undergo vaginal delivery in their following labors. All patients received laxatives in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Severity of injury</th>
<th>Pre-operative time</th>
<th>Postoperative follow-up time</th>
<th>Mean resting pressure</th>
<th>Mean extrusion pressure</th>
<th>Mean duration of extrusion</th>
<th>Mean coughing reflex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24 (19-36)</td>
<td>Type 4 (n=4)</td>
<td>10 (1-18) hour (n=1, 3 day)</td>
<td>3 (1-7) years</td>
<td>40 (30-70) mmHg</td>
<td>60 (50-120) mmHg</td>
<td>30 (20-56) seconds</td>
<td>70 (54-110) mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type 3a (n=2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severity of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type 3b (n=1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Type 3c (n=3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative follow-up time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean resting pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean extrusion pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of extrusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean coughing reflex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. A patients with type 3c injury](image1.png)

![Figure 2. Post-repair image](image2.png)
order to ease stool discharge and to prevent constipation for a month. This period was extended in patients with chronic constipation.

All patients were followed-up for one month. None of the patients had wound site pathologies. Anal manometric pressure measurements were conducted at the 3rd month. The mean resting pressure was 40 (range: 30-70) mmHg, extrusion pressure was 60 (range: 50-120) mmHg, mean duration of extrusion was 30 (range: 20-56) seconds, and coughing reflex was 70 (range: 54-110) mmHg.

Three patients experienced gas incontinence at the 6th month. These patients received biofeedback for 2 months upon failure to detect complete sphincter damage by imaging techniques. Complaints of the patients improved after two months. The patients have been in the follow-up program for a mean period of 3 years and they do not have complaints of incontinence.

**Discussion**

The main causes of the problem in most of the patients with fecal incontinence are obstetric trauma or sphincter defects that emerge after anal surgery. There are varying degrees of injury in 53% to 79% of patients following vaginal delivery. Although the rate of such injuries is too high, not all injuries cause sphincter damage. The rate of primary obstetric anal sphincter injury is approximately 18% in vaginal deliveries. Eight studies were investigated in a meta-analysis and it was seen that 5.7% of women had anal sphincter injury during first labor and 6.3% during the following labors. In another study, a total of 700 patients pregnant with twins were evaluated and the rate of sphincter injury was found to be 2.8%. In a study of patients who had vaginal or C-section deliveries, the authors found that complaints related to incontinence varied between 13% and 25%. The rate of fecal incontinence in patients with postpartum sphincter injury is approximately 7.7%. While the rate of incontinence is 6.3% in nulliparous women, it is 8.8% in uniparous women and 8.4% in secundiparous women, compared with 11.5% in triparous women and women who had more than 3 deliveries. Only 27% of endoanal ultrasonography results show occult anal sphincter injuries. One third of the patients with detected damage also had symptoms of incontinence. Asymptomatic patients are at risk for incontinence in the coming years. All of our patients had anal sphincter damage due to birth trauma. The vast majority of our cases sustained anal sphincter injury during the first delivery.

Creation of episiotomy during labor prevents uncontrolled perianal fissures. However, the results of a meta-analysis is revealed that episiotomy increased the risk of fecal incontinence. The most important parameters for sphincter damage at vaginal delivery are interventional delivery and birthweight of the baby. Tertiary fissures are frequently seen in interventional deliveries with midline episiotomy. Uncontrolled fissures are less common after medio-lateral episiotomy procedures. Although six patients had episiotomy, uncontrolled fissures could not be prevented. We believe this was due to the problematic timing of episiotomy. Although the starting point of the episiotomy was medio-lateral, it then proceeded medially. Six patients had interventional deliveries. Six of the babies had a birth weight of more than 4000 grams.

The first treatment that should be offered for any incontinence patient with anal sphincter defect is primary sphincter repair. Pre-operative intestinal cleaning should be done very carefully. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis is necessary. Randomized controlled studies have demonstrated that prophylaxis with second-generation cephalosporin significantly reduces the rate of infection. Infection rates following anal sphincter repair due to vaginal birth injury is as high as 20%, whereas, wound healing problems reach up to 25%. Surgical site infection was not observed in any of our patients. We administer a combination of third generation cephalosporin and metronidazole prophylaxis, and we continue treatment for 3 days. We pay special attention to keep the vagina dry. We believe that the reason why we do not have complications such as wound site infection and wound splitting is the attention we pay. The vaginal wall on the front and anal canal mucosa on the back should be repaired in internal and external sphincter procedures. Sphincter repairs can be done in end-to-end or overlapping forms. The difference between end-to-end and overlapping suturing has not been shown in the literature within one-year of follow-ups. We do end-to-end repair in cases with early surgery following injury. We prefer the overlapping method more for chronic cases. We repair all layers separately with interrupted sutures.

There is no sufficient data on whether a patient who had vaginal injury following vaginal delivery should have her next deliveries by vaginal or C-section delivery. However, some studies have reported that the possibility of a new anal sphincter injury following vaginal delivery is 3%. Nevertheless, we do not recommend vaginal delivery to our patients. These patients have lower anal manometric pressure than normal patients (although the measurements do not have standard values). Micro or macro sphincter injuries happen in all deliveries. We support the idea that patients should not be exposed to the risk of having possible injuries.

Incontinence can be seen in women with sphincter injuries during delivery after repair. The most important cause of this
is residual anterior sphincter defects. Adequate continence might not be achieved in the long term by the primary repair of third and fourth degree sphincter fissures that form during delivery. The incidence of anal incontinence was reported as 15-61%, although primary repair is done during delivery. The endoanal ultrasonography results presented in some studies have demonstrated that sphincter injuries remain up to 91% in patients with fissures repaired by interrupted side-to-side sutures. Three-year follow-up results of our patients have not shown any significant continence problems. Biofeedback therapy has been shown to be helpful for patients suffering from gas incontinence and urogenesis problems.

Conclusion

Physicians should note that sphincter injuries might happen after every delivery. Patients should be informed about the fact that they might experience incontinence problems during the follow-ups. We believe that it is significant to perform surgical intervention before tissue edema develops.
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