An Old Method Turnbull Cutait ; Using For Middle And Distal Rectal Cancer Surgery Without İleostomy. Oncological And Fuctional Late Outcomes

Osman Serhat Güner¹, Latif Volkan Tümay², Barış Gülcü³, Abdullah Zorluoğlu⁴

¹Department of Surgery, Acıbadem Bodrum Hospital, Bodrum, Muğla, Turkey ²Department of Surgery, Acıbadem Bursa Hospital, Bursa, Turkey ³Department of Surgery, Medicana Bursa Hospital, Bursa, Turkey ⁴Department of Surgery, Acıbadem Bursa Hospital, Bursa, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Aim: Anastomotic leak after sphincter-preserving surgery for rectal cancer may be a disaster scenario. To reduce the severity of anastomotic leakage a prophylactic diverting ileostomy is usually used for mid or distal rectal cancer patients. However, Turnbull Cutait Abdominoperineal Pull-Through Procedure (T-C) with low anastomotic leakage rates is an old method that is applied without diverting ileostomy.

Method: Patients with middle or low rectal cancer who underwent T-C from March 2006 to December 2012 retrospectively reviewed for late results. Demographics, oncologic characteristics, postoperative complications, fuctional outcomes and overall survival time were evaluated. For functional results, Wexner Continence Grading Scale scores, bladder functions, sexual functions, and SF-12 questionnaires were performed in all patients. In addition, anal manometry and defecography were performed in 7 patients.

Results: Thirteen patients (6 men) median age 55 (range 44–82) years with rectal tumors at a median distance of 4 cm (range 1.5-7) from the anal verge underwent T-C. Mean follow-up was 101.2 (s.d. 42.7) mounts. The 5-year overall survival rates was 85%. Postoperative morbidity rate is 23% (3 patients). However, pelvic sepsis, anastomotic leak and perianal fistula were not seen. Functional results were good in 90% of patients at the end of the second year. Due to ongoing fragmentation and evacuation problems, 2 patients underwent permanent stoma.

Conclusion: T-C with reasonable oncologic and functional results can be safely used sphincter-preserving procedure to treat patients with middle and distal rectal cancer without creation of diverting ileostomy.

Keywords: Late results, Turnbull-Cutait, abdominoperineal pull-through procedure, without diverting ileostomy

Introduction

Anastomotic leak can be a catastrophic complication following sphincter sparing surgery for rectal cancer especially if very low anterior resection and colo-anal anastomosis is performed. A prophylactic diverting ileostomy is used up to 100% in middle and distal rectal cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant chemo radiotherapy (CRT) in order to prevent or reduce the severity of anastomotic leakage, especially when sphincter-preserving surgery is performed.

In early 1950's Turnbull in Cleveland Clinic and Cutait in Brazil introduced two staged transanal anastomosis technique simultaneously. Their indications included midrectal cancer, and children with Hirsprung's disease. They both described the operative technique as a two stage pull through procedure. First stage includes resection of the affected segment and pull through of the remaining distal colon through anus. On second stage after several days under the protection of adherencies and scar tissue colo-anal anastomosis is performed avoiding stoma procedure. ^{1,2}

This procedure was largely abandoned due to the introduction of stapling anastomotic devices. Later after the introduction of neoadjuvant theraphies it was reintroduced by some surgeons. It is suggested that this operation can be used on patients who do not want permanent or temporary ileostomy. Potential candidates for T-C procedure; are reoperated, who have irradiated pelvis with chronic inflammation or infection

Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Osman Serhat Güner, Department of Surgery, Acibadem Bodrum Hospital, Bodrum, Mugla, Turkey Phone: +90 532 221 61 42 E-mail: serhatguner@yahoo.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/ Received/Geliş Tarihi: 03.02.2020 Accepted/Kabul Tarihi: 16.02.2020

[©]Copyright 2020 by Turkish Society of Colon and Rectal Surgery Turkish Journal of Colorectal Disease published by Galenos Publishing House. due to persistant sepsis and as a salvage procedure for complex anorectal conditions as an alternative to permanent stoma creation.^{3,4,5}

In this study we evaluated the long term outcomes of patients who had T-C procedure due to mid or distal rectal cancer without diverting ileostomy.

Method

Thirteen patients who underwent T-C after total mesorectal excision (TME) for middle and low rectal cancer between March 2006 and December 2012 were retrospectively analyzed using the patient database and most recent survival

Table 1. Histopathological findings

	n=13
Histopathological type	
Adenocarsinom	13 (100 %)
Surgical marjin	
Negative	13 (100 %)
Differentiation	
Poor	1 (7.7%)
Moderate	8 (61.6%)
Well	4 (30.7%)
Perineural invasion	5 (38.4%)
Venous invasion	2 (15.4%)
Lymphatic invasion	1 (7.7%)
Extranodal involvement	4 (30.7%)

Table 2. Functional outcomes

status was further confirmed by phone contact with patients or relatives.

Patients with histopathologic adenocarcinoma who had rectal carcinoma of the middle and distal location, who did not accept permanent stoma opening when not necessary, were included in the study. Patients with histopathology other than adenocarcinoma, patients with distant metastasis at admission and patients with low anal sphincter tone on digital examination and who identified incontinence at admission were excluded from the study.

The following variables were evaluated in the study: patient demographics and characteristics, oncological characteristics, early and late postoperative complications, follow-up results, and overall survival (OS) time. Early postoperative complication was defined as the occurrence of complications within 30 days after surgery. Late complication was defined as complications that developed after the first postoperative month. OS was defined as the time period between surgery and death.

Neoadjuvant therapy was recommended to clinical T3-T4 and / or N (+) patients. Two patients received preoperative radiotherapy (mean: 45Gy), eight patients received preoperative CRT (mean 45 Gy, 5-fluorouracil). Three patients did not receive neoadjuvant treatment. Neoadjuvan theraphy was not recommended in two patients with clinical T1-T2, and one of the T3 patients did not accept neoadjuvan theraphy. The mean time from radiotherapy to surgery was eight weeks (range: 6 to 10 weeks).

The study protocol was approved by the local ethics committee of our institution (date, November 21, 2019;

Functions	T-C p.o 6 th months (n=13)	T-C p.o 1st year (n=13)	T-C p.o 2nd year (n=13)
Bowel function Wexner continence score*	11.5 (2.70)	9.3 (2.52)	7.3 (2.32) (n=10)
Bladder function Urinary incontinence Poor stream Nocturnal micturition	0 (0%) 2 (15.4%) 3 (23.1%)	0(0%) 1 (7.7%) 2 (15.4%)	0 (0%) 1 (7.7%) 2 (15.4%)
Sexual function Sexually active Erection	6 (46.1%) 3 of 6 (50%)	7(53.8%) 4 of 6 (66.7%)	9 (69.3%) 4 of 6 (66.7%)
SF-12 score*	31.4 (5.10)	27.3 (4.83)	22.9 (4.71)

*values are mean (s.d.)

no. ATADEK-2019-18/16). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

The preoperative assessment included a digital rectal examination, a colonoscopy with biopsy, a thoracic, abdominal, and pelvic computed tomography (CT) scan, and pelvic magnetic resonance imaging. The patients were classified according to the 7th edition American Joint Committee on Cancer classification system. A standardized follow-up was completed at one month after surgery, then every three months during the first two years, and every six months in the third through the fifth year.

The quality of life was evaluated using the Short-Form 12 Health Survey (Ware, Kosinski, & Keller, 1996) Questionnaire ⁶ (Figure-1). Faecal continence was evaluated using the Wexner continence score⁷, which ranges from

0 (normal continence) to 20 (maximum incontinence) (Figure-2) Wexner scores were considered to be very good between 0-5, good between 5-10 and bad over 10. A bladder questionnaire and a sexual function questionnaire specifc to each sex. Wexner score, SF-12, bladder and sexual function questions were repeated at 6 months, 1 and 2 years. Anal manometry and defecography were performed in 7 patients in the 6th postoperative month.

Surgical technique

The surgical procedure of TME followed by T-C involved two stages.

First Stage

The patients were placed in the Lloyd-Davis position, and an abdominoperineal approach was used. Following an abdominal incision, conventional very low anterior

 Table 3. Anal manometry results

Patient	Maximum resting pressure (mmHg)	Maximum squeeze pressure (mmHg)	First sensation (cc)	Desire to defecate (cc)	Maximal tolerable volume (cc)	Rectoanal inhibitor reflex (+/-)	Maximal squeeze time (sec)	Comment
1	55	83	55	55	55	(-)	>45	EAS dysfunction, sphincter damage
2	39	137	10	50	>150	(+)	>45	IAS dysfunction
3	33	111	20	100	>150	(+)	>45	IAS dysfunction, sphincter damage
4	45	105	30	80	160	(-)	>45	Normal
5	50	110	20	60	>150	(+)	>45	Normal
6	60	120	30	90	>160	(+)	>45	Normal
7	65	140	15	75	>150	(+)	>45	Normal

Table 4. Defecography results

Patient	Filling Defect (+/-)	Sensation of fullness (+/-)	Resting coloanal angles	Flattening coloanal angle during straining (+/-)	Pelvic floor descent during straining (+/-)	Barium leak sign during straining (+/-)	Anorectal expulsion disorder (+/-)	Comment
1	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	Fecal incontinence
2	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	Fecal incontinence
3	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(+)	(-)	Fecal incontinence
4	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	Obstuctif defecation
5	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(-)	(+)	Obstuctif defecation
6	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	Normal
7	(-)	(-)	Normal	(-)	(+)	(-)	(-)	Normal

resection with TME was carried out in accordance with the oncological principles of no-touch technique, high vascular ligation, and nerve sparing. After complete splenic flexure mobilization, the inferior mesenteric vein was sectioned close to the ligament of Treitz. The inferior mesenteric artery was isolated, ligated, and divided 1-cm to the aorta, and dissection of the colon and sigmoid colon was finally performed along the holy plane, until the pelvic floor was

SF-12 Health Survey

This survey asks for your views about your health. This information will help keep track of how you feel and how well you are able to do your usual activities. **Answer each question by choosing just one answer**. If you are unsure how to answer a question, please give the best answer you can.

Excellent D2 Very good	□₃ Good	D ₄ Fair	0	⊐s Poor		
The following questions are abou limit you in these activities? If so		might do duri	ing a typical	l day. Does <u>vo</u>	our health now	
		YES, limited a lot	I	YES, imited a little	NO, not limited at all	
 Moderate activities such as moving a vacuum cleaner, bowling, or pl 		D 1	0	32	□a	
3. Climbing several flights of stairs		D1	0	22	D 3	
During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> , have yo daily activities <u>as a result of your</u>			oblems with	n your work o	r other regular	
			YES		NO	
Accomplished less than you w	ould like.				D 2	
5. Were limited in the kind of work	or other activitie	es.			D 2	
daily activities as a result of any	emotional prob		feeling dep YES	ressed or an	xious)? NO	
 daily activities <u>as a result of any</u> 6. Accomplished less than you w 7. Did work or activities less careful 	emotional prob ould like. ully than usual.	<u>lems</u> (such as	feeling dep YES	pressed or an	xious)? NO D2 D2	
 Accomplished less than you w Did work or activities less careful During the past 4 weeks, how 	emotional prob ould like. ully than usual.	<u>lems</u> (such as	feeling dep YES	pressed or an	xious)? NO D2 D2	
During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> , have yo daily activities <u>as a result of any o</u> 6. Accomplished less than you w 7. Did work or activities less carefu 8. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> , how the home and housework)?	emotional prob ould like. ully than usual.	<u>lems</u> (such as interfere with y	feeling dep YES	ressed or and	xious)? NO D2 D2	
 daily activities <u>as a result of any</u> 6. Accomplished less than you we 7. Did work or activities less careful 8. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, how the home and housework)? 	emotional prob ould like. ully than usual. much <u>did pain i</u> Mod ou have been fo e one answer th	interfere with y derately eeling during that comes clo	feeling dep YES 1 your normal 4 Quite a the past 4 w	l work (includ a bit <u>veeks</u> .	xious)? NO 2 12 13 13 14 15 Extremely	
 daily activities <u>as a result of any constraints</u> 6. Accomplished less than you with a second s	emotional prob ould like. ully than usual. much <u>did pain i</u> Mod ou have been fo e one answer th	interfere with y derately eeling during that comes clo	feeling dep YES 1 your normal 4 Quite a the past 4 w	l work (includ a bit <u>veeks</u> .	xious)? NO 2 12 13 13 14 15 Extremely	
 daily activities <u>as a result of any constraints</u> 6. Accomplished less than you with a second s	emotional problem ould like. ally than usual. much <u>did pain i</u> <u>a Mod</u> ou have been for e one answer the <u>past 4 weeks</u> All of the	interfere with y derately eeling during that comes clo	feeling dep YES 1 your normal 04 Quite a the <u>past 4 w</u> sest to the v A good bit of	I work (includ a bit <u>veeks</u> . way you have of the	xious)? NO 2 iing work outsi s Extremely been feeling. A little of the	de None of the
 daily activities <u>as a result of any constraints</u> 6. Accomplished less than you with a second s	emotional problem ould like. ally than usual. much <u>did pain i</u> <u>a Mod</u> ou have been for e one answer the <u>past 4 weeks</u> All of the time	interfere with y derately eeling during that comes clo	feeling dep YES 1 your normal 4 Quite a the <u>past 4 w</u> sest to the v A good bit of the time	I work (includ a bit <u>veeks</u> . way you have Some of the time	xious)? NO □₂ □₂ ling work outsi □₅ Extremely been feeling. A little of the time	de None of the time

- □1 All of the time □2 Most of the time □3 Some
- □₃ Some of the time □₄ A little of the time

□s None of the time

Figure 1. Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care. 1996 Mar;34:220-233

reached and isolation of the distal rectal resection line (tumor free zone) was obtained. Pelvic dissection was performed down to the level of the levator ani musculature. In the perineal phase, a LoneStar retractor (Lone Star Medical Products, Stafford, TX, USA) was inserted to the anus and the internal muscle (circular and longitudinal) was cut through the dentate line and 1 cm above using the monopolar cautery and the intersphincteric plane was reached. The cranial lumen was closed through the purse string sutures and dissection was continued posteriorly. Then, the pelvic dissection plane was reached through abdomen. Before pulling the colon through the anal canal, four 3/0 polyglactic acid sutures were placed at the cardinal points of the anal canal, as high as possible, by pinching the upper edge of internal sphincter, thereby, avoiding fullthickness damage to the muscle. The rectum and sigmoid colon were, then, pulled through the anal canal and cut at the level of the ligation of the left colic artery. A colonic segment of about 10 cm was left outside. Finally, the colonic exteriorized segment was fixed to the perianal skin with 4-6 sutures and was wrapped in wet gauze. The colonic stump viability was checked once daily (Figure-3).

Second Stage

The second surgical stage was performed between postoperative days 5 and 7. During the waiting period, the patients were fasted and total parenteral nutrition was given 2000kcal / day. During this period, movements that caused pressure in the colonic exteroised segment were restricted. (they were ordered to sleep in lateral decubitus position and while lying supine both legs in abduction, without mobilization restriction) The colonic stump viability was checked once a daily. The second procedure was performed under sedation and epidural anesthesia.

Patients were placed in the lithotomy position. No retractors were needed, and the adhesions between the anal canal and colon were preserved. After tying off the mesocolon at the level of the anal verge, the exteriorized segment was cut with cautery; a hand-sewn, colo-anal anastomosis was performed using 8-12 interrupted sutures at the dentate line level. The lumen was, then, checked with anoscopy (Figure-4).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The data were presented in mean ± standard deviation (SD) or median (min-max) values. Qualitative variables were expressed in number and percentage. Mean overall survival (OS) was calculated using Kaplan-Meier test.

Results

A total of 13 patients, six were males with a median age of 55 years (range: 44 to 82 years) and mean body mass index (BMI) of 29.19 ± 6.3 kg/m2. All patients underwent T-C. The median distance between the inferior margin of the tumor and the anal verge was 4 cm (range: 1.5-7 cm). Histopathological findings are shown in table 1.Using the AJCC staging, two patients were classified as Stage I, four as Stage IIA, four as Stage IIIB, and three as Stage IIIC. The median interval between first and second surgical procedure was 8 days (range 6-10) and the median length of hospital stay was nine days (range: 7 to 13 days).

The rate of postoperative morbidity was 23% (n=3). Among the early surgical morbidities, one patient was diagnosed with pelvic abscess, one with hemorrhage, and one with necrosis about 20% of the exteriorized colonic segment after the first stage of the procedure. However, no pelvic sepsis, anastomotic leak, or perianal fistula were seen. Late morbidity was observed in three patients. Two patients (15.3%) had requiring permanent colostomy and one (7.7%) had anastomotic stricture requiring balloon dilatation.

Oncological outcomes

The mean follow-up was 101.2 (s.d 42.7) months. Three patients which was Stage III C, one patient which was Stage III B have died because of metastatic disease in follow up. The OS was at one year 100% three years 85% and at five years 85%. (Figure 5). No local recurrence was observed.

Functional outcomes

Table 2 shows functional outcomes. The results of anal manometry (table 3) and defecography (table 4) are as in the tables. Two patients (15.3%) had severe rectal evacuation problem requiring permanent colostomy and one (7.7%) had anastomotic stricture requiring balloon dilatation. After 6 months 30 % of the patients had frequent fecal incontinence. After 1 year, 15 % of the patients had frequent fecal incontinence, but after 2 years 90% had good gas and stool continence.

Discussion

In recent years, TME after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy has become the gold standard treatment for middle and lower rectal cancers. However, the major problem in colo-anal anastomosis is the risk of anastomotic leakage. T-C , which was first described by Turnbull and Cutait, attempts to reduce the morbidity associated with colorectal anastomosis. 1,2

In T-C, there are differences in practice regarding whether the colonic stump tip is left open or closed, how long it should be waited between the stages and how the patient will be fed during this period. ⁵ In our series, to prevent contamination and the mucous discharge would make it difficult to maintain the colonic stump during the waiting period and the possibility of the colonic motility could negatively affect adhesion, we closed the exteriosed colon end with stapler. The average waiting time between stages was 6 days. During this period, the patients were not fed orally in order to prevent colonic gas formation and bowel movements and total parenteral nutrition was applied.

In a recent meta-analysis of 45 randomized-controlled trials and 53 prospective cohort studies of complications following rectal resection for cancer, it was reported that the rates of anastomotic leak and pelvic sepsis were 11% and 12%, respectively. 8 In a study by Eriksen et al.9 of 1958 patients who underwent resection for rectal cancer: 11.6% of total anastomotic leakage was detected. There were signifcantly higher rates of leakage in low anastomoses: 15.6% in anastomoses 3 cm and below, 13.7% in 4-6 cm, 7.6% in 7-9 cm and 4.8% in 10 cm and higher (P<0.001). The presence of diverting ileostomy not only deteriorates the quality of life and poses difficulties in stoma care, but also its reversal requires another operation with 17% surgeryrelated morbidity and 0.4% mortality.^{5,10} Despite diverting ileostomy, in the literatüre⁸, the rate of anastomotic leakage was reported between 3 and 20% . Furthermore, not all temporary stomas were reversed, and 3 to 25% of these stomas became permanent.¹¹ In a systematic review by Hallet et al.¹², seven studies including 1,124 patients were evaluated and the T-C was associated with a low rate of anastomotic leakage, pelvic morbidity, and without using stoma which are among the main advantages of this technique. Anastomotic leakage increases local recurrence^{4,12,13} and found to be an independent prognostic factor for local recurrence14 therefore, decreasing anastomotic leak rates could even result in a positive effect on T-C. Anastomotic leakage and local recurrence were not observed in our study. Pelvic abscess developed in one patient on the 16th postoperative day, antibiotic treatment was sufficient and no additional intervention was required. Current studies^{4,15} have reported 67,5% OS. In our series, 5-year OS 85% was found to be similar to the literature.

Some patients develop severe pelvic dysfunction following a sphincter-preserving resection of the rectum. Studies have shown that up to 25 to 50% of all patients experience major dysfunction on a daily basis with a significant impact on quality of life.^{16,17} The number of studies on functional outcomes after T-C is limited in the literature. It has been reported that the functional outcomes may have been worse after neoadjuvant radiotherapy.^{16,18} In a 85 patient series of Sage et al.¹⁹ whom underwent T-C consecutively 71% good and very good functional outcome (Wexner score between 0-10), 29% poor functional outcome was reported. In our series, the por results in early stages showed improvement in time. In postoperative follow up mean Wexner scores were 11,5 in 6 months (s.d 2.7), 9.0 in 1 year (s.d 2.12) and 7.2 in 2 years (s.d 2.32). In the second year 90% patients are considered to have good functional outcome. In the postoperative 6th month, defecography and anal manometry were performed on 7 patients; Three patients showed signs of impaired continence. No patient required a colostomy for major incontinence.

Lange and Van der Velde ²⁰ reported that postoperative incontinence after TME occurred due to intraoperative injuries to the innervation of the levator ani. Therefore, this functional result appears to be independent of reconstruction. Autonomic nerve-preservation is, therefore, essential for preserving the sexual and bladder function and this situation may not be related to the reconstruction technique, but related to the surgical technique. Our series sexual and bladder functions were found to be similar to the previous studies.^{1,2,3}

In previous reports ^{4,5,21}, permanent stoma was needed in 1 to 6% of patients following T-C, however, Remzi et al.³ reported a rate of 25% in their study. In a series of 24 patients of Maggiorin ²², 2 patients (8%) required stoma due to poor functional outcome. In our series, a permanent stoma was opened in 2 male patients (15%) due to ongoing fragmentation and evacuation problem at the end of the first year, and balloon dilatation was required in one patient due to anastomosis stenosis. Permanent stoma was performed because of the overreaction of the patients to evacuation and fragmentation. Given that functional results gradually are improving if patients could tolerate, permanent stoma could be avoided. We think that functional results are worse in male patients with high BMI and narrow pelvis.

The use of T-C depends on the surgeon's preferences according to the conditions and operations. Remzi et al.³ recommended T-C as the appropriate procedure to use before creating a permanent stoma. Jarry et al.⁴ advocated its use as a routine procedure in middle and low rectal cancer. Nonetheless, there are some limitations to this study. First, the number of sample size is small (n=13). Second, its retrospective design led us to interpret the results with caution. Another limitation is the lack of a comparison group. On the other hand, this study is among the limited reported studies evaluating the functional outcomes in long duraiton.

In the standard treatment of rectal cancer is TME after neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy . Total neoadjuvant therapy and the subsequent wait and see approach, which has recently become increasingly popular in early stage rectal cancer, provides patients with a higher quality of life beyond dispute. ²³ We think that it is necessary to personalize the treatment of rectal cancer by offering the most optimal treatment according to the expectations and wishes of the patient.

Conclusion

In conclusion, despite the absence of high-grade evidences and advent of stapling devices and increasing experience with pelvic surgery, T-C with reasonable oncological and functional results can be safely used as a sphincterpreserving procedure in the treatment of patients with middle and distal rectal cancer.

We would like to emphasize that surgeons who are interested in rectum surgery should keep this method in mind which gives the patient the option of surgery without performing a stoma and they should feel obliged to extend their knowledge about this technique.

References

- 1. Cutait DE, Figliolini FJ. A new method of colorectal anastomosis in abdominoperineal resection. Dis Colon Rectum 1961 Sep-Oct;4:335-342.
- Turnbull RJ, Cuthbertson F. Abdominorectal pull-through resection for rectal cancer and for Hirschsprung's disease. Delayed posterior colorectal anastomosis. Cleve Clin Q. 1961 Apr;28:109-115.
- F. H. Remzi, G. El Gazzaz, R. P. Kiran, H. T. Kirat and V. W. Fazio. Outcomes following Turnbull–Cutait abdominoperineal pull-through compared with coloanal anastomosis. Br J Surg. 2009 Apr;96:424-429.
- Jarry J, Faucheron L, Moreno W, CA Bellara, S.Evrard. Delayed colo-anal anastomosis is an alternative to prophylactic diverting stoma after total mesorectal excision for middle and low rectal carcinomas. Eur Surg Oncol. 2011 Feb;37:127-133.
- Bianco F, Belli A, De Franciscis S, Falato A, Romano GM. "Scarless" and no-stoma surgery for low rectal cancer: the laparoscopic pull-through delayed "high" colo-anal anastomosis. Updates Surg. 2016 Mar;68:99-104.
- Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller SD. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care. 1996 Mar;34:220-233.
- Jorge JM, Wexner SD. Etiology and management of fecal incontinence. Dis Colon Rectum. 1993 Jan;36:77-97.
- Paun BC, Cassie S, MacLean AR, Dixon E, Buie WD. Postoperative complications following surgery for rectal cancer. Ann Surg. 2010 May;251:807-818.
- Eriksen MT, Wibe A, Norstein J, Norwegian Rectal Cancer Group. Anastomotic leakage following routine mesorectal excision for rectal cancer in a national cohort of patients. Colorectal Dis. 2005 Jan;7:51-57.

- Chow A, Tilney HS, Paraskeva P , Jeyarajah S, Zacharakis E, Purkayastha S. The morbidity surrounding reversal of defunctioning ileostomies: a systematic review of 48 studies including 6,107 cases. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2009 Jun;24:711-723.
- Sier MF, van Gelder L, Ubbink DT, Bemelman WA, Oostenbroek RJ. Factors affecting timing of closure and non-reversal of temporary ileostomies. Int J Colorectal Dis. 2015 Sep;30:1185-1192.
- Hallet J, Milot H, Drolet S, Desrosiers E, Grégoire RC, Bouchard A. The clinical results of the Turnbull-Cutait delayed coloanal anastomosis: a systematic review. Tech Coloproctol. 2014 Jun;18:579-590
- Branagan G, Finnis D. Wessex Colorectal Cancer Audit Working Group. Prognosis after anastomotic leakage in colorectal surgery. Dis Colon Rectum. 2005 May;48:1021-1026.
- 14. Bell SW1, Walker KG, Rickard MJ, Sinclair G, Dent OF, Chapuis PH, et al. Anastomotic leakage after curative anterior resection results in a higher prevalence of local recurrence. Br J Surg. 2003 Oct;90:1261-1266.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, Ahnen DJ, Meester RGS et al (2017) Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017 May 6;67:177-193.
- Emmertsen KJ, Yen-Ting Chen T, Laurberg S. Functional results after treatment for rectal cancer. J Coloproctol. 2014;34:55–61
- Bregendahl S, Emmertsen KJ, Lous J, Laurberg S. Bowel dysfunction after low anterior resection with and without neoadjuvant therapy for rectal cancer: a population-based cross-sectional study. Colorectal Dis. 2013 Sep;15:1130-1139.
- Qin Q, Huang B, Cao W, Zhou J, Ma T, Zhou Z, et al. Bowel Dysfunctio After Low Anterior Resection With Neoadjuvant Chemoradiotherapy or Chemotherapy Alone for Rectal Cancer: A Cross-Sectional Study from China. Dis Colon Rectum. 2017 Jul;60:697-705.
- Sage PY, Trilling B, Waroquet PA, Voirin D, Girard E, Faucheron JL. Laparoscopic delayed coloanal anastomosis without diverting ileostomy for low rectal cancer surgery: 85 consecutive patients from a single institution. Tech Coloproctol. 2018 Jul;22:511-518.
- 20. Lange MM, Van der Velde CJ. Faecal and urinary incontinence after multimodality treatment of rectal cancer. PLoS Med. 2008 Oct 7;5:e202.
- Olagne E, Baulieux J, de la Roche E, Adham M, Berthoux N, Bourdeix O, et al. Functional results of delayed coloanal anastomosis after preoperative radiotherapy for lower third rectal cancer. J Am Coll Surg. 2000 Dec;191:643-649.
- Maggiori L, Blanche J, Harnoy Y, Ferron M, Panis Y. Redo-surgery by transanal colonic pull-through for failed anastomosis associated with chronic pelvic sepsis or rectovaginal fistula. Int. J. Colorectal Dis. 2015 Apr;30:543-548.
- Habr-Gama A, Perez RO, Nadalin W, Sabbaga J, Ribeiro U Jr, Silva e Sousa AH Jr, et al. Operative versus nonoperative treatment for stage 0 distal rectal cancer following chemoradiation therapy: long-term results. Ann. Surg. 2004 Oct;240:711-717; discussion 717-718.