Prophylaxis and Therapeutic Ability of Inactivated Dermatophytic Vaccine Against Dermatophytosis in the Rabbits as an Animal Model
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Dermatophytosis is a group of cutaneous diseases widely distributed in human and animals. It causes serious infection in some human cases and economic losses in farm animals. The primary aim of this study is to conduct an investigation of prophylaxis and a potential therapeutic vaccine against dermatophytosis.

Materials and Methods: The rabbit was chosen as an animal model of dermatophytosis for a case control study conducted in two parts. Inactivated cells of Trichophyton mentagrophytes were prepared for use as a vaccine. The prophylaxis part included vaccination of rabbits with the prepared vaccine either alone or with Freund’s adjuvant, followed by infection with the same fungus. The second part included treatment of infected rabbits with an inactivated vaccine.

Results: The prepared vaccine showed prophylactic ability against infection with T. mentagrophytes for more than 6 months without requiring an adjuvant and also revealed a therapeutic ability in infected animals after a short time (16 days), compared with the control group.

Conclusion: Inactivated vaccine gives animals durable protection and shortens the treatment time for infection with dermatophytosis.
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ÖZ

Amaç: Dermatofitoz, insan ve hayvanlarda yaygın olarak bulunan bir grup deri hastalığıdır. Bazı olgularda ciddi enfeksiyonlara ve çiftlik hayvanlarında ekonomik kayıplara neden olur. Bu çalışmamızın temel amacı, profilaksi ve dermatofitoza karşı potansiyel bir terapötik aşını test etmektedir.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Tavşan, iki bölümden oluşan bir olgu kontrol çalışması için dermatofitozun hayvan modeli olarak seçilmiştir. Trichophyton mentagrophytes’in etkisizleştirilmiş hücreleri, aşılı olarak kullanılmak üzere hazırlanmıştır. Profilaksi kısmında, tavşanların hazırlanmış aşısı ile tek başına veya Freund adjuvani ile aşılması ve ardından aynı mantarla enfeksiyonu içermiştir. İkinci kısımda, enfekte tavşanların etkisizleştirilmiş bir aşısı ile tedavisini içerişyordu.

Bulgular: Hazırlanan aşısı, T. mentagrophytes ile enfeksiyonu karşı 6 aydan fazla süreyle, bir adımda ihtiyac duymadan profilaktik yetenek gösterdi ve ayrıca enfekte hayvanlarda, kontrol grubuna kıyasla kısa bir süre sonra (16 gün) terapötik yetenek gösterdi.

Sonuç: İnaktive aşı, hayvanlara kalıcı koruma sağlar ve dermatofitoz ile enfeksiyon için tedavi süresini kısaltır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Dermatofitoz, Trichophyton mentagrophytes, aşısı, profilaksi, tavşan
INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, fungal infections are widely distributed and associated with serious mortality and morbidity rates all over the world. Most of these infections, especially the systemic types, are usually diagnosed too late to begin treatment. Thus, prophylaxis by vaccination against the most common fungal infections should take priority to limit the incidence of such diseases. For decades, antifungal vaccines have been considered impractical by most international scientific societies. Most attention has been focused on the development of vaccines against viral and bacterial infections. The reasons are that fungal infections usually show low incidence rates, and some of them are not widely distributed in comparison with bacterial and viral infections. Weakness of the immune system in most patients with fungal infections was also believed to decrease the efficacy of vaccines against fungi. However, to date, no vaccine had been licensed for use against a fungal infection in humans. Recently, this view has changed due growing interest in limiting a common type of fungal infection after its incidence increased, especially in immunocompromised patients or those with other predisposing factors. Several studies approved the suitability of vaccine development against common fungal infections such as those caused by Aspergillus spp., Candida spp., Paracoccidioides brasiliensis, Sporothrix spp., Cryptococcus spp., Coccidioides spp., Histoplasma spp., and Blastomyces spp.

Dermatophytosis is one of several skin diseases common in both humans and animals. It is caused by a special group of keratinophyllic fungi called dermatophytes. Although dermatophytosis is restricted to within a cutaneous layer of the skin, a systemic distribution in humans has been registered by many case studies. This development in the pathogenesis of dermatophytes directed specialists to consider dermatophytosis as a serious disease demanding increased attention. In animals, dermatophytosis is considered a very important disease due to its effect on the economic value of animal breeding. Although an effective vaccine against dermatophytosis is not a novel idea, research is ongoing for the ideal one with good prophylactic and therapeutic activity. Various components of dermatophytes have been evaluated as vaccines against dermatophytosis but have not received approval for commercial use in humans. However, these studies are still at an experimental level, even though a few of them are used commercially for various animals such as dogs, cats, bovines, and guinea pigs.

Herein, rabbits were chosen as a model to investigate the suitability of a vaccine produced from Trichophyton mentagrophytes for prophylaxis and treatment of dermatophytosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal isolate

T. mentagrophytes was isolated from the tinea corporis of a 56-year old male for use in experimental infection of rabbits. The isolate was diagnosed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and sequencing of the internal transcribed spacer region using the primer pair ITS1 (forward) and ITS4 (reverse). The fungal genome was extracted by using the FavorPrep™ Fungi/Yeast Genomic DNA Extraction Mini Kit (Favorgen Biotech Corp., Taiwan). The PCR mixture was prepared in a total volume of 20 μL, including 5 μL of AccuPower® PCR Premix (Bioneer, South Korea), 1 μL of each primer, 1 μL of template DNA, and sterile deionized distilled water. A negative control containing all reagentsexcept template DNA was also included. PCR cycling was initiated at 94°C for 2 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 35 seconds, annealing at 52°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 60 seconds, with a final extension at 72°C for 6 min. Sequencing of PCR product was performed by Bioneer Company (South Korea). The fungal species was diagnosed after comparison of the obtained sequences with that recorded in GenBank by using the BLAST program.

Vaccine preparation

The anti fungal vaccine was prepared from inactivated fungal cells of isolated from T. mentagrophytes. 100 mL of sterilized distilled water containing about 8x10³ mature fungal cells [grown for 1 week at 30°C on Sabouraud's Dextrose agar (Himedia, India)] in each mL of D.W. was prepared. The aqueous fungal suspension was heated at 70°C for 3 hours in a water bath. To ensure inactivation of fungal cells, 0.1 mL of the treated fungal suspension was cultured on Sabouraud’s Dextrose agar and incubated at 30°C for 2 weeks. The absence of growth is an indicator of successful inactivation. The prepared suspension was stored at 4°C until subsequent use as a vaccine. Freund’s adjuvant of inactive Mycobacterium tuberculosis was chosen as the adjuvant. It was used in two forms: initially as complete Freund’s adjuvant and subsequently as incomplete Freund’s adjuvant for the remainder of the experimental period.

Animals

A case control study was performed on rabbits to investigate the prophylactic and therapeutic potential of the dermatophytic vaccine. Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethical Scientific Committee of the College of Medicine, University of Karbala, no. 504, on June 2, 2020. A total of 18 healthy rabbits weighing 2.5-3.5 kg were used in this study. For the prophylaxis study, 12 healthy rabbits were divided into four groups with 3 rabbits in each group. The first group was injected subcutaneously with 1 mL of vaccine only, the second with a mixture of 1 mL of vaccine and 0.1 mL of Freund’s adjuvant (Wahag Al-Dna, Baghdad), the third with 0.1 mL of Freund’s adjuvant only, and the fourth with neither vaccine nor adjuvant. Groups were infected later with isolated T. mentagrophytes, and the development of dermatophytosis was followed up. Clinical changes at the infection site in all groups were observed for 6 months. For the treatment study, six non-vaccinated rabbits infected with T. mentagrophytes were divided into two groups of three. The first group was treated with 1 mL of prepared dermatophytic vaccine by subcutaneous injection once daily for 16 days. The second group, used as a control, was left untreated. Clinical changes in infected lesions were followed up for approximately 3 months.
**Infection of animals**

An inoculum solution containing $8 \times 10^8$ cells/mL of *T. mentagrophytes* was prepared by mixing an amount of fungal mycelium grown on Sabouraud’s Dextrose agar (HiMedia, India) for 1 week at 30°C in sterilized normal saline. Counting was performed by the hemocytometer method. About 5-7 cm of the neck area of each rabbit was shaved by a mechanical method to remove covering hairs from the skin. A few drops of prepared fungal suspension were inoculated onto the shaved area with some pressure and spread on the skin surface by hand. Infection development was followed up for more than 3 weeks, when lesions were evaluated clinically as dermatophytosis infection.

**Statistical analysis**

All test data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The values were analyzed statistically by One-Way ANOVA with Microsoft Windows Excel, version 10. The threshold for significance was set at *p*<0.05.

**RESULTS**

The rabbit was chosen as a model to study the prophylactic and treatment efficacy of an antidermatophytic vaccine against dermatophytosis. In the prophylaxis control study, the first two groups of rabbits treated with the prepared vaccine and the vaccine together with Freund’s adjuvant showed resistance to infection with *T. mentagrophytes* for more than 6 months, and there were no clinical features of infection or serious inflammatory responses to the adjuvant. Thus, the vaccine alone showed a successful immunization effect against dermatophytosis without the need for adjuvant. Mean while, rabbits treated with Freund’s adjuvant only and control (untreated) rabbits revealed clinical features of dermatophytosis after 16 days from the start of infection (Table 1, Figure 1). In the second study, treatment of infected rabbits with the prepared vaccine was shown to be completely curative after 8 days. The number of lesions decreased gradually after vaccination until complete healing. Rabbits in the control group revealed no signs of cure, even after 3 months (Table 2, Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The control of opportunistic fungal infections has been met with challenges due to an increase in the occurrence of these infections among a wide range of patients, especially immunocompromised individuals, cancer patients, those in long-term treatment, and premature infants. The development of resistance to antifungal agents is also associated with difficulty in their control. Thus, a vaccine against infections by many pathogenic fungi is considered the best option to enhance the efficiency of the immune system. This has been taken seriously as an issue since our understanding of immunity toward pathogenic fungi has improved and the incidence and mortality rate of fungal infections has increased. Moreover, successful discovery of an antifungal vaccine will play an important role in limiting the use of chemotherapy or antifungal agents for the control of fungal infections. The main effective role of antifungal vaccines in the human body is to elevate the stimulation of immune system components against invasive fungi. Humoral immunity is the component of the immune system most affected by vaccine. This type of activation will provide protection to immunocompromised patients, especially after activation of antibody production. Activation of cellular immunity represented by a Th1 response with induction of IL-12 and IFN-γ is also required from an effective vaccine. Antifungal vaccine is usually prepared from living or inactivated whole cells, or from one component of fungi such as cell wall components, cytoplasmic extracts, and genetic recombinant proteins. Extensive studies have been performed to develop an effective vaccine against fungal infections in both man and animals. Although some vaccines are available for use in animals, researchers are still looking for a perfect vaccine. A satisfactory result has been achieved from vaccines used to immunize against various fungal diseases such as candidiasis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Infection period of vaccinated rabbits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine with adjuvant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between groups at *p*<0.05

**Figure 1.** Infected rabbit with *Trichophyton mentagrophytes* after vaccination. A) Control rabbit with dermatophytosis lesions (red with granulated skin). B) Vaccinated rabbit with adjuvant only, which showed the same infectious features as the control. C) Vaccinated rabbit with vaccine only without any lesions of dermatophytosis for more than 6 months. D) Vaccination with vaccine and adjuvant without any lesions of dermatophytosis for more than 6 months.
blasto-mycosis, coccidioidomycosis, histoplasmosis, and paracoccidioidomycosis. However, the United States Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) has not yet licensed any vaccine for commercial use.\textsuperscript{4,5,32}

Dermatophytosis is a typical common disease in the cutaneous layer of the skin of different parts of the human or animal body.\textsuperscript{8} Control of this disease has recently gained attention after elevation of the drug-resistance rate in many causative dermatophytes\textsuperscript{33} and also because treatment of dermatophytosis, especially in animals, is usually expensive and time-consuming.\textsuperscript{7} Thus, the development of an anti-dermatophytic vaccine may introduce a solution to decrease these disadvantages and also to limit the transfer of dermatophytes between humans and animals.\textsuperscript{7,24} However, increasing the immune response against dermatophytosis can be a key to limiting the toxicity and virulence effects of this disease.\textsuperscript{25} Recently, many studies have attempted to enhance the prophylactic action of the antifungal vaccine by stimulating cellular immunity to increase the immunization rate against dermatophytosis.\textsuperscript{9} This has been achieved by using specific antigens of a dermatophyte, especially those from \textit{Trichophyton} spp., to provide stronger immunization than it can gain from inactive vaccine.\textsuperscript{7,8} Although no vaccine has a license for commercial use against dermatophytosis, some countries, such as Norway, immunize their cattle with a vaccine against \textit{Trichophyton verrucosum} as a strategy to control dermatophytosis.\textsuperscript{7}

Our prepared vaccine provided prophylaxis to rabbits from dermatophytic infection for more than 6 months. Other studies failed to achieve this period; for example, the study of DeBoer and Moriello\textsuperscript{21} found that dermatophytosis lesions developed in cats vaccinated with killed \textit{M. canis} cell wall after a 16-week challenge with other infected cats. The rabbit is often preferred for use as an animal model for fungal infection over small mammals due to the ease of observation of changes in fungal lesions.\textsuperscript{24} Vaccination of rabbits with culture filtrate antigens of one dermatophyte species was found to provide immunization against six other species, as indicated by a positive skin test.\textsuperscript{24} Subcutaneous injection of rabbits with heat-killed \textit{Trichophyton purpureum} suspended in Freund’s adjuvant also provided protection against infection by the same fungus for more than 17 months.\textsuperscript{36} Vaccination with heat-inactivated macroconidia (6-24x10\textsuperscript{6} cell/mL) of \textit{T. mentagrophytes} and \textit{M. canis} protected rabbits and guinea pigs against infection with a virulent isolate of \textit{T. mentagrophytes}.\textsuperscript{15} Other animals have also shown resistance to infection with dermatophytes after immunization with antifungal vaccine. Vaccination of guinea pigs with \textit{Trichophyton equinum} vaccine increased resistance to \textit{M. canis} compared with non-vaccinated controls.\textsuperscript{34}

In this study, vaccination was performed by inactive cells of \textit{T. mentagrophytes} either alone or with adjuvant. This type of vaccine can exhibit a better outcome in some cases than from vaccination of animals with a specific component of dermatophytes.\textsuperscript{7,12,18,19,21,25,37} Intramuscular injection with a live vaccine of \textit{T. verrucosum} was found useful to protect calves from dermatophytosis,\textsuperscript{18} while purified recombinant keratinolytic metalloprotease failed to protect guinea pigs against infection with \textit{M. canis.}\textsuperscript{13} A freeze-dried preparation of live vaccine of \textit{T. verrucosum} was also used successfully to protect calves against experimental dermatophytosis.\textsuperscript{25} Cats vaccinated with killed cell wall of \textit{M. canis} showed efficiency at stimulating production of a high titer of anti-dermatophyte IgG and a weak cell-mediated response.\textsuperscript{21} A vaccine of whole cells of live and killed \textit{Aspergillus fumigatus} also provided variable protection against aspergillosis in a mouse model.\textsuperscript{37} However, intra- or subcutaneous injection of whole cell crude extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No of lesions before treatment</th>
<th>Treatment period (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vaccine only</td>
<td>5-20</td>
<td>8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant difference between groups at p<0.05

Figure 2. Treatment of infected rabbit with inactivated vaccine. A) Rabbit with dermatophytosis lesions before treatment. B) Rabbit with cure of infection after 8 days of treatment
of dermatophytes has the ability to introduce greater protection in animals than when introduced by other routes.\textsuperscript{19}

According to the results of this study, there was no difference between the use of prepared vaccine alone and with Freund’s adjuvant. Both vaccinated groups of rabbits were resistant to infection by \textit{T. mentagrophytes} for more than 6 months, while rabbits treated with only Freund’s adjuvant showed infection after 16 days. This indicates that the presence of Freund’s adjuvant had no effect on the prophylactic efficacy of prepared vaccine to stimulate the immune system. Westhoff et al.\textsuperscript{20} also found a similar result when they tested the prophylactic activity of non-adjuvanted inactivated vaccine in cats prepared from some strains of dermatophytes. In fact, the main reason to use Freund’s adjuvant in this study was to increase potential immunologic stimulation by the prepared vaccine. Many studies have investigated the efficacy of antidermatophytic vaccines in animals after mixing them with adjuvant. Pier\textsuperscript{21} found that a suspension of killed \textit{Trichophyton equinum} with adjuvant showed effective prophylaxis in horses and guinea pigs against infection with the same fungus or with other species of dermatophytes. Adjuvanted secreted compounds of \textit{M. canis} with monophosphoryl lipid-A revealed partial protection against infection with the same fungus in guinea pigs.\textsuperscript{14} In general, adjuvant, which contains one or more complex compounds, is preferred for use with a vaccine of a single antigen that has a weak ability to stimulate the immune response.\textsuperscript{3,6} Recently, researchers have attempted to develop an antifungal vaccine from purified, recombinant, or synthetic antigen, which all require adjuvant to obtain suitable protection against infection with pathogenic fungi.\textsuperscript{1,4,6,13,16} Unlike vaccines prepared with inactivated organisms, vaccines made from a single antigen always have problems with purity and production.\textsuperscript{1} Freund’s adjuvant, which contains heat-killed \textit{Mycobacterium tuberculosis} emulsified in mineral oil, is commonly used for accelerating new vaccine testing to stimulate immunity against various infections in animal experiments.\textsuperscript{1,4,31} This adjuvant role may maintain continual vaccine-stimulated immunity for a long period of time. By lengthening fungal antigen release into the injection site,\textsuperscript{39} Cellular immunity, such as that mediated by T-helper cells is usually elicited by Freund’s adjuvant, which can also stimulate humoral immunity.\textsuperscript{1,4,31,36} However, the combination of a vaccine with an adjuvant is used to achieve many purposes, including an increased immunological response through stimulation of various immunologic pathways; alteration of the immune response to a specific infection; and allowing the use of a small vaccine dose.\textsuperscript{31}

In the second part of this study, the antifungal vaccine preparation showed therapeutic activity against dermatophytosis in rabbits in a short time (8 days), compared with the untreated group. In another study, a filtered culture of \textit{T. verrucosum} was prepared as an injected vaccine with adjuvant for treatment of cows and buffaloes with dermatophytosis, and it showed effective results 10 days after injection.\textsuperscript{39} In a placebo-controlled, double-blind study, a mixed aqueous preparation of inactivated vaccine from six dermatophyte species exhibited better curative action for cats with dermatophytosis, especially those with a first infection or at a young age.\textsuperscript{17} In activated vaccine of a single species of dermatophytes without adjuvant was also used in another control study for treatment of cats with dermatophytosis, but no significant differences were observed between treated cats and a control group.\textsuperscript{20} Some companies are attempting to produce an effective prophylaxis and treatment vaccine against dermatophytosis in animals, but their work is still not licensed by the FDA. The Micanfin (Biocan-M\textsuperscript{®}) vaccine manufactured by Bioveta (Czech Republic), which is composed of inactivated \textit{M. canis}, is used commercially to immunize cats and dogs against dermatophytosis.\textsuperscript{16,40} Erman Or et al.\textsuperscript{40} also found that the Micanfin product has therapeutic action against dermatophytosis in cats after two vaccination doses with a 21-day interval, while another study showed that this vaccine needed 20-30 days for treatment of cats with dermatophytosis.\textsuperscript{16} Meanwhile, Chansiripornchai and Sunpairoin\textsuperscript{22} found that treatment of a male cat with dermatophytosis with the Micanfin vaccine reduced infectious lesions and regrowth of hair 14 days after the first injection. Nedosekov et al.\textsuperscript{15} performed a clinical trial to evaluate the ability of the heat-inactivated vaccine of \textit{T. mentagrophytes} and \textit{M. canis} called Funhikanifel to immunize dogs and cats against experimental dermatophytosis. A single vaccination was followed by recovery of 27% of dogs, while double vaccination cured 96.8% of all animals.

The development of new vaccines for dermatophytosis in humans has faced many challenges. First, the majority of fungal infections affect immunocompromised patients (this is not the case with dermatophytosis). This can be resolved by choosing a vaccine with the ability to elicit humoral immunity. Second, vaccine preparation has become expensive, especially for those prepared from recombinant antigens. Third, the new vaccine may act on the normal flora in the human body when it is used against diseases caused by one of them, for example candidiasis. Fourth, the use of an antigen similar to one present in the host that can induce an unnecessary autoimmune response and fifth, some types of vaccine either with or without adjuvant may not induce adequate immunization in some individuals.\textsuperscript{1,6,31,32,37}

**CONCLUSION**

Although the search continues for a suitable vaccine against dermatophytosis, no one has a license from a regulatory organization such as the FDA for use in the commercial field. A new preparation of vaccine from inactivated \textit{T. mentagrophytes} showed effective prophylaxis and treatment results against dermatophytosis in rabbits. Long-term protection and a short treatment time are the most significant results obtained from this study.
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