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ABSTRACT

The pharmaceutical industry is attempting to discover thin films as a new drug delivery system. Thin films have been described as an alternative approach to conventional dosage forms. They are a versatile platform that provides fast, local, or systemic effects. Additionally, these systems can be easily applied by themselves, especially for dysphagia patients, geriatric, pediatric, or bedridden patients, as well as patients who cannot easily access water. These drug delivery systems can be administered in various ways such as orally, buccally, sublingually, ocularly, and transdermally. This review examines oral thin films in all aspects from today’s point of view and gives an idea about the growing market share in the world due to the increase in research fields and technological developments. At the same time, it provides an overview of the critical parameters associated with formulation design that affect of thin films, including the design of thin films, anatomical and physiological limitations, the selection of appropriate manufacturing processes, characterization techniques, and the physicochemical properties of polymers and drugs. It also provides insight into the latest thin-film products developed by various pharmaceutical companies.

Key words: Drug delivery systems, oral thin film (OTF), pharmaceutical industry, polymers

INTRODUCTION

The oral mucosal epithelium is a 40-50 cell layer called mucus that is made up of carbohydrates and proteins. The mucosal thickness at the mouth base, tongue, and gums ranges from 100 to 200 μm. The submucosal layer releases a small amount of gel-like fluid known as mucus, consisting of 90%-99% water, 1%-5% water-insoluble glycoprotein, and components such as proteins, enzymes, electrolytes, and nucleic acids. On the other hand, the salivary glands consist of lobules that secrete saliva and parotid from the salivary duct near the sublingual canals and submandibular teeth. Small salivary glands are most often found on the lips and cheek mucosa. The total amount of saliva secreted in 1 min is approximately 1-2 mL. Saliva is composed of mucus, water, amylase (enzyme), lysozyme, mineral salts, immunoglobulins, and blood clotting factors. Mucin and saliva also serve as a barrier for the oral mucosa.1,2

The mucosal epithelial structure contains two different areas, the membrane of the stratified epithelium, which is a lipophilic area and space between cells, and a more hydrophilic area.3 The oral mucosa has a capability between the intestinal mucosa and the epidermis in terms of permeability to substances. It is estimated that the permeability of the buccal mucosa is 4-4000 times better than that of the skin.2 The mucosal epithelium offers two main drug absorption pathways, the paracellular pathway (intercellular) and the transcellular pathway (intercellular) (Figure 1). The lipophilic structure of the cell membranes facilitates the passage of molecules with a high partition coefficient through the cells, while the polar nature of the intercellular space facilitates the penetration of more hydrophilic molecules. The hydrophobic, hydrophilic, or amphiphilic nature of the drug molecule determines its absorption.2,3
Oral disintegrating/dissolving films or strips can be defined as follows: “These are drug delivery systems that they are quickly releasing the drug by dissolving or adhering in the mucosa with saliva within a few seconds due to it contains water-soluble polymers when it placed in the mouth cavity or on the tongue”. The sublingual mucosa has high membrane permeability due to its thin membrane structure and high vascularization. Due to this rapid blood supply, it offers very good bioavailability. Enhanced systemic bioavailability is owing to skipping the first-pass effect and better permeability is owing to high blood flow and lymphatic circulation. In addition, the oral mucosa is a very effective and selective route of systemic drug delivery because of the large surface area and ease of application for absorption. In general, OTFs are characterized as a thin and flexible polymer layer, with or without plasticizers in their content. They can be said to be less disturbing and more acceptable to patients, as they are thin and flexible in their natural structure. Thin films are polymeric systems that provide many of the requirements expected of a drug delivery system. In studies, thin films have shown their abilities such as improving the initial effect of the drug and duration of this effect, decreasing the frequency of dosing, and increasing the effectiveness of the drug. With thin-film technology, it can be beneficial to eliminate the side effects of drugs and reduce common metabolism procured by proteolytic enzymes. Ideal thin films should possess the desired properties of a drug delivery system, such as a suitable drug loading capacity, rapid dispersion/dissolution, or prolonged application and reasonable formulation stability. Also, they must be nontoxic, biodegradable, and biocompatible. The existence of a variety of biocompatible polymers and variability in production technologies has made it possible to develop a different variety of OTFs. For this reason, OTFs are gaining acceptance and popularity as a new drug carrier dosage form in pharmaceutical technology. A great endeavor has been made to formulate polymeric OTFs that can be delivered via the oral, buccal, sublingual, ocular, and transdermal routes of administration. Among these applications, the use of OTFs for drug delivery across the buccal or sublingual mucosa has gained great attention in recent years. Mechanical strength, related properties, mucoadhesive properties, and drug release rate can also be adjusted by using combinations of polymers, which are the basic structure of thin films, in different proportions. The pharmaceutical industry is affected by the attractive properties of OTFs, and as a result, they are developing thin-film technologies and are currently patenting these formulations.

Many pharmaceutical preparations are applied in tablet, granule, powder, and liquid form. In general, a tablet design is in a form presented to patients to swallow or chew a precise dose of medication. However, especially geriatric and pediatric patients have difficulty chewing or swallowing solid dosage forms. Therefore, many children and elderly people are reluctant to take these solid dosage forms owing to fear of asphyxiation. Orally dissolving tablets (ODTs) have emerged to meet this need. However, for some patient populations, the fear of swallowing the solid dosage form (tablet, capsule), and the risk of asphyxiation remains despite short dissolution/disintegration times. Oral thin film (OTF) drug delivery systems are a preferable alternative under these conditions. The oral bioavailability of many drugs is insufficient due to the enzymes, common first-pass metabolism, and pH of the stomach. Such conventional drugs have been administered parenterally and have shown low patient compliance. Situations like these have paved way for the pharmaceutical industry to develop alternative systems for the transportation of drugs by developing thin dispersible/dissolving films in the mouth. Fear of drowning, which may be a risk with ODTs, has been associated with these patient groups. Rapid dissolution/disintegration of OTF drug delivery systems is a preferable alternative to ODTs in patients with fear of asphyxiation. When they are placed on the tongue, OTFs are immediately wetted with saliva. As a result, they are dispersed and/or dissolved to release the drug for systemic and/or local absorption. ODTs are fragile and can break during transport. Therefore, oral fast disintegrating/dissolving OTF drug delivery systems are developed as an alternative. Differences between OTFs and ODTs are given in Table 1.
According to the American Food and Drug Administration (FDA), OTF is defined as “including one or more active pharmaceutical ingredients (APIs), a flexible and non-brittle strip that is placed on the tongue before passing into the gastrointestinal tract, aiming for a quick dissolution or disintegration in the saliva”. The first prescribed OTF was Zuplenz (Ondansetron HCl, 4-8 mg) and was approved in 2010. Suboxon (buprenorphine and naloxan) quickly followed as the second approved. Statistics show that four out of five patients choose orally dissolving/disintegrating dosage forms over traditional oral solid dosage forms. At present, in many prescription and over-the-counter product groups, especially in cough, cold, sore throat, erectile dysfunction disorders, allergic reactions, asthma, gastrointestinal disorders, pain, snoring complaints, sleep problems, and multivitamin combinations, etc. OTFs are available and continue to increase. Fast-dissolving oral films have many advantages over other solid dosage forms, such as flexibility and increased efficacy of the API. Also, oral films have dissolution and disintegration with very little saliva fluid in less than one minute compared with ODTs.

An OTF should have the following ideal features
- It should taste good
- Drugs should be very moisture resistant and soluble in the saliva
- It should have appropriate tension resistance
- It should be ionized in the oral cavity pH
- It should be able to penetrate the oral mucosa
- It should be able to have a rapid effect

OTF’s advantages over other dosage forms
- Practical
  - Does not require water use
  - Can be used safely even when access to water is not possible (such as travel)
  - No risk of suffocation
  - Improved stability
  - Easy to apply
  - Easy application to mental and incompatible patients
  - There is little or no residue in the mouth after application
  - Bypasses the gastrointestinal tract and thus increasing bioavailability
  - Low dosage and low side effects
  - It provides more accurate dosage when compared to liquid dosage forms
  - No need to measure, which is an important disadvantage in liquid dosage forms
  - Leaves a good feeling in the mouth
  - Provides rapid onset of effects in conditions requiring urgent intervention, for example, allergic attacks such as asthma and intraoral diseases
  - Improves the absorption rate and amount of drugs
  - Provides enhanced bioavailability for less water-soluble drugs, especially via giving a large surface area while rapidly dissolving
  - Does not prevent normal functions such as speaking and drinking
  - Offers administration of drugs with a high risk of disruption in the gastrointestinal tract
  - Has an expanding market and product variety
  - Can be developed and placed on the market within 12-16 months

Disadvantages of OTFs
- Requires special equipment for packaging
- Is not suitable for drugs that cause irritation in the oral pH and are not durable
- Only a small dose of medication can be administered, but research has shown that the API concentration can be increased by up to 50% by weight (for example, each film strip of Gas-X® of Novartis Consumer Health contains 62.5 mg of Simethicone)
- Is hygroscopic by nature. For this reason, it causes difficulties for long-term protection
- Only drugs absorbed by passive diffusion can be applied in this way
- Since OTFs are resolved rapidly, dose termination is not possible
- OTFs are not registered to any pharmacopoeia
- Preparation method is costly compared with oral dissolving tablets

OTFs are classified in three ways
1. Flash release (quick release)
2. Mucoadhesive melt away wafers (mucoadhesive wafer)
3. Mucoadhesive sustained-release wafers (mucoadhesive extended-release wafer)

In Table 2 below, the features that distinguish the above OTF types are shown.

Because of its ease of application and high effectiveness, it is not surprising that drugs in the form of thin-film dosage take a high market share. This technology attracts the attention of both old and newly established pharmaceutical companies. Important sales figures have been reached in the USA and European countries. While the pharmaceutical products market in oral thin-film formulations was $500 million in 2007, it was seen that this rate reached $2 billion by 2010. Also, according to a research report, the global thin-film pharmaceutical products market is expected to increase from $7 billion in 2015 to over $15 billion by the end of 2024. Therefore, it is estimated that there will be an increase of 117% in 10 years (Figure 2). In Table 3 below are a few examples of OTFs currently used in the world, and auxiliary substances used in OTF formulations are shown.
Active ingredients used in OTFs
The API must be dissolved for absorption to occur. If the active substance is very lipophilic, it is insoluble in the aqueous medium, and absorption may not be at the desired level. Therefore, there is a delicate balance between the lipophilicity and solubility of the drug. The primary mechanism of drug absorption is passive diffusion. As a result, the partition coefficient, degree of ionization, and molecular weight have a major influence on the transport of drugs across the oral mucosal membranes. The API’s pKa and the degree of ionization at ambient pH must be taken into account when considering bioavailability. The degree of absorption is generally proportional to the lipophilicity or partition coefficient of the API. However, the solubility of the drug also plays a significant role. The nonionized form of the drug shows more lipid-soluble properties and therefore penetrates by diffusion through biological membranes.3,14,17

There is no uniformity problem in the distribution of water-soluble APIs. However, water-insoluble APIs must be distributed homogeneously to have acceptable content uniformity.7

APIs to be used in OTFs7
- Should be used in a low dosage
- The feeling and taste left in the mouth should be appropriate
- Must have low molecular weight
- Must be stable and soluble in saliva

Its potential and therapeutic effectiveness are also important in the selection of the API. The most suitable APIs for OTFs are anticancer drugs, antiasthmatic, antitussives, antihistamines, antiepileptics, antiinginal drugs, antiinfectives, cardiovascular drugs, neuroleptics, analgesics, anxiolytics, antiallergic drugs, hypnotics, sedatives, antibacterial drugs, anti-Alzheimer’s drugs, and diuretics, and expectorants.1,14

Film-forming polymers used in OTFs
The selection of polymers is one of the most critical and important parameters in the successful preparation of oral films due to their tensile strength, which depends on the type and amount of films used. According to the total weight of the dry film, at least 45% polymer by weight must be present, but 60%-65% by weight of the polymer is chosen to achieve the desired properties. Polymers can be utilized alone or in combination to achieve the desired film properties. Because OTFs are rapidly dispersed and dissolved in the oral cavity, the film-forming polymers utilized must be water-soluble. At the same time, the films obtained must be durable, which will not cause any damage during transport and storage (Table 5).1,7,14

Properties of an ideal polymer for OTFs are the following1,14
- The polymer used must be nontoxic and non-irritating
- There should not be impurities
- It must have enough wetting and spreading properties
- It must have sufficient stress and tensile strength
- It should be accessible and not too expensive
- The shelf life should be reasonable
It should not cause secondary infections in the dental areas or oral mucosa.

- It should have a good feeling in the oral cavity.

- It must not be an impediment to the disintegration time.

The combined use of different polymers provides specific properties to OTFs. For example, gelatins have different molecular weights, so high-molecular-weight glossy and highly attractive films can be obtained using gelatins. Pullulan is often used to prepare a thin film with high mechanical strength and dissolution; it is also stable at a wide range of temperatures. The mixture of high-methoxy pectin and chitosan or low-methoxy pectin results in a thin film that shows perfect mechanical strength. Film-forming polymers such as methylcellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, and carboxymethyl cellulose form a thin film that disperses and/or swells due to its hydrophilic structures that help to absorb water. The use of combined polymers and the properties of the obtained OTFs are given in Table 6.10

### Plasticizers used in OTFs

Plasticizers help to increase the flexibility and lower the Tg of the polymer, reducing the friability of the film. Plasticizers

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTC products</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Plasticizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Listerine, PocketPaks® oral care strips (Johnson &amp; Johnson)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Menthol</td>
<td>Pullulan</td>
<td>Glyceryl oleate Macrogol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudafed® PE (Johnson &amp; Johnson)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Phenylephrine</td>
<td>Maltodextrin Pullulan</td>
<td>Carrageen Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theraflu® Day Time Thin Strips (Novartis Consumer Healthcare)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Dextromethorphan Diphenhydramine Phenylephrine</td>
<td>Hyromellose (HPMC) Maltodextrin</td>
<td>Propylene Glycol Macrogol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas-X Thin Strips® (Novartis Consumer Healthcare)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Simethicone</td>
<td>Maltodextrin HPMC</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol Sorbitol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloraseptic® Sore Throat Relief Strips (InnoZen)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Benzocaine</td>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>Erythritol Macrogol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppress Cough Strips® (InnoZen)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Menthol</td>
<td>Carrageen Pectin Sodium alginate</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedia-Lax® Quick Dissolve Strip (C. B. Fleet)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Sennoside</td>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpotScent Oral Care Strips® (Spotscent)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Parsley seed oil</td>
<td>Modified cellulose</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orajel™ Kids Sore Throat Relief Strips (Church &amp; Dwight Co.)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Benzocaine</td>
<td>Pectin</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day Time Triaminic Thin Strips® Cough &amp; Cold (Novartis Consumer Healthcare)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Phenylephrine Dextromethorphan</td>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IvyFilm®, IvyFilm Kiddies® Extract (Lamar-Forrester Pharma)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Hedera Helix</td>
<td>Pullulan</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benadryl® Allergy Quick Dissolve Strips (McNeil-PPC)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Diphenhydramine</td>
<td>Carrageen Pullulan</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Prescribed products

| Sildenafil Sandoz Orodispersible Film® (Sandoz)                              | 2014 | Sildenafil                              | HHPMC                    | Glycerin                              |
| Sildenafil Orodispersible Film (IBSA Farmaceutici Italia Srl)               | 2016 | Sildenafil                              | Maltodextrin             | Glycerin polisorbat Propylene glycol Monocaprylate |
| Zuplenz® (Vestiq Pharmaceuticals)                                          | 2012 | Ondansetron                             | HHPMC                    | Polyethylene oxide Colloidal silicon Dioxide |
| Risperidone HEXAL® SF Schmelzfilm                                          | 2010 | Risperidone                             | HHPMC Maltodextrin       | Glycerin                              |
also increase tensile strength. Plasticizers must be compatible with the drug, solvent, and polymer used. Sorbitol, mannitol, glycerin, diethyl phthalate, tributyl citrate, triethyl citrate, macrogol, propylene glycol, and citric acid esters are the most commonly used (Table 7). \(^1\)\(^7\)\(^14\)\(^16\)

**Surfactants used in OTFs**

Surfactants as dispersing or wetting agents helping the film to dissolve in a short time and release the API quickly. It is preferable to use poloxamer 407, sodium lauryl sulfate, and polysorbate. \(^14\)\(^16\)

**Sweeteners used in OTFs**

Natural and artificial sweeteners are used to increase the flavor of OTFs. Polyhydric alcohols such as mannitol, sorbitol, maltitol, and isomalt are the most frequently used. In addition, polyhydric alcohols can be used in combination to provide a good feeling and coldness in the mouth. Also, polyhydric alcohols do not leave a bitter aftertaste in the mouth and are less carcinogenic. The sweetening feature of most polyols, except xylitol and maltitol, is less than half that of sucrose (both have a similar sweetness to sucrose). The use of natural sugars in these preparations is restrained in diabetic patients. For that reason, artificial sweeteners are most popular in pharmaceutical preparations and foods. In OTFs, aspartame and saccharin are commonly used as artificial sweeteners. \(^14\)\(^16\)

**Saliva stimulants used in OTFs**

Saliva stimulating agents increase the saliva production rate and help break down formulations faster. Also, acids generally used in food production could be utilized as saliva stimulating agents. Ascorbic acid, malic acid, citric acid, tartaric acid, and lactic acid are some of the saliva stimulating agents. \(^7\)\(^16\)

**Superdisintegrants used in OTFs**

Superdisintegrants, when added to OTF formulations, provide rapid disintegration as a result of the combined effect of both water absorption and swelling. Superdisintegrants accelerate disintegration and dissolution by providing absorption and swelling owing to their excessive water absorption. Powerful interaction with saliva is very important for disintegration. Some of the commonly used superdisintegrants and their concentrations are shown in Table 8. \(^7\)\(^14\)

**Coloring agents used in OTFs**

FD and C approved colorants, EU approved colorants, natural coloring agents, or pigments can be included in formulations up to 1% by weight. \(^7\)

**Flavoring agents used in OTFs**

The choice of a pleasant flavor depends on the type of API to be used. The acceptance of the dosage form by the patient as a result of oral disintegration or dissolution depends on the taste perceived within the first few seconds after the consumption of the OTF and subsequently at least 10 min in the mouth. Therefore, the choice of flavoring agent is extremely important. Flavoring agents that are frequently used in formulations are given in Table 9. \(^7\)\(^17\)

**Preparation methods of OTFs**\(^7\)\(^17\)\(^10\)\(^17\)

One of the following methods or combinations could be utilized in the preparation of oral dissolving/disintegrating thin films:

- **Solvent and semisolid casting method**
  The solvent casting method is the most generally utilized method to prepare OTFs because of its simple preparation, low processing cost, and ease of application. \(^10\)\(^19\) In brief, water-soluble components are prepared by mixing in a heated
magnetic stirrer. Then, drug and other excipients are added to this mixture to obtain a viscous solution. The solution prepared by this method is poured into a petri dish and the solvents allowed to evaporate. Depending on the solvent system used, these are kept for 20-25 or 24-48 h at room temperature or 40°C-50°C in the oven for a shorter period of time. The films obtained after evaporation of the solvents are 15-20 mm in diameter, 0.2-0.3 mm thick, and carefully separated from the petri dishes. Depending on the amount of active substance they contain, they are cut into pieces of the desired size. In the semisolid technique, the semisolid gel mass is poured into suitable molds and dried using gel-forming polymers. Then they are prepared by cutting into the desired sizes.\textsuperscript{1,7,19}

**Advantages and disadvantages of the solvent casting method\textsuperscript{1,7,10}**

- Films are of uniform thicknesses
- Films are clear and bright
- Films are quite flexible
- Prepared films are quite thin (12-100 μm)
- Offers better physical properties
- The method cost is suitable
- Compared to the “hot melt extrusion” method, APIs are not exposed to high temperatures and do not have stability problems
- The polymer selected should be soluble in water or in a volatile solvent
- It should have a suitable viscosity

**Hot melt extrusion method**

The hot melt extrusion method is a widely utilized method to formulate sustained-release tablets, granules, transmucosal, and transdermal drug delivery systems. The mixture containing the formulation components is mixed and melted by means of an extruder with heaters. As a result, the liquid mixture is turned into film form through molds.\textsuperscript{1,19}

---

### Table 5. Common polymers utilized in OTFs\textsuperscript{1,7,18}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>Carbohydrate</td>
<td>Pectin, pullulan, maltodextrin, sodium alginate, and sodium starch glycollate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Gelatin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resin</td>
<td>Polymerized resin (new film-forming)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic</td>
<td>Cellulose derivatives</td>
<td>Hydroxy propyl methylcellulose (K50, K3, K15, E5, E3, and E15), carboxy methylcellulose, methylcellulose (A3, A15, and A6), sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, croscarmellose sodium, and microcrystalline cellulose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vinyl polymer</td>
<td>Polyvinylpyrrolidone (K90 and K30), polyvinyl alcohol, and polyethylene oxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acrylic polymer</td>
<td>Eudragit (RL-100, 9, 10, 11, 12, and RD-100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6. Combined polymers and the properties of the obtained OTFs\textsuperscript{9}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined polymers</th>
<th>Dispersion Time (s)</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Film-forming capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + PEG 400</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + Glycerin</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC K4M</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + Pullulan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + PVA</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + PVP</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + PVA + MCC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMC E-15 + MCC</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Semi-transparent</td>
<td>Best</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA + PVP + Glycerin</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA + PVP + PEG 400</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVP</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullulan + PVA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eudragit RL-100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullulan + Guar gum + Xanthan gum + Carrageenan</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Transparent</td>
<td>Very well</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**OTFs**: Oral thin films, **HPMC**: Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, **PVA**: Polyvinyl alcohol, **PVP**: Polyvinylpyrrolidone, **MCC**: Microcrystalline cellulose
OTFs, various analyses and measurements are carried out. Within the scope of characterization studies of the preparedOTFs, various analyses and measurements are carried out.

Characterization of OTFs

Rolling method

The solvents commonly used in this method are water and/or water/alcohol mixtures. Through the high shear processor, the active compound and other components are solved in a small amount of aqueous solvent. The viscous mixture is transferred onto the carrier roller and rolled. The resulting films are prepared by cutting to the desired sizes and then dried in a controlled manner.9,19

Table 7. Plasticizers used in OTFs and their ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Plasticizers</th>
<th>Concentration (w/w %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HPMC</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>14-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maltodextrin</td>
<td>Propylene glycol</td>
<td>7-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lycoat NG 73, PVA, and HPMC</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol 400</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pullulan</td>
<td>Polyethylene glycol 4000</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTFs: Oral thin films, HPMC: Hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, PVA: Polyvinyl alcohol

Table 8. Superdisintegrants used in OTFs and their concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Superdisintegrants</th>
<th>Commercial name</th>
<th>Concentration (w/w %)</th>
<th>Disintegration mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sodium starch glycollate</td>
<td>Explovak, primogel</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>Fast water absorption and subsequent fast swelling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosspovidone</td>
<td>PolyplasdoneXL10</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Absorption and swelling go together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polacrilin potassium</td>
<td>Amberlite IRP 88, Indion 294</td>
<td>0.5-5</td>
<td>Fast water absorption and subsequent fast swelling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTFs: Oral thin films

Table 9. Flavoring agents used to mask the dominant tastes in OTFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dominant taste</th>
<th>Flavoring agents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salty</td>
<td>Peach, butterscotch, maple, vanilla, and apricot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sour</td>
<td>Citrus, raspberry, and licorice root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet</td>
<td>Fruit or vanilla</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bitter</td>
<td>Chocolate, anise, mint, walnut, and wild cherry</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTFs: Oral thin films

Solid dispersion extrusion

In this method, the solid dispersion is prepared by extruding the formulation components with the drug and then made into a thin film with molds.9,19

Rolling method

The solvents commonly used in this method are water and/or water/alcohol mixtures. Through the high shear processor, the active compound and other components are solved in a small amount of aqueous solvent. The viscous mixture is transferred onto the carrier roller and rolled. The resulting films are prepared by cutting to the desired sizes and then dried in a controlled manner.9,19

Within the scope of characterization studies of the prepared OTFs, various analyses and measurements are carried out. Among these, organoleptic and morphological control, moisture absorption, swelling ability, flexibility (elongation), folding ability, pH determination, weight variability, thickness, flavor, content uniformity, dispersion, dissolution rate, release kinetics, degree of transparency, scanning electron microscope (SEM), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD), fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) analyses and measurements are located.20-22

It is difficult to distinguish in OTFs due to the short periods of disintegration and dissolution processes. The American Association of Pharmaceutical Scientists/International Pharmacy Federation for OTFs has stated that the disintegration test can be utilized in place of the dissolution test utilized for ODTs. If the API is molecularly dissolved in OTF, the rate of API released is dependent only on the film’s disintegration time. At the same time, if the API is dispersed in a particulate form in the film matrix, both the dissolution rate and disintegration time tests are recommended. While the European Pharmacopoeia has given a disintegration time of up to 3 minutes for ODTs, a time of 30 s or less is recommended according to the FDA and USP (American Pharmacopoeia) guidelines. Since the saliva volume in the mouth is less than 2 mL, these tests are generally recommended in a small environment for disintegration testing in 2-7 mL of fluid under similar conditions prevailing in the oral cavity. OTF can be placed on the surface of the liquid in a petri dish, and the disintegration time can be determined utilizing a chronometer. In the meantime, the petri dish can be shaken continuously to mimic the tongue’s mouth movement. This method is simple and offers ease of application. Otherwise, it creates some difficulties, and the process is very difficult to apply to automation.16

Drug release from OTFs is usually carried out in an environment set at 37°C (artificial saliva fluid or a pH 6.8 phosphate buffer) according to the pharmacopoeia requirements for solid oral dosage forms utilizing a pallet or basket apparatus. However, the dissolution apparatus has some disadvantages for OTFs. While using the basket apparatus, it may result in sticking to the edges and clogging of the basket pores, whereas in the use of the pallet apparatus, OTFs are probably stuck to the bottom or remain on the surface of the container in dissolution medium. Platinums and double-sided tapes are used to simulate adhesion in vivo and prevent swimming. Each film is placed on a rectangular glass plate and fixed to the bottom of the dissolution medium. As a consequence of fast disintegration, the drug is released very rapidly, and specimens of the analyzed medium are taken in a short time.16

In evaluating the taste-masking properties of OTFs, it can be determined in vitro using a dissolution test apparatus. In vivo testing with volunteers is the safest; however, it is ethically problematic. Before the experiment, four standard materials are used for volunteers, and sensory sensitivity thresholds are assessed against flavors. These flavors are quinine (bitter), sodium chloride (salty), tartaric acid (sour), and sucrose (sweet). Volunteers start by washing their mouths with distilled water. Then, they place an amount of pure drug and then a film
specimen containing the same amount of drug to their tongue for 30 s. Then, the volunteers spit and rinse their mouths with water. They are then subjected to a rating process numbered 0 to 3 for taste evaluation: 0-tasteless, 1-slightly bitter taste, 2-moderately bitter taste, and 3-very bitter taste.15

There are many studies in the literature of OTFs used as alternatives to conventional drugs available on the market in the treatment of a wide range of diseases. Among the APIs used in these studies are tramadol HCl, chlorpromazine, metoclopramide HCl, lovastatin, diclofenac sodium, palonosetron HCl, zlopide tamtrate, butofenine, etoricoxib, levocetirizine dihydrochloride, leukotriene receptor antagonist, cinatrapide hydrogen tartarate, meloxicam, escitalopram, phenylephrine HCl, ondansetron HCl, fluticasone propionate, ergotamine, and caffeine.4,11-13,23-36

**Evaluation of OTFs**

- **Morphological and organoleptic control:** The color, homogeneity, transparency, smell, and texture of the OTFs are examined visually and sensually.23,32 They should be evaluated especially in terms of taste and flavor characteristics.28,31

- **Moisture absorption capacity:** This test is carried out under high humidity conditions to control the physical stability and integrity of the films. After weighing the samples individually, they are placed in desiccators containing aluminum chloride and exposed to moisture for 3 d. Then, the films are weighed and their % moisture absorption capacities are calculated with the formula below.1

\[
\% \text{ Moisture absorption capacities} = \frac{(\text{Initial Weight} - \text{Final Weight})}{\text{Initial Weight}} \times 100
\]

- **Tensile strength:** Tensile strength is the maximum tensile force applied until the thin-film specimen breaks. It is obtained by dividing the applied force by the cross-sectional area of the film and multiplying by a hundred.2

\[
\% \text{Tensile Strength} = \left(\frac{\text{Load at Failure}}{\text{Film Thickness} \times \text{Film Width}}\right) \times 100
\]

- **Percentage elongation:** When a pulling force is applied, the tensile increases. This tensile continues until the integrity of the film form deteriorates. The percentage of elongation can be determined by measuring the final size of the film before its integrity deteriorates. This rate increases as the amount of plasticizer is enhanced. Elongation percentages of OTF formulations are calculated by the formula below:12

\[
\% \text{Tensile Strength} = \left(\frac{\text{Load at Failure}}{\text{Film Thickness} \times \text{Film Width}}\right) \times 100
\]

- **Weight variability:** 1x1-cm² films are cut from each formulation, and weight variability is calculated by weighing them individually on a sensitive scale.31

- **Thickness:** The thickness measurement is required as it is directly related to the quantity of drug in the OTF. At the same time, a suitable thickness is necessary for the comfortable application of the films. For example, the ideal thickness of buccal thin films should be between 50 and 1000 μm.19 For this purpose, at least five films from each formulation are measured from five different points, and the results are given as mean and standard deviation (x̄ and SS).7

- **Flexibility (folding endurance):** The flexibility of thin films is determined by folding a film repeatedly at the same place at an angle of 180° until it breaks. The number of folds made before breaking is noted. The film that exhibits 300 times or more folding endurance is considered to have excellent flexibility.22,24

- **Determination of pH value:** Determining the pH of OTFs is important in terms of their solubility/dispersion in the oral cavity, taste properties, and rapid release of the drugs. For this purpose, 1.5%-2% (w/v) agar is added to the isotonic solution and dissolved. Then this solution is poured into a petri dish and incubated until it forms a gel at room temperature. Thin-film samples are placed on it. Subsequently, pH papers of a pH range of 1-11 are touched to OTFs, and their pH is determined according to the change in the color of the paper.31

- **Determination of swelling degrees:** The swelling of the polymeric film is important in terms of measuring the water absorption capacities of OTFs and obtaining information about their resistance to water. Randomly selected OTFs are weighed individually and kept in simulated physiological fluid in a petri dish within the specified period. Then, each film is weighed and measured at different time intervals until the increase in weight reaches a constant level. The degree of swelling is calculated using the equation below:10

\[
\% \text{Swelling Degree} = \frac{(\text{Final Weight} - \text{Initial Weight})}{\text{Initial Weight}} \times 100
\]

- **Content uniformity:** For content uniformity, each film is filtered after being dissolved in a suitable solvent, and the drug content in each film is measured by the appropriate quantification method. It is expected that the relative standard deviation % is not more than 6%.7,12

- **Disintegration test:** The disintegration time is described as the time (seconds) that a film disperses when it comes into contact with saliva or water. Disintegration time is when the thin film begins to disintegrate or disperse. The weight and thickness of the film play a significant role in determining the physical properties of water-soluble films.5

- The disintegration test apparatus specified in pharmacopoeias can also be used to determine the disintegration times of OTFs. Normally, the disintegration time of the film composition is usually 5-30 s, and this is a phenomenon that varies according to the formulation content. There is no official guide to detecting the disintegration times of films that break down fast.22

- **Dissolution rate test:** In the literature, many studies used Franz diffusion cells to test drug release from polymeric films while some improvisations were made on the apparatus to be used for dissolution rate testing.27 The greatest obstacle in the dissolution rate assay is the placement of film specimens. In addition, various methods have been applied in the literature in which the dissolution rate of the film is adhered to the bottom of the glass container or the mixing apparatus using a double-sided adhesive band (Figure 3).7
its polymorphs, if any exist.\textsuperscript{7,28} Conformational sequences and whether they have turned into a crystal or amorphous nature of the drugs included in the films.

In this way, it can be checked whether the drugs in the OTFs and the interactions in the sample are examined depending on the compatibility of the drug with other auxiliary substances. The formulation components and the pure API.\textsuperscript{32}

- **Determination of release kinetics**: The dissolution results of all film formulations containing API in the pH 6.8 artificial saliva or pure water are applied to the computer program in order to determine the appropriate kinetic model. It is determined by mathematical programs and formulas that the formulations are compatible with 0. degree, 1. degree, Korsmeyer-Peppas or Higuchi models or not.\textsuperscript{26,38}

- **Transparency**: The transparency of OTFs could be measured utilizing a ultraviolet (UV) spectrophotometer. OTF formulation specimens are cut rectangularly and placed inside the UV spectrophotometer cuvette. The permeability of the film is made at a wavelength of 600 nm. The following equation is used for the results obtained:\textsuperscript{22}

\[
\text{Transparency}=\log_{10}\frac{T_{600}}{b}
\]

\(T_{600}=\) Transmittance at 600 nm, \(b=\) film thickness (mm)

- **Packaging**: Fast-dissolving film systems can be packaged in single packages, multiple blister packages and using a variety of options such as multi-unit rolls. There are some patented packaging systems for OTFs in the pharmaceutical market at present.\textsuperscript{2}

- **FT-IR**: Using FT-IR (ATR) spectrophotometer is measured and examined infrared spectra that all components entering formulation components and the pure API.\textsuperscript{32}

- **Surface and structural morphology**: Surface and structural morphology are examined using a SEM. In this way, the presence of smoothness, surface roughness or pores and particle distribution can be determined.\textsuperscript{7,28}

- **XRD**: The X-ray diffraction analysis helps to determine the crystal or amorphous nature of the drugs included in the films. In this way, it can be checked whether the drugs in the OTFs have undergone any changes in the preparation process of their conformational sequences and whether they have turned into its polymorphs, if any exist.\textsuperscript{7,28}

- **DSC**: DSC analysis is performed to demonstrate the compatibility of the drug with other auxiliary substances. The reference and sample are brought to the same temperature, and the interactions in the sample are examined depending on the heat exchange.\textsuperscript{7} For this purpose, a certain amount of OTF sample is cut, placed in the alumina pan, and analyzed under a certain flow of atmospheric nitrogen (mL/min).\textsuperscript{28,34}

**OTF stability**

According to the International Council on Harmonisation guidelines, the stability of OTFs is maintained under controlled conditions (25°C temperature/60% relative humidity and 40°C temperature/75% relative humidity) for 12 months. During storage, OTFs must be controlled for weight uniformity, morphological properties, film thickness, tensile properties, water content, and dissolution tests at certain time intervals.\textsuperscript{9,23,27}

**CONCLUSION**

OTFs have emerged as a revolutionary trend, and most pharmaceutical companies in this field continue their research and development activities to adapt their drugs in various categories to this technology. This technology is an innovative drug delivery system for all patient groups who have swallowing problems, especially pediatric and geriatric patients. It also offers many advantages over the other dosage forms, such as improved bioavailability and faster effects. It is one of the most important dosage forms that can be used orally in cases of emergency and when an immediate-onset effect is desired. Therefore, it can be concluded that OTFs with excellent patient compliance and many advantages have innovative futuristic opportunities.
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