

Phenol Administration in Adolescents with Pilonidal Sinus is Effective and Related to High Patient Satisfaction Rates

Cem Karaali¹, Ali Sayan², Mustafa Emiroğlu¹, Mehmet Mert², Halit Batuhan Demir¹, İsmail Sert¹, Gökhan Köylüoğlu³, Cengiz Aydın¹

¹University of Medical Sciences, Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of General Surgery, Izmir, Turkey

²University of Medical Sciences, Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Pediatric Surgery, Izmir, Turkey

³Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Department of Pediatric Surgery, Izmir, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Aim: Surgical methods are the most commonly used methods in the treatment of pilonidal sinus (PS) in the world but their recovery durations and return to work/school periods are long and the complication rates are high. Among minimally invasive methods, phenol therapy is frequently used in adults and successful results are reported. However, studies on phenol treatment in adolescents with PS are limited and the number of patients is small. Therefore, our study aims to determine whether 80% liquid phenol treatment can be used as first-line therapy in this age group.

Materials and Methods: Primary and recurrent SPS patients aged between 14 and 19 years who were treated with 80% liquid phenol were included in this study. Patient data were obtained from their files retrospectively.

Results: Of the patients, 69 (69.7%) were male and 30 (30.3%) were female. The mean age of the patients was 17.4±1.3 (min-max 14-19). The total recurrence and complication rates after phenol administration were 10.7% and 16.1%, respectively. According to the Likert-type questionnaire, the mean satisfaction rate was 8.7±1.7 (min-max 5-10).

Conclusion: In our study, it has been shown that 80% liquid phenol treatment can be successfully applied in adolescents with PS with low recurrence and low major complication rates. In addition, we think that 80% liquid phenol treatment should be recommended as the first-line treatment option for both primary and postoperative recurrent adolescent patients with PS, as it ensures a quicker return to work /school and shows high satisfaction rates.

Keywords: Adolescence, phenol, pilonidal sinus

Introduction

Pilonidal sinus (PS) disease is a chronic inflammatory disease that usually affects young men in their twenties. The disease usually manifests itself with chronic inflammatory discharges of the sinuses or acute developing abscesses and can be asymptomatic as well. Although there is no advantage in treating asymptomatic disease, patients with symptomatic disease should be treated (1). In spite of the fact that many methods have been described for the treatment of PS, optimal treatment is still controversial. The ideal PS treatment

should be a method that can be treated with minimal tissue excision, offers good cosmetic results, does not require hospitalization, have a low-cost, is easy to use, allows rapid return to work/school, has a low complication and recurrence rate (2,3).

The main goal of surgical treatment methods is to excise PS and then leaving this area to secondary healing or to close this area with flap or flapless methods (1,4-6). However, none of these surgical methods provide 100% improvement, so to perform the operation hospitalization is required, patients can not return to work for a long time and recovery periods are defined by weeks (1,4-7). In addition, postoperative

Address for Correspondence

Mehmet Mert MD, University of Medical Sciences, Tepecik Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Pediatric Surgery, Izmir, Turkey
Phone: +90 536 241 83 80 E-mail: dr.mehmet.mert@outlook.com

Received: 09.06.2020 Accepted: 20.09.2020

©Copyright 2020 by Ege University and Ege Children's Foundation
The Journal of Pediatric Research, published by Galenos Yayınevi.

complication rates are found to be 8.9-49% (4,5). In spite of this, surgery is still the most common treatment in PS (1).

Besides surgical treatments phenol application has been used for the treatment of adult PS patients for a long time as a simple and easy to use method with high success rates (3,8,9). In the literature, the number of studies evaluating the adolescent patient group with phenol was limited and the published works focus on the use of phenol in a crystallized state (10-12). As far as we can see, there are no papers with liquid phenol in adolescent PS literature. Our study aims to discuss the efficacy and satisfaction rate of 80% liquid phenol application in patients with adolescent PS in the light of the literature and to determine whether liquid phenol treatment is a usable method in this age group.

Materials and Methods

Local ethics committee approval (26.09.2018-252) was obtained for this study. Between January 2013 and January 2018, adolescent patients aged between 14 and 19 years who applied to the 3rd step reference hospital for primary and recurrent PS and accepted to be treated by phenol method were included. Patients data were collected by one physician's personal log and data analyzed retrospectively. Demographic data, comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), complications and PS recurrences were evaluated.

None of the patients underwent preoperative prophylactic antibiotic and laboratory tests. The differential diagnosis of anal fistula in patients with sinus located near the anal canal was ruled out by Magnetic Resonance (MR) imaging. 80% liquid phenol was applied by the same physician to all patients. After phenol administration, patients were called for twice in the first week, then weekly until the wound was closed, and at 2nd, 6th and 12th months after the wound was closed. After that, patients were called for control only once a year. The closure of the wound within 2 months or failure to reopen within this period was defined as recovery. Patients with recurrent or non-healing wounds were treated with phenol for the second and/or third time. By applying Likert-type satisfaction questionnaire to patients who came to the control postoperatively, the results were asked to be evaluated in terms of procedure tolerance, postoperative pain, complication, return to daily work and cosmetic (0-2; poor, 3-4; fair, 5-6; average, 7-8; good; 9-10 excellent). Finally, patients were invited to the outpatient clinic with the purpose of evaluating their recurrences and complaints. The situation of those who could not come to the polyclinic were asked by telephone.

Patients who had acute inflammation or abscess at the beginning of the treatment, who did not come to the follow-up controls, who had no contact information or who could not be reached were not included in the study. In addition, patients who had developed recurrence after phenol treatment had been excluded from the study who preferred another treatment instead of phenol for the 2nd and/or 3rd time.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyzes were performed using SPSS version 17.0 software. The conformity of the variables to normal distribution was examined using analytical methods (Kolmogorov-Smirnov/Shapiro-Wilk tests). Descriptive analyzes were given as mean \pm std deviation for variables that were not normally distributed. Descriptive statistics were made by giving demographic characteristics, frequency and percentage values. In continuous data, independent groups t-test was used to compare binary groups such as yes or no. Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's Exact chi-square test was used in the analysis of categorical data. The cases where the p-value was below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Pilonidal Sinus Treatment Technique with Phenol

All patients were treated in a day surgery unit. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the procedure. After the Jackknife position, the hair was removed from the presacral area and local anesthesia was applied. During the phenol application, petroleum jelly (Vaseline; Unilever, London, UK) and dry dressing were used to protect the circumjacent healthy tissue and anal region. Those with narrow sinus mouths were either dilated with a small incision (0.5-1 cm) to remove hair from these openings, and then the cavity was debrided. Bleeding from these procedures was stopped by electrocautery or pressure. After 80% liquid phenol was impregnated into the swab cotton, they were gently pressed onto the cavity and held for approximately 2 minutes. This procedure was repeated 3 or 4 times. After the procedure was completed, by gently pressing on top of the cavities, the remaining phenol and debris were removed. This area was covered with dry dressing. Patients were given painkillers to use when necessary. None of the patients were prescribed oral antibiotics or pomade with local antibiotics. During the first follow-up of the postoperative day 3, a gentle debridement was performed and the debris remained inside was removed by entering from the sinus using clamps without any local anesthesia. No physical restraint was recommended to the patients in the postoperative period, and they could return to their work/school and daily activities immediately or when they feel ready.

Results

Of the patients, 69 (69.7%) were male and 30 (30.3%) were female. The mean age of the patients was 17.4 ± 1.3 years (min-max 14-19). While 77 patients (77.8%) had primary PS, 22 (22.2%) patients had recurrent PS disease after surgical intervention. Co-morbidities were found in 11 patients (coeliac disease, leukemia, myasthenia gravis, insulin resistance, familial mediterranean fever and asthma). The mean BMI was found to be 25 ± 4.1 (16-37) (Table I).

The rate of recurrence after the first phenol administration was 26.2% (99/26). Four of the patients who incurred recurrence after the first application of phenol were discharged from the study because they wanted surgery and two patients were excluded because they did not come to follow up. Among the remaining 93 patients, recurrence rates were found to be 14% (93:13) and 10.7% (93:10), respectively, when second and third phenol were administered to patients with recurrence. A total of 15 (16.1%) patients developed complications following the procedure. Eleven (11.8%) patients with minor complications who developed maceration and superficial burns were treated with routine dressings. Oral antibiotics were given to 2 (2.1%) patients who developed cellulitis. Two (2.1%) patients developed abscesses, which were drained and used oral antibiotic. According to the Likert-type questionnaire, the mean satisfaction rate was 8.7 ± 1.7 (min-max 5-10) (Table II).

Recurrence and complications were compared in terms of age, gender, body mass index (BMI), time from the onset of complaints to admission to the hospital, natal cleft depth, sinus number and location, smoking, abscess drainage before phenol administration, how many baths the patient takes a week, surgery before phenol administration are treated as well and whether relatives have PS (Table III). There was

only a significant relationship between smoking and total complication rates ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Our study emphasizes that phenol therapy can be used successfully in adolescent patients with PS and highlights that it may be an alternative to surgical treatment. Although there are many factors that determine the success of PS therapy, the superiority of treatment modalities in the PS literature is usually done by measuring the patient satisfaction, recurrence and complication rates. In the current study, recurrence and complication rates were found to be similar with surgical treatments and adult phenol applications. This finding makes the current study worthwhile because one of the most important factors in determining the methods to be used in the treatment of PS is recurrence and complication rates. In the current study, the recurrence rate was 10.7%. Our complication rate is 16.1% in total and the major complication rate is 4.3%. In a study examining patients in the adolescent age group who were treated with crystallized phenol, both recurrence and complication rates were reported as 2.5% with a single application (10). However, recurrences after phenol administration are usually seen after the first year, and the recurrence rates may be low, since the mean follow-up period is only 8.1 months in the study mentioned (8,13). In addition, it is stated in the mentioned study that a good hair cleaning by opening the sinus mouths sufficiently provides low recurrence rates. Although we applied a similar technique and applied more than one phenol, we could not achieve similar recurrence rates. It is striking that there is only a difference in the form of phenol between the mentioned study and the technique applied in our study. For this reason, we wanted to compare our data with a group of similar age group treated with liquid phenol. However, we could not find a study in the literature where liquid phenol was applied to adolescent PS patients. However, we think that the form of phenol used in the treatment (crystallized or liquid) cannot explain this important difference in recurrence rate. Because crystallized phenol melts rapidly at body temperature and turns into liquid phenol form.

In the adult literature, the recurrence rates of phenol and the complication rates are reported as 0-18.6% (1,3,14) and 0-15.2% (3,12,14), respectively. As can be seen, the rates of recurrence and complication due to phenol application can be quite different in the literature. It is known that this difference in recurrences varies inversely with the number of phenol applications (3) and in direct proportion with the follow-up period (13). Considering the median follow-up period of 31.0 (8-68) months in the current study, our recurrence rate is consistent with adult phenol literature. Our complication rate was higher than adult literature. It is noteworthy that our study showed higher complication rates compared to both adolescent and adult age groups. We have continued our experience in adolescents as we use liquid phenol in adult

Average age, n (%)	min-max 14-19 mean 17.48±1.3
Male/female ratio %	69/30
BMI, n (median)	min-max 16-37 mean 25±4.1
Additional morbidity, n (%)	11 (11.1%)
Primer PS, n (%)	77 (77.8%)
Recurren PS, n (%) (before phenol application, all surgical operations)	22 (22.2%)
PS: Pilonidal sinus	

Observation, mo (median)	31.0 (8-68)
Need for analgesics, d (median)	2.2±2.3 (0-10)
Return to work/school time, d (median)	0-7 3.9±2.2
Recovery time, d (median)	14-53 24.4±6.7
Recurrence rate after one phenol application, n (%)	99/26 (26.2%)
Recurrence rate after two phenol application n (%)	93/13 (14.0%)
Recurrence rate after three phenol application n (%)	93/10 (10.7%)
Total complications, n(%)	15 (16.1%)
Minor complications, n (%) (maceration, superficial burn on the skin)	11 (11.81%)
Major complications (puy and cellulitis), n (%)	4 (4.3%)
Follow-up periods m	32.2±24 (8-62 month)
Total satisfaction points (average)	8.7±1.7 (3-10)

Table III. Factors affecting first recurrence and complications after phenol administration						
Independent t-test and *Pearson's or Fisher's Exact chi-square test were used						
Variables	Initial recurrence after phenol administration		p-value	Complication after phenol administration		p-value
	No	Yes		No	Yes	
	n=73 (mean ± SD)	n=26 (mean ± SD)		n=83 (mean ± SD)	n=16 (mean ± SD)	
Age	17.6±1.3	17.0±1.19	0,066	17.4±1.3	17.2±1.5	0.328
BMI	25.0±4.3	25.0±3.5	0,987	25.0±4.1	25.2±4.3	0.844
Duration of complaints (months)	8.5±9.4	6.5±4.8	0,166	8.1±8.2	7.5±10.3	0.788
Natal cleft depth (mm)	29.9±11.4	30.7±11.2	0,756	30.7±11.0	27.3±13.3	0.271
Number of sinuses	2.2±1.2	2.5±1.3	0,280	2.3±1.3	2.5±1.4	0.598
Smoking per day	3.8±7.3	1.4±4.4	0,054	3.7±7.2	0.6±2.5	0.003
Number of pre-treatment abscess drainage	0.1±0.3	0.3±0.6	0,127	0.2±0.5	0.2±0.4	0.959
Number of baths per week	3.5±1.7	2.8±1.0	0,114	3.4±1.7	3.3±1.5	0.870
Categorical variables	n (%)	n (%)	*p-value	n (%)	n (%)	*p-value
Gender						
Male	52 (71.2)	17 (65.4)	0.577	59 (71.1)	10 (62.5)	0.556
Female	21 (28.8)	9 (34.6)		24 (28.9)	6 (37.5)	
Location of sinus						
Midline	54 (74.0)	20 (76.9)	0.766	63 (75.9)	11 (68.8)	0.542
Midline and lateral	19 (26.0)	6 (23.1)		20 (24.1)	5 (31.3)	
Previous operation before phenol administration						
No	58 (79.5)	19 (73.1)	0.502	65 (78.3)	12 (75.0)	0.750
Yes	15 (20.5)	7 (26.9)		18 (21.7)	4 (25.0)	
Relative has PS						
No	53 (72.6)	19 (73.1)	0.963	63 (75.9)	9 (56.3)	0.129
Yes	20 (27.4)	7 (26.9)		20 (24.1)	7 (43.8)	
p<0.05 was considered significant. BMI: Body mass index						

PS patients and because liquid phenol can be easily directed to the desired area by absorbing it in swab cotton. However, since we make multiple applications in the same session with phenol-impregnated swab wipes, the substances protecting the skin can be removed during repetitive procedures. These findings indicate that more attention should be paid to the protection of surrounding tissues, especially during multiple liquid phenol application.

In order to compare the recurrence and complication rates in the current study with surgical methods in the literature, we preferred the surgical methods that are frequently used in the treatment of PS in the world. While the most common use are excision and open wound healing or primary closure in the midline, the karydakias flap and limberg flap are commonly used off-midline procedures (1). The recurrence and complication rates of the above mentioned surgical treatments vary between 1.4-45% and 8.9-49% in the literature (5,9,10,15-19). We think that recurrence

rates in our study provide acceptable rates when compared with the surgery groups used in the treatment of PS in the literature. In addition, because the anatomy is not impaired after the application of phenol, the application can be easily repeated at the daily hospital. However, in order to achieve high success rate as a disadvantage of phenol application, it can be said that it usually requires multiple applications as in our study (3). Although the complication rates in our study are not lower than the surgical treatments in the literature, our major complications are usually easily treated complications such as abscess and cellulitis. After surgical treatment, complete wound separation due to abscess, seroma and hematoma may be seen (20) and sometimes complications resulting from surgery result in more morbidity than the disease itself (21). In addition, the disadvantages of the aforementioned surgical treatments include the need for patients to be admitted to hospital (5,7,21,22) and the difficulty of completing the learning curve of flap methods

(1). The phenol method is a technique that is easy to apply, does not require hospitalization and whose learning curve is shorter.

When we evaluated the patients in our study in terms of recurrence and complications, a correlation was found only between smoking and the development of complications. However, in studies evaluating recurrence and complications after PS surgery in the literature, the onset of symptoms, sinus number, and BMI were found to be risk factors (23,24). Recurrence and complications were not affected by the characteristics of the patient in general, probably because the anatomy did not change in our treatment with phenol and only the diseased area was intervened.

The second important issue we investigated in our study was the satisfaction rates of the patients. Total satisfaction rates are determined by patient tolerability, complication rate, postoperative pain, quick return to daily work/school and cosmetic results. The satisfaction rates of the adolescents in our study were found to show some differences in terms of patient satisfaction when compared with the adult phenol applications in literature and the frequently used surgical applications in the treatment of PS. In a study comparing the 40-80% liquid phenol administration in adult PS patients (25), the recovery times were similar to our study, while return to work/school and use of painkillers lasted shorter than our patients. These differences may be explained by the use of prolonged pain killer because of the increased pain due to the more active life of the adolescent age group. In addition, adolescents who are already in school life may not feel the necessity to start school, while adults who have a business life are obliged to start working as soon as possible. This may explain why adolescents with similar wound healing times return to work/school for longer periods of time. Compared with the surgical techniques commonly used in the treatment of PS in the literature, patient satisfaction rates (5,26,27) are comparable with wound healing times (6,28,29), however, it is seen that the current study is more advantageous than the surgical treatments in terms of return to work (6,7,30-33) and use of painkiller (7,21).

Considering the advantages of phenol therapy, the satisfaction rates in the current study were found to be similar to the satisfaction rates of the surgical groups. However, this finding is consistent with the study conducted by Doll et al. (26). Doll et al. stated that patients did not care about long hospital stay and long wound healing period if there was no recurrence and they did not find a relationship between different surgical PS treatment methods and satisfaction. In addition, they reported that the age of the patient at the time of surgery did not affect patient satisfaction, but the average satisfaction rate of patients under 20 years of age was lower than the total average, in addition, patients without recurrence had higher satisfaction rates than those with recurrence. Since the patients in our study were in the adolescent age group and the rates of recurrence were found to be comparable with the surgery groups, we thought that we obtained similar satisfaction rates with the surgical groups.

Finally, another point worth mentioning is the use of surgical prophylactic antibiotics (SP) to which though we have not paid due attention while comparing phenol treatment with surgical methods. While SP is usually applied to surgical methods (1,21,34), during the administration of phenol, there is no administration of SP if the patient does not have diabetes mellitus or immunosuppression (3,25,35). This situation is important in terms of antibiotic resistance that threatens the world and also provides an advantage in terms of patient cost.

Study Limitations

The study was retrospective. In addition, the fact that a small number of patients did not accept invitation to the polyclinic, the case if there were recurrence or not were defined according to their own declaration. This may have led to the omission of asymptomatic recurrences.

Conclusion

This study is important in terms of showing that 80% liquid phenol application is a method that can be used in the treatment of adolescent PS in comparison with the commonly used surgical methods and phenol applications in adults in the literature. Although 22.2% of the patients in our study were previously surgically treated recurrent patients, they provided similar recurrence rates to surgical treatments and adult phenol applications in the literature. In addition, low rates of major complications, less anatomical changes compared to surgical methods, and rapid return to work/school are among the important advantages of the method. For all these reasons, we think that phenol application should be recommended as the first treatment method in patients with primary and postoperative recurrent PS. For stronger evidence, prospective randomized reports with high volume and long-term follow-up are also expected to compare surgical methods in this age group.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: Local ethics committee approval (26.09.2018-252) was obtained for this study.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before the procedure.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Authorship Contributions

Concept: C.K., Design: C.K., Data Collection or Processing: A.S., Analysis or Interpretation: İ.S., M.E., Literature Search: C.K., A.S., M.E., M.M., H.B.D., İ.S., G.K., C.A., Writing: C.K., M.M.

Conflict of Interest: None of the authors had conflict of interest.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Iesalnieks I, Ommer A, Petersen S, Doll D, Herold A. German national guideline on the management of pilonidal disease. *Langenbeck's archives of surgery*. 2016;401(5):599-609.
2. Akinci OF, Coskun A, Uzunköy A. Simple and effective surgical treatment of pilonidal sinus. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2000;43(5):701-6.
3. Emiroğlu M, Karaali C, Esin H, Akpınar G, Aydın C. Treatment of pilonidal disease by phenol application. *Turkish journal of surgery*. 2017;33(1):5.
4. Can MF, Sevinc MM, Yilmaz M. Comparison of Karydakias flap reconstruction versus primary midline closure in sacrococcygeal pilonidal disease: results of 200 military service members. *Surgery today*. 2009;39(7):580-6.
5. Käser S, Zengaffinen R, Uhlmann M, Glaser C, Maurer C. Primary wound closure with a Limberg flap vs. secondary wound healing after excision of a pilonidal sinus: a multicentre randomised controlled study. *International journal of colorectal disease*. 2015;30(1):97-103.
6. Keshvari A, Keramati MR, Fazeli MS, Kazemeini A, Meysamie A, Nouritaromlou MK. Karydakias flap versus excision-only technique in pilonidal disease. *Journal of surgical research*. 2015;198(1):260-6.
7. Ozcan R, Hüseyinov M, Bakır AC, Emre S, Tütüncü C, Celayir S, et al. Which treatment modality for pediatric pilonidal sinus: Primary repair or secondary healing? *Asian journal of surgery*. 2018;41(5):506-10.
8. Calikoglu I, Gulpinar K, Oztuna D, Elhan AH, Dogru O, Akyol C, et al. Phenol Injection Versus Excision With Open Healing in Pilonidal Disease: A Prospective Randomized Trial. *Diseases of the colon and rectum*. 2017;60(2):161-9.
9. Iesalnieks I, Ommer A, Petersen S, Doll D, Herold A. German national guideline on the management of pilonidal disease. *Langenbeck's archives of surgery*. 2016;401(5):599-609.
10. Ates U, Ergun E, Gollu G, Sozduyar S, Kologlu M, Cakmak M, et al. Pilonidal sinus disease surgery in children: the first study to compare crystallized phenol application to primary excision and closure. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2018;53(3):452-5.
11. Girgin M, Kanat BH, Ayten R, Cetinkaya Z, Kanat Z, Bozdağ A, et al. Minimally invasive treatment of pilonidal disease: crystallized phenol and laser depilation. *International surgery*. 2013;97(4):288-92.
12. Gulpinar K, Pampal A, Ozis SE, Kuzu MA. Non-operative therapy for pilonidal sinus in adolescence: crystallised phenol application, 'report of a case'. *Case Reports*. 2013;2013:bcr2012008382.
13. Doll D. 5-and 10-year recurrence rate is the new gold standard in pilonidal sinus surgery benchmarking. *Medical Principles and Practice*. 2010;19(3):216-7.
14. Calikoglu I, Gulpinar K, Oztuna D, Elhan AH, Dogru O, Akyol C, et al. Phenol injection versus excision with open healing in pilonidal disease: a prospective randomized trial. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2017;60(2):161-9.
15. Can MF, Sevinc MM, Yilmaz M. Comparison of Karydakias flap reconstruction versus primary midline closure in sacrococcygeal pilonidal disease: results of 200 military service members. *Surgery today*. 2009;39(7):580-6.
16. Erkent M, Şahiner İT, Bala M, Kendirci M, Yıldırım MB, Topçu R, et al. Comparison of primary midline closure, limberg flap, and karydakias flap techniques in pilonidal sinus surgery. *Medical science monitor: international medical journal of experimental and clinical research*. 2018;24:8959.
17. Hardy EJO, Herrod PJ, Doleman B, Phillips HG, Ranat R, Lund JN. Surgical interventions for the treatment of sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus disease in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of pediatric surgery*. 2019.
18. Okuş A, Sevinç B, Karahan Ö, Eryılmaz MA. Comparison of Limberg flap and tension-free primary closure during pilonidal sinus surgery. *World journal of surgery*. 2012;36(2):431-5.
19. Tokac M, Dumlu EG, Aydın MS, Yalcin A, Kilic M. Comparison of modified Limberg flap and Karydakias flap operations in pilonidal sinus surgery: prospective randomized study. *International surgery*. 2015;100(5):870-7.
20. Keshava A, Young CJ, Rickard MJX, Sinclair G. Karydakias flap repair for sacrococcygeal pilonidal sinus disease: how important is technique? *ANZ journal of surgery*. 2007;77(3):181-3.
21. Tokac M, Dumlu EG, Aydın MS, Yalcin A, Kilic M. Comparison of modified Limberg flap and Karydakias flap operations in pilonidal sinus surgery: prospective randomized study. *International surgery*. 2015;100(5):870-7.
22. Sevinç B, Karahan Ö, Okuş A, Ay S, Aksoy N, Şimşek G. Randomized prospective comparison of midline and off-midline closure techniques in pilonidal sinus surgery. *Surgery*. 2016;159(3):749-54.
23. Onder A, Girgin S, Kapan M, Toker M, Arikanoglu Z, Palanci Y, et al. Pilonidal sinus disease: risk factors for postoperative complications and recurrence. *International surgery*. 2012;97(3):224-9.
24. Arda İS, Güney LH, Sevmiş Ş, Hiçsönmez A. High body mass index as a possible risk factor for pilonidal sinus disease in adolescents. *World journal of surgery*. 2005;29(4):469-71.
25. Emiroglu M, Karaali C, Salimoğlu S, Sert I, Ugurlu L, Aydın C. The effect of phenol concentration on the treatment of pilonidal sinus disease: early results of a prospective randomized study. *International surgery*. 2016;101(3):127-32.
26. Doll D, Luedi MM, Evers T, Kauf P, Matevossian E. Recurrence-free survival, but not surgical therapy per se, determines 583 patients' long-term satisfaction following primary pilonidal sinus surgery. *International journal of colorectal disease*. 2015;30(5):605-11.
27. Karakayali F, Karagulle E, Karabulut Z, Oksuz E, Moray G, Haberal M. Unroofing and marsupialization vs. rhomboid excision and Limberg flap in pilonidal disease: a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2009;52(3):496-502.
28. Kaplan M, Ozcan O, Bilgic E, Kaplan ET, Kaplan T, Kaplan FC. Distal scar-to-midline distance in pilonidal Limberg flap surgery is a recurrence-promoting factor: A multicenter, case-control study. *The American Journal of Surgery*. 2017;214(5):811-9.
29. Varnalidis I, Ioannidis O, Paraskevas G, Papapostolou D, Malakozis S, Gatzos S, et al. Pilonidal sinus: a comparative study of treatment methods. *Journal of medicine and life*. 2014;7(1):27.
30. Ahmed AK, McCallum I, King PM, Bruce J. Healing by primary versus secondary intention after surgical treatment for pilonidal sinus. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*. 2010(1).

-
31. McCallum I, King PM, Bruce J, Ahmed AK. Healing by primary versus secondary intention after surgical treatment for pilonidal sinus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*. 2007(4).
 32. McCallum IJ, King PM, Bruce J. Healing by primary closure versus open healing after surgery for pilonidal sinus: systematic review and meta-analysis. *bmj*. 2008;336(7649):868-71.
 33. Unalp HR, Derici H, Kamer E, Nazli O, Onal MA. Lower recurrence rate for Limberg vs. VY flap for pilonidal sinus. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2007;50(9):1436-44.
 34. Karakaş B, Aslaner A, Gündüz U, Çalış H, Öngen A, Öner O, et al. Is the lateralization distance important in terms in patients undergoing the modified Limberg flap procedure for treatment of pilonidal sinus? *Techniques in coloproctology*. 2015;19(5):309-16.
 35. Dogru O, Camci C, Aygen E, Girgin M, Topuz Ö. Pilonidal Sinus Treated With Crystallized Phenol. *Diseases of the Colon & Rectum*. 2004;47(11):1934-8.