

© Gökhan Kılınç  
© Simay Serin  
© Hülya Sungurtekin

## Evaluation of the Prognosis of Cancer Patients Treated in Intensive Care Units

### Yoğunbakımda Tedavi Edilen Kanser Hastalarının Prognozunun Değerlendirilmesi

Received/Geliş Tarihi : 16.04.2020  
Accepted/Kabul Tarihi : 21.09.2020

Gökhan Kılınç, Simay Serin, Hülya Sungurtekin  
Pamukkale University Faculty of Medicine,  
Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation,  
Denizli, Turkey

Hülya Sungurtekin MD, (✉),  
Pamukkale Üniversitesi, Anesteziyoloji ve  
Reanimasyon Anabilim Dalı, Denizli, Türkiye

E-mail : hsungurtekin@yahoo.com

Phone : +90 532 789 43 30

ORCID ID : orcid.org/0000-0002-9453-5625

**ABSTRACT Objective:** The number of cancer cases and mean life expectancy are increasing with improvements of diagnosis and treatment options. This increase brings the need for an intensive care unit (ICU) for cancer patients. In this study, it was aimed to study the risk factors for admission to ICU of cancer patients, intensive care prognosis, mortality rates, risk factors that affecting mortality and cost of per patient.

**Methods:** In this study, we analyzed variables about cancer patients followed in xxxx University Faculty of Medicine Anesthesiology Intensive Care Unit. The variables was analyzed such as intensive care prognosis, mortality rates, risk factors affecting mortality and cost per patient. ICU patient's folders, epicrisis reports and follow-up documents of 251 patients with solid or systemic malignancies who were admitted to ICU of XXX University Faculty of Medicine, Anesthesiology Department for two year period were analyzed.

**Results:** The most common reason for admission was respiratory failure 63,34% (n=159), followed by sepsis 16,33% (n=41) and cardiac arrest 5.17% (n=13). According to the cancer staging of the patients, 58.5% (n = 147) of the patients were classified as stage 4 and 16.3% (n = 41) as stage 3. Cost of per patient per day in ICU was between 186,86 TL-4407,39 TL and mean cost was 1628,49±524,12 TL. Mortality rate of these cancer patients was 89.2%.

**Conclusion:** Most of the cancer patient died in the ICU with high medical expenses. When patients are evaluated for admission to ICU, the patient's primary physician and the ICU physician should reach consensus about whether they are terminal or not, and whether palliative care should be given to them.

**ÖZ Amaç:** Tanı ve tedavi seçeneklerinin artmasıyla kanser vakalarının sayısı ve ortalama yaşam beklentisi artmaktadır. Bu artış kanser hastaları için yoğun bakım ünitesine (YBÜ) duyulan ihtiyacı beraberinde getirmektedir. Bu çalışmada kanser hastalarının YBÜ'ne yatış risk faktörleri, yoğun bakım prognozu, ölüm oranları, mortaliteyi etkileyen risk faktörleri ve hasta başına maliyeti araştırmak amaçlanmıştır.

**Gereç ve Yöntem:** Bu çalışmada XXXX Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Anesteziyoloji Yoğun Bakım Ünitesinde izlenen kanser hastaları ile ilgili değişkenleri analiz ettik. Yoğun bakım prognozu, mortalite oranları, mortaliteyi etkileyen risk faktörleri ve hasta başına maliyet gibi değişkenler analiz edildi. XXX Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Anestezi ve Reanimasyon Anabilim Dalı'na iki yıl süre ile başvuran katı veya sistemik malignitesi olan 251 hastanın yoğun bakım hasta dosyaları, epikriz raporları ve takip belgeleri incelendi.

**Bulgular:** En sık başvuru nedeni solunum yetersizliği % 63,34 (n = 159), bunu sepsis % 16,33 (n = 41) ve kalp durması % 5,17 (n = 13) idi. Hastaların kanser evrelemesine göre % 58,5'i (n = 147) Evre 4, % 16,3'ü (n = 41) Evre 3 olarak sınıflandırıldı. Yoğun bakımda hasta başına günlük maliyet 186,86 TL-4407,39 TL ve ortalama maliyet 1628,49 ± 524,12 TL'dir. Bu kanser hastalarının mortalite oranı % 89.2 idi.

**Sonuç:** Kanser hastasının çoğu yüksek yoğun bakım giderleri ile yoğun bakımda ex oldu. Hastalar yoğun bakım ünitesine kabul için değerlendirildiğinde, hastanın birincil hekimi ve yoğun bakım doktoru, terminal olup olmadıkları ve onlara palyatif bakım verilmesi gerekir gerekmediği konusunda fikir birliğine varmalıdır.

## Introduction

Intensive care units (ICUs) have become important for the oncology patients. 15% of all ICU admissions is cancer patients (1). There are several reason for admission to ICU such as severe acute illnesses, postoperative care after major surgical resections, severe cancer, chemotherapy-radiation related complications. However, there is still a lot of debate, which medical interventions performed in patients with terminal oncologic illness benefit the patient around the world. Many countries have different approaches in the management of these groups depending on their medical, legal, ethical, cultural and economic structures. Scientific and ethical perspectives continue to be debated whether the purpose of treatment should focus on the patient's life span or whether it is aimed at quality of life, termination of treatment, withdrawal of life support and indications for resuscitation (2,3).

Acute respiratory failure is the major cause of ICU admissions in critical cancer patients. Hospital mortality in critical cancer patients 60–80% in those undergone mechanical ventilation (4). Factors potentially affects patients' outcomes should be known to make appropriate management decisions. The patient's age, organ failure status, diagnosis, functional status, illness severity index and the need of vasopressors were shown to influence the ICU admission (2,4). In this study, it was aimed to study the risk factors for admission to ICU of cancer patients, intensive care prognosis, mortality rates, risk factors that affecting mortality and cost of per patient.

## Materials and Methods

After the Local Ethics Committee of the xxxx University, Medical School approval, solid or systemic malignancy patients admitted to ICU were evaluated for two years. Postoperative malignancy patients were excluded from the study. This study were done retrospectively. Patient files and bills were examined.

The demographic data of the patients, type of malignancy, location and duration of malignancy were recorded. In intensive care follow-up forms, hemodynamic parameters of the patient, laboratory value and clinical data are recorded. From twenty-four hours after admission data, APACHE 2 score is calculated. Patients ventilation parameters such as orotracheal intubation and taken to support mechanical ventilation. Non-invasive or invasive

mechanical ventilation times were recorded as days. The presence of organ failure was checked and recorded. Non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation support was accepted as respiratory failure; initiation of vasopressor was accepted as circulation failure; renal replacement therapy was accepted as renal insufficiency. Hospital cost per patient were recorded. Length of stay in ICU and hospital were also determined.

## Statistical Analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics 22 program was used for statistical analysis. Student t test was used for comparison of normal distribution parameters and Mann Whitney U test was used for abnormal distribution parameters. Pearson Chi-Square test was used to compare qualitative data. Pearson correlation analysis was used in evaluating the relationships between the parameters and mortality. Kaplan Meier Survival Analysis was used to assess survival. Statistical significance was accepted at  $p < 0.01$  and  $p < 0.05$  levels.

## Results

Two hundred and fifty one cases were included to the study; 37,1% ( $n = 93$ ) were female and 62,9% ( $n = 158$ ) were male. Patients' ages ranged from 29 to 84 years with an average of  $61.91 \pm 12.09$  years.

When the causes of intensive care and admission are examined; the most common is acute respiratory failure ( $n = 159$ ), of which 143 are exitus and 13 are discharge. This is followed by sepsis ( $n = 41$ ), of which 38 are exitus and 3 are discharge.

Distribution of malignancy type and its location is examined; 8,94% of patients is ( $n=22$ ) Acute myeloid leukemia (AML), 8,13% of patients is ( $n=20$ ) small cell lung cancer and 8,13% of patients is ( $n=20$ ) lung squamous cell carcinoma. The other malignancy type and location are shown in Table 1. Because of no pathological diagnosis, five of 251 cases were accepted as new diagnoses.

Systolic blood pressure and heart rate data of the patients ranged from 40 to 220, with mean $\pm$ SD  $130,03 \pm 39,17$  and ranging from 54 to 160, with with mean $\pm$ SD  $101,70 \pm 20,15$ . Patients' clinical parameters related intensive care and hospital periods are shown in Table 2.

The length of stay in the intensive care unit ranged from 1 to 51 days, with an mean $\pm$ SD of  $4.69 \pm 5.51$  days. Of the cases, 10.8% ( $n = 27$ ) survived and 89.2% ( $n = 224$ ) were exitus (Table 3).

	Min-max	Mean ± SS
Duration of Malignancy Diagnosis (month)	0,25-170	20,83±25,22
APACHE II	17-50	31,92±5,94
PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub> Rate	68-473	195,93±87,71
Mechanical Ventilation Duration (day)	0,25-41	4,32±4,68
	n	%
Metabolic Acidosis (no/yes)	176/75	%29,9/%70,1
Mechanical Ventilation (IMV/NIMV)	241/10	%96/%4
Organ Failure (no/yes)	135/113	%54,4/46,6%
Organ Failure (1)	82	%72,5%
Organ Failure (2)	31	%27,5
Vasopressor (no/yes)	166/85	%66,1/%33,9
Renal Replacement Therapy (no/yes)	176/75	%70,1/%29,9

IMV: Invasive mechanical ventilation, NIMV: Noninvasive mechanical ventilation, SD: Standart Sapma

	Min-Max	Mean ± SD
Length of stay in ICU (day)	1-51	4,69±5,51
Length of stay in hospital (day)	1-61	13,10±11,82
	n	%
Discharge status (ex/survive)	224/27	89,2/10,8
Discharge (Ward/home)	14/13	5,6/5,2

	Ex (n=224)	Survive (n=27)	p	r
Length of diagnosis	20,37±25,51	24,52±22,47 (3)	0,397	0,05
<sup>a</sup> APACHE II	32,31±5,77	28,70±6,48	0,001**	0,18
<sup>b</sup> PaO <sub>2</sub> /FiO <sub>2</sub>	190,54±87,72	240,70±75,09	0,003**	0,17
Length of stay ICU	7,46±6,00	4,71±5,42	0,020*	0,23
Length of stay hospital	14,56±14,74 (10)	25,24±23,23 (19)	0,002**	0,17
Metabolic acidosis (-)	153 (53,7%)	25 (64,3%)	0,007	0,36
Metabolic acidosis (+)	73 (46,3%)	2 (35,7%)		
IMV	222 (95,1%)	19 (48,6%)	0,001	0,53
NIMV	2 (4,9%)	8 (51,4%)		
Organ failure (-)	115 (29,3%)	20 (94,3%)	0,078	-0,15
Organ failure (+)	106 (70,7%)	7 (5,7%)		
Vasopressor (-)	144 (43,9%)	22 (95,7%)	0,087	0,13
Vasopressor (+)	80 (56,1%)	5 (4,3%)		

<sup>a</sup>Student t-test, <sup>b</sup>Mann-Whitney U Test, <sup>c</sup>Pearson chi-square, \*\*p<0,05, IMV: Invasive mechanical ventilation, NIMV: Non-invasive mechanical ventilation

There was no statistically significant difference between the ICU mortality and the mean age and sex of the cases ( $p > 0.05$ ). There was a statistically weak correlation between systolic blood pressures at admission and mortality (Exitus:  $100,67 \pm 20,38$  and survive:  $110,30 \pm 16,17$  ( $r = 0,14$ ,  $p = 0,019$ )). There was no statistically significant relationship between intensive care mortality and presence of infection ( $r = 0,04$ ,  $p > 0,05$ ), receiving of chemotherapy ( $r = 0,08$ ,  $p > 0,05$ ) and type of feeding ( $r = 0,10$   $p > 0,05$ ).

There was no statistically significant correlation between length of malignancy diagnosis and intensive care mortality ( $r = 0,05$ ,  $p > 0,05$ ) while it was found statistically weak significant correlation between mortality and APACHE II levels ( $r = 0,18$ ,  $p < 0,01$ ) and PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio ( $r = 0,17$ ,  $p < 0,01$ ). There was no statistically significant correlation between mortality and organ failure ( $p = 0,078$ ,  $r = -0,15$ ) and vasopressor requirement ( $p = 0,087$ ,  $r = 0,13$ ). It was found statistically significant correlation between mortality and metabolic acidosis ( $r = 0,36$ ,  $p < 0,01$ ) and mechanical ventilation ( $r = 0,53$ ,  $p < 0,01$ ) (Table 4). According to the cancer staging of the patients, 58.5% ( $n = 147$ ) of the patients were classified as stage 4 and 16.3% ( $n = 41$ ) as stage 3.

Mortality and length of intensive care unit stay ( $r = 0,23$ ,  $p < 0,05$ ) and hospital stay ( $r = 0,17$ ,  $p < 0,01$ ) significantly correlated. Of the 251 patients in intensive care unit; while there were 27 cases survive (10.8%); 224 (89.2) mortality were observed. The median survival time is  $3 \pm 0.24$  days.

Average cost of the patients was  $8103,79 \pm 8189.17$  Turkish Liras (TL). The daily cost of 251 patients in ICU was between 186,86TL-4407,39 TL and mean was  $1628,4-49 \pm 524,12$  TL. Total cost of the patients was 1912900 TL for 1175 hospital days. Total cost of dead patients was 1465200 TL for 900 days of hospitalization.

## Discussion

In this study it was found the most common reason for admission was respiratory failure, followed by sepsis and cardiac arrest. Cost of the per patient per day in ICU was between 186,86 TL-4407,39 TL and mean cost was  $1628,49 \pm 524,12$  TL. Mortality rate of these cancer patients was 89.2%.

Patients with malignancy are serious patient groups that result in higher ICU and hospital mortality rates than patients without malignancy. In recent years, a number of studies have been conducted to identify the causes associated with reduced mortality in cancer patients and to reduce mortality with early intervention. Many clinicians are able to avoid aggressive treatment in patients with high mortality. Health providers often discuss the necessity of treatments such as mechanical ventilation, vasoactive agents, and renal replacement therapy in end-stage cancer patients (5,6).

The cause of hospital admission is also effect on mortality. There are variety of reasons for intensive care in malignant patients in different studies. In the most studies sepsis, acute respiratory failure, shock, renal failure are

**Table 1. Malignancy type and location (n=246)**

Malignancy type and location	n	%		n	%
Lung adeno Ca	11	4,47	Breast invasive ductal Carcinoma	4	1,63
Lung small Cell	20	8,13	Breast carcinoma	1	0,41
Lung squamous Cell	20	8,13	Breast malign epithelial Tm	1	0,41
ALL	8	3,25	Breast squamous cell	3	1,21
ALL and B cell lymphoma	1	0,41	Bladder urothelial carcinoma	5	2,04
AML	22	8,94	Gastric adeno Ca	8	3,25
Ampulla vateri Tm	1	0,41	Stomach cohesive carcinoma	3	1,21
Brain lymphoma	3	1,21	Gastric GIST	2	0,82
Renal cell Ca	10	4,06	Gastric carcinoma	1	0,41
Caecum adeno Ca	2	0,82	Gastric signet ring cell	1	0,41
Endometrium adeno Ca	2	0,82	Multiple myeloma	3	1,21
Endometrium sarcoma	1	0,41	Nasal cavity malign melanoma	1	0,41
Undifferentiated endometrium Ca	3	1,21	Nasopharynx Carcinoma	8	3,25
Epithelial Tm	1	0,41	Non-codgkin	6	2,43
Kaposi sarcoma	1	0,41	Over adeno Ca	4	1,63
CLL	6	2,43	Over squamous cell	1	0,41
Cholangiocellular carcinoma	2	0,81	Esophagus adeno Ca	3	1,21
Colon Adeno Ca	11	4,47	Pancreas adeno Ca	9	3,66
Colon Squamous Cell Ca	2	0,82	Pancreas carcinoma	3	1,21
Laryngeal Carcinoma	3	1,21	Prostate adeno Ca	11	4,47
Lymphoma	1	0,41	Rectum adeno Ca	11	4,47
Leukemia	1	0,41	Renal cell	1	0,41
Malign Epithelial Tm	1	0,41	Klatskin tumor	2	0,82
Mantle Cell Lymphoma	2	0,82	Squamous cell	2	0,82
Breast Adeno Ca	12	4,88	CNS lymphoma	1	0,41
Breast Ductal Carcinoma	1	0,41	T-cell leukemia-lymphoma	3	1,21

AML: Acute myeloid leukemia, ALL: Acute lymphocyte leukemia, LL: Chronic lymphocyte leukemia, GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor, CNS: Central nervous system

common causes of admission (7,8). Kress et al. (8) found that respiratory failure was the most common cause of hospitalization in malignancy patients. In another study, it was reported that life-threatening organ failure and infection had the most frequent admission criteria patients with cancer. There is a strong correlation between the number of organ failure and high mortality (9). In malignancy patients, respiratory failure and sepsis were shown as the most common cause of intensive admission (10). In our study in accordance with many studies in the literature, we found that the most common cause of admission to ICU was respiratory failure (63.34%, n = 159), followed by sepsis (16.33%, n =41) and cardiac arrest (5.17%, n =13).

Different mortality ratios have been reported in studies that involving a large number of case series for patients followed up in intensive care units. Claire et al. (11) shown that mortality rates were 46% in advanced lung cancer patients whereas Kress et al. (35) found that intensive care mortality was 41% in cancer patients. Adam et al. (12) reported 22% mortality rate in the study. They pointed out that this resulted from patient selection. Intensive care mortality rate ranged from 30% to 100% in many studies (4,8,11,12). These conflicting results are due to the reasons such as differences in the type of cancer, the patients' comorbidities, the effectiveness of treatment of the underlying cancer, intensive care admission criteria, whether or not the admitted patients are in terminal period, and differences in end-of-life care. The mortality of postoperative cancer patients is lower than that of medical patients (13). In our study, mortality rate reported 89.2% (n =224) of patients with malignancy. Our mortality rates were higher than most of the studies due to the fact that 58.5% (n = 147) of the patients were classified as stage 4 and 16.3% (n = 41) as stage 3 for disease staging

Different studies have shown various independent risk factors for mortality in cancer patients. Patients with hematological malignancies, had stem cell transplantation, presence of organ failure, presence of infection, need for mechanical ventilation, vasopressor requirement, poor performance status and low PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio was found to be associated with increased mortality in ICU (7,9,14,15). Correlation between the number of organ failure and high mortality rates was reported in cancer patients in ICU. Necessity of mechanical ventilation was shown to be the strongest factor affecting survival (7). In our study, low systolic blood pressure measurements, APACHE II, PaO<sub>2</sub>/

FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio, the presence of metabolic acidosis, invasive mechanical ventilation requirement, length of stay in ICU were significantly correlated with mortality.

Some studies shown that there is a relationship between prolonged ICU stay and high mortality, while some studies suggest that there is no relationship between those. Soares M et al (16) examined the length of stay in ICU cancer patients. They accepted 21 days as prolonged length of stay in ICU, and found that 15% of the patient were prolonged ICU stay. The mean of the stay in ICU was 11.2 days. Sepsis and acute respiratory failure has been reported 48% of total intensive care bed days with prolonged stay. There was a relationship between ICU stay and intensive care infections and mechanical ventilation. In another study, the prolonged ICU stay was associated with increased mortality (17). Multiple organ failure and necessity of mechanical ventilation has led to the longer stay of ICU. In our study, the ICU stay was 4.69 ± 5,51 days (range 1-51 days) and hospital stay was 13,10 ± 11,82 days (range 1-127 days). There was a statistically significant correlation between intensive care mortality and length of stay in ICU and in the hospital.

Acute respiratory failure is common in critical cancer patients and usually requires mechanical ventilation support. Azoulay et al. (18) found that the use of noninvasive mechanical ventilation reduced the 30-day mortality, but emphasized that the use of high FiO<sub>2</sub> in noninvasive mechanical ventilation also increased mortality. In a multicenteric European study of cancer patients and non-cancer intensive care patients, mortality was higher in mechanically ventilated cancer patients than in mechanically ventilated non-cancer patients (19). In our study, 96% (n =241) of the cases required invasive mechanical ventilation and 4% (n =10) of non-invasive mechanical ventilation. In agreement with the European study, there was a statistically significant correlation between intensive care mortality and invasive mechanical ventilation requirement (r = 0,53, p <0,01).

Renal replacement therapy ranges from 8% to 13% in solid tumors, ranging from 10% to 34% in hematologic malignancies (15,19). In our study, 70.1% (n=176) of the patients was not required renal replacement therapy while 29.9% (n =75) was.

Thirty percent of the total health expenditure of the United States is spent in terminal illness whereas 80% of this money was spent in the last month of the life for aggressive life support treatments in the hospital and

especially in the intensive care unit (20,21). Aygencel et al. (22) reported that the total number of invoices for terminal cancer patients in the intensive care unit was 581 353.2 TL with mean 677,6 TL/day of hospitalization. With the transfer of the intensive care patient (\$ 3500 / day) to the palliative care unit (\$ 1500 / day), health spending is reduced by \$ 2000 per day (44). In our study, the mean cost of patients in ICU is 8103,79 ± 8189.17 TL (range of 223,40 TL- 431 956,22 TL. Total cost of dead patients was 1465200 TL for 900 days of hospitalization.

Death with dignity or euthanasia includes allowing certain adult patients to request a medication that will end their lives, should they choose to take it. Since death with dignity is not legal nationwide, including government healthcare systems, cannot be used for physician-assisted dying services. Additionally, patients must find a physician who is willing to participate, and many are against the act for either personal or religious reasons. In the future, the right to die for advanced cancer patients will be discussed among the patient, their family and their primary physician, and the patients in this situation will be followed up in separate departments instead of intensive care.

Our study has several limitations. First, it was included the small number of patients from a single center. Second, it was conducted retrospectively. In addition, do not resuscitate, do not intubate, the withholding and withdrawal of life support could not be applied actively to our patients because of the legal reservations.

## Conclusion

The patient who does not have anything to do medically and expects to die must have the peace and certain comforts. It is obvious that the search for and desired peaceful environment can not be provided in a hospital bed, especially in an intensive care unit. The tests, practices and care that are made in this unit for

the patient have little impact on their life span or quality of life. Money is being spent in very high amounts for end of life cancer patients. In the intensive care units, there are many problems related to inadequate team and equipment, limited resources and the distribution of services. These units must be triaged for reasons such as lack of improvement in the treatment and care of the intensive care units, inadequacy of the budget and health worker, and low number of ICU beds.

The decision as to whether or not to admit a critically ill cancer patient to the ICU is difficult. Their medical problems along with their cancer disease underscore a need for an individual approach to this patient population. The decision to apply life-sustaining treatment in these patients involves weighing the potential benefit against a futility. Identification of factors associated with outcomes would help physicians, patients and families in determining the goals of treatment. In the meantime, cooperation of the ICU team with palliative care specialists that is the most appropriate way to make decisions in the context of uncertainty.

## Ethics

**Ethics Committee Approval:** After the Local Ethics Committee of the Pamukkale University, Medical School approval (60116787-020/23925), solid or systemic malignancy patients admitted to ICU were evaluated for two years.

**Informed Consent:** Due to the retrospective nature of the study and the fact that no identifiable information is included, informed consent was not required.

**Peer-review:** Externally peer-reviewed

## Authorship Contributions

Data Collection and Process: G.K., Analysis or Interpretation: H.S., Literature Search: S.S., Writing: H.S.

**Conflict of Interest:** No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support

## References

1. Zimmerman JE, Kramer AA, McNair DS, et al: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) IV: Hospital mortality assessment for today's critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med.* 2006; 34:1297-1310.
2. Hoch JS. Improving efficiency and value in palliative care with net benefit regression: an introduction to a simple method for cost-effectiveness analysis with person-level data. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management.* 2009;38(1):54-61.
3. Smith TJ, Cassel JB. Cost and non-clinical outcomes of palliative care. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management.* 2009;38(1):32-44.
4. Soares M, Caruso P, Silva E, Teles JMM, Lobo SMA, Friedman G, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of patients with cancer requiring admission to intensive care units: A prospective multicenter study. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2010;38(1):9-15.
5. Cosgrove J, Nesbitt I, Bartley C. Futility and the critically ill adult patient: A framework. *Current Anaesthesia & Critical Care.* 2006;17(5):255-262.
6. Toffart A-C, Timsit J-F. Is prolonged mechanical ventilation of cancer patients futile? *Critical Care.* 2013; 17(5): 189.
7. Thiery G, Azoulay E, Darmon M, Ciraldi M, De Miranda S, Levy V, et al. Outcome of cancer patients considered for intensive care unit admission: a hospital-wide prospective study. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of The American Society of Clinical Oncology.* 2005;23(19):4406-4413.
8. Kress JP, Christenson J, Pohlman AS, Linkin DR, Hall JB. Outcomes of critically ill cancer patients in a university hospital setting. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine.* 1999;160(6):1957-1961.
9. Darmon M, Thiery G, Ciraldi M, de Miranda S, Galicier L, Raffoux E, et al. Intensive care in patients with newly diagnosed malignancies and a need for cancer chemotherapy. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2005;33(11):2488-2493.
10. Cherif H, Martling C-R, Hansen J, Kalin M, Björkholm M. Predictors of short and long-term outcome in patients with hematological disorders admitted to the intensive care unit for a life-threatening complication. *Supportive Care in Cancer.* 2007;15(12):1393-1398.
11. Andréjak C, Terzi N, Thielen S, Bergot E, Zalcman G, Charbonneau P, et al. Admission of advanced lung cancer patients to intensive care unit: a retrospective study of 76 patients. *BMC Cancer.* 2011;11(1):159.
12. Adam AK, Soubani AO. Outcome and prognostic factors of lung cancer patients admitted to the medical intensive care unit. *European Respiratory Journal.* 2008;31(1):47-53.
13. Chang L, Horng C-F, Huang Y-CT, Hsieh Y-Y. Prognostic accuracy of acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II scores in critically ill cancer patients. *American Journal of Critical Care.* 2006;15(1):47-53.
14. Song J-U, Suh G, Park H, Lim S, Han S, Kang Y, et al. Early intervention on the outcomes in critically ill cancer patients admitted to intensive care units. *Intensive Care Medicine.* 2012;38(9):1505-1513.
15. Aygencel G, Turkoglu M, Sucak GT, Benekli M. Prognostic factors in critically ill cancer patients admitted to the intensive care unit. *Journal of Critical Care.* 2014;29(4):618-626.
16. Soares M, Salluh JI, Torres VB, Leal JV, Spector N. Short and long-term outcomes of critically ill patients with cancer and prolonged ICU length of stay. *Chest.* 2008;134(3):520-526.
17. Martin CM, Hill AD, Burns K, Chen LM. Characteristics and outcomes for critically ill patients with prolonged intensive care unit stays. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2005;33(9):1922-1927.
18. Azoulay E, Alberti C, Bornstain C, Leleu G, Moreau D, Recher C, et al. Improved survival in cancer patients requiring mechanical ventilatory support: impact of noninvasive mechanical ventilatory support. *Critical Care Medicine.* 2001;29(3):519-525.
19. Taccone FS, Artigas AA, Sprung CL, Moreno R, Sakr Y, Vincent J-L. Characteristics and outcomes of cancer patients in European ICUs. *Crit Care.* 2009;13(1):R15.
20. Zhang B, Wright AA, Huskamp HA, Nilsson ME, Maciejewski ML, Earle CC, et al. Health care costs in the last week of life: associations with end-of-life conversations. *Archives of Internal Medicine.* 2009;169(5):480-488.
21. Riley GF, Lubitz JD. Long-term trends in Medicare payments in the last year of life. *Health Services Research.* 2010;45(2):565-576
22. Aygencel G, Türkoğlu M. General Characteristics and Costs of Terminal-Stage Patients in a Medical Intensive Care Unit. *Yoğun Bakım Derg.* 2014;5(1):1-4.