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Abstract

Objective: The relationship between the interatrial block (IAB) and atrial fibrillation (AF) has been demonstrated in previous studies. The aim of this study is to investigate the relationship of the IAB with the psoriasis area severity index (PASI) score in psoriasis.

Method: Patients with psoriasis who were examined at the cardiology outpatient clinic between January 2017 and May 2019 were retrospectively screened. Two hundred and thirty-eight patients whose electrocardiogram (ECG) and PASI scores were available were included in the study. IAB was defined as if P wave duration was equal to or longer than 120 msec with (advanced IAB) or without (partial IAB) biphasic P morphology in the inferior electrocardiographic leads. The prevalence of IAB in patients with psoriasis and its relationship with the severity of psoriasis were evaluated in the present study.

Results: The mean age was 35 (18-62) years, 53% of the study population were male. The median PASI score was 6. IAB was observed in 9.9% of patients. Partial IAB was observed in 6% of patients whereas the prevalence of advanced IAB was 3.0%. No statistically significant difference was observed between the PASI score in patients with and without IAB [6.0 (3.0-13.5) vs. 7.0 (2.5-22.5), respectively, p=0.35]. The mean P wave duration (PWD) was 101 (90-111) ms. No statistically significant correlation was observed between PWD and the PASI score (p=0.35, r=0.06).

Conclusion: No significant correlation was identified between IAB and the PASI score, which is used for assessing the severity of psoriasis.
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Amaç: İnteratriyal blok ve atryal fibrilasyon arasındaki ilişki daha önceki yıllarda gösterilmiştir. Bu çalışmanın amacı interatriyal blok (IAB) ve psoriasis alan ciddiyeti indeksi (PACİ) arasındaki ilişkinin araştırılmasıdır.


Bulgular: Hastaların ortalama yaşı 35 (18-62) yıldır, %53'ü erkekti. Ortalama PACİ değeri 3,29±3,81 idi. IAB hastaların %9,9'unda görüldü. Bu hastaların %6'sında pIAB, %3'ünde aIAB izlendi. Total IAB izlenen hastaların PACİ değerleri ile IAB olmayan hastaların PACİ değerleri arasında anlamlı istatistiksel fark saptanmadi [6,0 (3,0-13,5) vs. 7,0 (2,5-22,5), p=0,35]. Ortalama P dalga süresi (PWD) 101 (90-111) ms idi. PWD ile PACİ skoru arasında istatiksel açıdan anlamli olmayan korelasyon izlendi (p=0,35, r=0,06).

Sonuç: Psoriasisin şiddetine gösteren PACİ skoru ile IAB block arasında anlamli ilişki bulunmadı.

Anahtar kelimeler: Atriyal fibrilasyon, elektrokardiyografi, inme, interatriyal blok, psoriasis, psoriasis alan ciddiyeti indeksi
Introduction

Psoriasis is a chronic, immune-mediated systemic disease of unknown etiology. It is estimated that 1-5% of the world population is affected by this disease (1,2). Although it is mostly known for involving the skin and joints, recent studies have shown that the incidence of cardiovascular diseases has increased in patients with psoriasis (3). While cardiovascular risk factors are frequently observed in patients with psoriasis, it has also been recently demonstrated that there is a close relationship between psoriasis and myocardial infarction (4). These comorbid conditions additionally increase morbidity and mortality in patients with psoriasis.

AF is the most common cardiac arrhythmia with a demonstrated association with both morbidity and mortality in clinical practice. AF increases mortality, sudden cardiac death, heart failure, and stroke. Current studies show that AF is responsible for 20-30% of ischemic stroke (5). With appropriate anticoagulation, a reduction can be provided in thromboembolic complications. Due to its episodic nature, the diagnosis of paroxysmal AF is the challenge that delays to start an appropriate anticoagulation treatment (6).

The IAB is caused by the conduction delay on the Bachmann’s bundle, which is responsible for connection of the right atrium to the left electrically (7). In one Finnish study, the prevalence of partial and advanced IAB in general population aged over 30 years was 9.7% and 1.0%, respectively (8). Several studies have shown that IAB is an independent predictor of AF (9,10). The increased frequency of IAB has also been demonstrated in patients with silent brain infarction (11). A recently published article demonstrated an increased prevalence of partial and advanced IAB in patients with embolic stroke with undetermined source (12). In the same study, advanced IAB independently predicted AF (12).

This study aimed to demonstrate the relationship between the PASI score and IAB.

Materials and Methods

Patients with psoriasis who were examined at the cardiology outpatient clinic between January 2017 and May 2019 were retrospectively screened. Patients with available PASI scores and ECG recordings were included in the study. Patients with other than sinus rhythm, previous history of atrial arrhythmias, structural heart diseases, hypothyroidism were excluded from the study. Baseline medical history and laboratory values of the patients were recorded. Standard 12-derivation ECG recordings were reevaluated. ECGs were scanned at 300 DPI, then transferred to electrophysiology (EP) calipers software (EP Studios, Inc, Version 3.1) and all measurements were performed in this software. The start of the P wave is the point with the first upward or downward deflection of the isoelectric line, whereas the end of the P wave was considered as the point where the wave returned to the isoelectric line (Figure 1). ECGs were evaluated by two different cardiologists in terms of IAB and were evaluated by a third cardiologist when there was a conflict in the diagnosis.

IAB was defined as if P wave duration (PWD) was equal to or longer than 120 msec with (advanced IAB) or without (partial IAB (pIAB)) biphasic P morphology (terminal negative deflection) in the inferior electrocardiographic leads (Leads II, III and aVF).

To calculate PASI score, the body was divided into several regions: head, upper and lower extremities and trunk. Each involved area was scored on a 0 to 6 scale. Each area was assessed in terms of erythema, infiltration and desquamation, which were scored separately on a 0 to 4 scale. Then all scores were summed up to achieve the final PASI score which was ranging from 0 to 72. PASI<5 was classified as mild, PASI 5-10 as intermediate, and PASI>10 as severe psoriasis.

PASI scores were obtained from the previous medical records. The highest value was taken if there were multiple PASI score values.

The study was approved by Bezmialem Vakif University Ethics Committee (no:16/297). Informed consents were
provided and the study was conducted according to the guidelines on clinical investigations of Declaration of Helsinki.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, while skewed variables were showed as median ± interquartile range. The normality was tested by visual (histogram and probability graphs) and analytical methods (Kolmogorov-Smirnov or Shapiro-Wilk tests as appropriate). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare PASI scores in patients with and without IAB. For checking correlation, the Spearman’s test was used. All reported p-values were 2-sided and p<0.05 was considered as statistically significant. SPSS version 20 was used to perform statistical analyses.

**Results**

The study evaluated 238 patients whose ECG and PASI scores and clinical information were fully available. The mean age was 35 (18-62) years, 53% of study population were male (Table 1). The median PASI score was 6. pIAB was observed in 6.9% of the patients and the prevalence of advanced IAB was 3.0%. No statistically significant difference existed between the PASI score in patients with and without IAB [6.0 (3.0-13.5) vs. 7.0 (2.5-22.5)], respectively, p=0.35). The mean PWD was 101 (90-111) ms. No statistically significant correlation was observed between PWD and the PASI score (p=0.35, r=0.06) (Table 2).

**Discussion**

The study revealed that there was no significant relationship between the PASI score and IAB. Although the PASI score is relevant to the severity of psoriasis, it varies widely with treatment. In our study, we considered the highest PASI values of the patients. This study showed that the PASI value could not predict IAB. Although the frequency of AF increased in psoriasis and the relationship of IAB with AF was shown, we found in this study that the risk of AF could not be estimated with the PASI score.

AF is responsible for 25% of strokes (13). Therefore, revealing the factors predicting AF will be effective in preventing stroke (13). The relationship between psoriasis and AF has been demonstrated in the Danish cohort. 36,765 patients with mild psoriasis were compared with 4,478 patients with severe psoriasis and 4,478,926 controls without psoriasis. Both AF and the risk of stroke were found to be high in patients with psoriasis (3). Similar results were demonstrated in the meta-analysis conducted by Upala et al. (14). A cohort study published by Egeberg et al. (15) showed an increased risk of AF and stroke in psoriasis. The risk of AF and stroke was more common in patients with psoriasis accompanied by depression.

Many studies have shown that IAB is an independent predictor of AF (9). The IAB is known for the delay of conduction on the Bachmann’s pathway or the delay of the transition from right to left due to its complete block, and the PWD being longer than 120 msec in ECG (7). Its prevalence increases with age. In a study, in which 1,353 healthy males under the age of 35 years were screened, IAB was observed in 5.4% of those under the age of 20 years and 9.1% of those under the age of 35 years (16). In this study, IAB was considered if the PWD was 110 msec and above. In our study, the mean age of the patients was 35 years,

| Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study population |
|-------------------|--------------------------|
| **Values**        |                           |
| **Age, men (years)** | 35 (18-62)         |
| **Gender male, n (%)** | 137 (53.1)       |
| **Hypertension, n (%)** | 23 (8.9)          |
| **DM, n (%)** | 7 (2.7)               |
| **CAD, n (%)** | 6 (2.3)               |
| **CVA, n (%)** | 0 (0)                 |
| **Hyperlipidemia, n (%)** | 10 (3.9)         |
| **Depression, n (%)** | 18 (7)             |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Laboratories</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemoglobin, mean (g/dL)</strong></td>
<td>141 (13.2-15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WBC, mean (x10⁹)</strong></td>
<td>761 (6.45-9.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutrophils, mean (x10⁹)</strong></td>
<td>4.31 (3.53-5.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lymphocytes, mean (x10⁹)</strong></td>
<td>2.27 (1.89-2.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CRP</strong></td>
<td>2 (1-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PASI score, median, (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>6.0 (2.9-14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advanced IAB, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>12 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial IAB, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>20 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total IAB, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>32 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P wave duration, ms, median (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>101 (90-111)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 2. Correlation between PASI and IAB</strong></th>
<th>IAB (-)</th>
<th>IAB (+)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P wave duration, ms, median (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>99 (90-106.25)</td>
<td>128.5 (123-132.75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PASI, median (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>6.0 (3.0-13.5)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.5-22.5)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAD: Coronary artery disease, CRP: C-reactive peptide, CVA: Cerebrovascular accident, DM: Diabetes mellitus, IAB: Interaltrial block, PASI: Psoriasis area severity index, WBC: White blood cell, IQR: Interquartile range
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while IAB was seen in 12.4% of the population. In another study, the prevalence in patients with 70-80 years of age was 37.4% (29.7% pIAB, 7.7% aIAB), and 39.4% (19.7% pIAB, 19.7% aIAB) in the population over 100 years of age (17). IAB prevalence varies in different patient populations. Its prevalence in the patient population with heart failure was identified to be 10% (18). Increased frequency of IAB has also been shown in patients with silent brain infarction (11). To the best of our knowledge, no study on the prevalence of IAB has been performed in the patient population with psoriasis so far.

Although it has been shown in different studies that the incidence of AF increased in psoriasis, the mechanism of this relationship could not be elucidated in detail yet. Active inflammation is thought to be involved in pathophysiology (19). Chronic inflammation is known to be effective in left atrial remodeling (20), which creates a substrate for AF. Histopathological studies performed in patients with AF have revealed inflammatory cell infiltration (21) and oxidative damage in atrial tissue (22). Inflammation causes prolonged PWD (23) and atrial electromechanical delay (24). While PWD is an electrocardiographic indicator (25) showing non-homogeneous spread of sinus stimulation, it also shows that inter- and intra-atrial conduction time is prolonged.

PASI was developed to evaluate the effectiveness of retinoid therapy in chronic plaque psoriasis (26). The prevalence (based on body surface area) and severity of psoriatic plaques are calculated by the physician separately for all four anatomical regions. The severity of erythema, desquamation and induration is scored in a 5-point system (0- no involvement, 1- mild, 2- moderate, 3- serious, 4- very serious). Values between 0 and 6 are assigned according to the percentage of involvement of the four anatomical regions. Zero- no involvement, 1=1-9%, 2=10-29%, 3=30-49%, 4=50-69%, 5=70-89%, 6=90-100%. The PASI score ranges from 0 to 72. High scores indicate more serious disease (27). PASI is one of the most validated scales in demonstrating the severity of psoriasis. Easy of application and good correlation with other objective scales are some of the advantages of the test. However, it is among the disadvantages of the score that it cannot always accurately predict the severity of the disease in terms of the patient and does not show a linear correlation with the severity of the disease (27). However, the score is frequently used in clinical evaluations of psoriasis. It is also used in the investigation of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in psoriasis. In a study conducted by Al-Mutairi et al. (28), in which the data of 1,661 patients were examined, they showed that the risk of CAD was higher in patients with a PASI score of more than 10 compared to patients with a score of less than 10.

Study Limitations
The study has all the limitations of retrograde and observational studies. Another limitation is the low number of patients. By increasing the number of samples, the probability of type II error can be reduced. Another limitation of the study is that the study is single-centered. Increasing the number of centers and samples requires the study results to be approved in a larger study.

Conclusion
No significant relationship was identified between IAB and the PASI score, which was used for assessing the severity of psoriasis in this study. It was thought that the lack of relationship might be due to the constant variability of the PASI score. Our result needs to be confirmed with larger studies.
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