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To the Editor,

We read with interest the recent investigation by Bajin et al. (1) that reviewed the management and treatment outcome of far-advanced otosclerosis (FAO) patients. The authors concluded that cochlear implantation (CI) represented a successful back-up option in cases of stapedotomy failure, in accordance to current findings by other authors (2-4). Bajin et al. (1) performed CI in 13 of their FAO patients (65%), with full electrode insertion in all cases and no serious post-operative complications or side effects during follow-up. Unfortunately, the authors gave no information about the type and the length of the arrays used for surgery. The appropriate choice of CI array length represents a relevant subject, as incomplete electrode insertion remains one of the main problem in CI for FAO (3).

Recently, we considered OTOPLAN (CASCination AG; Bern, Switzerland) computer program in pre-operative decision for CI in FAO patients (4). OTOPLAN is a new software for pre-operative planning in otosurgery developed by CAScination (Bern, Switzerland) in cooperation with MED-EL (Innsbruck, Austria) (5). The software, using conventional computed tomography imaging, creates reconstructed images that give a more accurate view of cochlear lumen. Additionally, OTOPLAN calculates an estimated length for every cochlear turns and provides a report with a suggested array length to use in every patient (5). In our case series of FAO patients, we disclosed a mean OTOPLAN-estimated cochlear duct length of 32.4 mm (4). Furthermore, looking at OTOPLAN reconstructed imaging, we found fibrosis located in the cochlear lumen in the middle and apical turns in two FAO subjects (4). Considering all the findings from OTOPLAN software, we decided to change surgical plans and chose a shorter electrode (24 and 28 mm instead of 31 mm) to avoid incomplete insertion (4). This software preliminarily seemed useful for the appropriate array length choice in FAO patients and should be further investigated.
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Author’s Reply

To the Editor,

We appreciate the letter to the editor about our paper and would like to give some further details about the subject.

The difficulty of full electrode insertion in far-advanced (FAO) otosclerosis cases is a well-known phenomenon that we have discussed in our paper. In order to avoid an unpleasing surprise we always carefully evaluate high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) scans preoperatively. We develop a clear idea about mastoid cavity, middle ear and intra-cochlear anatomy. It is a must to search for decreased intra-cochlear fluid or any obstruction at round window/basal turn in COS cases which may necessitate a cochleostomy/basal turn drilling or lead to an incomplete insertion (1).

OTOPLAN is a very helpful software to reconstruct HRCT images to evaluate intra-cochlear anatomy better and indeed may facilitate the preoperative analysis (2). Although HRCT is not an ideal imaging modality to reveal fibrosis and if authors suspect intra-cochlear fibrosis in middle or apical turns, magnetic resonance imaging would have been more appropriate.

We don’t prefer using Med-El flex (soft) (Med-El GmbH; Innsbruck, Austria) 31 mm electrode array in cases with less than ideal anatomies due to the risk of incomplete insertion. We prefer Cochlear Nucleus CI422 (Cochlear Corp.; Sydney, Australia) with slim straight electrode array for FAO cases and revisions. Although it has a thin and soft atraumatic electrode array, its basal stiffener helps full insertion. Its electrode array is inserted less than 25 mm which is concordant with the suggestions of the authors of the letter to the editor.

M. Demir Bajin
Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Hacettepe University School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey

Corresponding Author: M. Demir Bajin, munirdemirbajin@gmail.com

ORCID iD of the author: M.D.B. 0000-0003-1088-4367

References
