Effect of an MDP-containing Primer on the Bond Strength Between Ten Different Resin Cements and Air-abraded Monolithic Zirconia

Abstract

Objective: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the shear bond strength (SBS) of different self-adhesive luting systems to airborne-particle-abraded monolithic zirconia ceramic, with or without the application of a 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (MDP)-based ceramic primer.

Materials and Methods: A total of 200 monolithic zirconia specimens were prepared and air-abraded using 50-µm aluminum oxide particles under a pressure of 2.5 bar. Ten different self-adhesive resin cements were then bonded to the zirconia specimens with or without the use of an MDP-containing primer. After 24 h of water storage, all of the resin-bonded samples were thermocycled 5,000 times (5-55 °C). The SBS of the specimens was measured using a universal testing machine, and failure types were examined under a stereomicroscope. The data were statistically analyzed using two-way ANOVA and Tukey’s honestly significant difference tests.

Results: Statistical analyses revealed significant differences between the different resin cement groups (p<0.001). All of the primer-applied groups showed statistically higher SBS values than the non-primed groups (p<0.05). The SBS values of primer-applied groups ranged between 8.56±0.91 and 16.08±0.67 MPa. Adhesive failures were more predominant in the nonprimed groups than in the primed groups for each resin cement tested. MDP-based resin cements used did not result in the highest bond strengths.

Conclusion: In the case of every self-adhesive resin cement tested, application of an additional MDP-containing priming agent yielded enhanced bond strength to air-abraded monolithic zirconia specimens. However, no association between the MDP content of the resin cements and the SBS values was observed.

Öz

Amaç: Bu çalışmanın amacı kendinden adezivli farklı yapıştırma sistemlerinin kumlanmış monolitik zirkonya bağlanma dayanımını (SBS) metakrililoiklosidesi dihidrojen fosfat (MDP) esası seramik primeri uygulaması yaparak veya yapmadan değerlendirilmektir.
Introduction

In recent years, the use of the yttria-tetragonal zirconia polycrystal (Y-TZP) has become widespread as a metal-free alternative in fixed dental prosthesis due to its superior mechanical properties (1,2). Depending on the specific composition of sintered zirconia ceramics, fracture strength can be >1.000 MPa (3,4). Computer aided design/manufacturing technologies have resulted in ease-of-use with this highly crystalline material, allowing the fabrication of fitting frameworks (5,6). Covering these high-strength Y-TZP infrastructures with veneering ceramics that offer improved aesthetics is a frequently used process (7,8). However, some studies have reported that such a multilayered structure may cause some major problems including chipping, cracking, or delamination of veneering porcelain (8-10). To overcome these challenges, monolithic zirconia has recently been considered a viable alternative in fabricating both tooth and implant-supported full-contour ceramic restorations (11,12). Another advantage of monolithic zirconia materials was shown in an earlier study, with the results indicating that such restorations can withstand forces in the molar region even at a reduced thickness. Therefore, limiting the abutment preparation can preserve both the tooth substance and the axial height, promoting retention and resistance (12).

The success of zirconia-based restorations is highly dependent on achieving a reliable adhesion between the zirconia and resin cement. A strong adhesion of luting cements to the restoration improves marginal adaptation, prevents microleakage, and increases retention where sufficient mechanical retention does not exist (13). Unfortunately, unlike glass ceramics, zirconia is an acid-resistant and non-etchable material (14) because of its glass-free, polycrystalline microstructure (15), and therefore requires more aggressive treatment methods (16). Airborne-particle abrasion, one of the common surface treatment methods for zirconia, creates micron-sized rough areas that provide an increased surface area required for micro-mechanical interlocking (17,18). The effects of this treatment are still controversial with some studies showing a strength-reducing effect that may be related to deep surface flaws which act as stress concentrators (19,20); on the contrary, others have reported a strengthening effect which may be due to a compressive layer formed via tetragonal to monoclinic phase transformation (4,21). This stress-induced transformation leads to a volume expansion which generates compressive stresses, thereby closing the crack tip and preventing further crack propagation (2). In fact, the relative importance of these countervailing effects may depend on the material microstructure and the severity of the air-abrasion treatment (4,19). Therefore, to minimize possible surface damage, application of air-abrasion treatments at moderate or low pressures has been recommended (22-24).

In addition to damage-inducing effects, surface roughening using airborne-particle abrasion may not always produce a reliable bond between resin and zirconia (16,25). Application of a primer onto the zirconia surface can improve the resin-bond strength, via chemical interaction between the ceramic surface and the applied resin cement (23,25,26). The 10-methacryloyloxydecyl dihydrogen phosphate (MDP) monomer has been used by several investigators for this purpose (25-30), with effective bonding between the MDP acidic groups (phosphoric acid) and the oxide layer of the zirconia.


Bulgular: İstatistiksel analizler farklı rezin siman grupları arasında önemli farklılıklar olduğunu ortaya koymuştur (p<0,001). Bütün primer uygulanmış gruplar, primer uygulanmamış olanlara göre daha fazla SBS değerleri göstermiştir (p<0,05). Primer uygulanan grupların SBS değerleri 8,56±0,91 MPa ve 16,08±0,67 MPa arasında değişmiştir. MDP esaslı rezin simanlarının kullanımı ile en yüksek bağlanma dayanımı elde edilmişti. Primer uygulanan rezin simanlarına göre dezerviş başarılıksiz daha fazla görülmuştur. MDP etiketi primer uygulama ile test edilen bütün rezin simanları için önceden kumlanmış monolitik zirkonya örnekleri daha fazla bağlanma dayanımı elde edilmiştir. Ancak, rezin simanlarının MDP içeriği ile SBS değerleri arasında bir ilişki bulunmamıştır.
It was previously noted that chemical bonding to oxide ceramics obtained through the use of primers promotes long-term success under clinical conditions (22). However, the findings of another study indicated that without airborne-particle abrasion, MDP-containing materials were not capable of maintaining durable long-term adhesion (29). Overall, limited information is available regarding the use of MDP-containing primers in combination with air-particle abrasion. Therefore, to guide clinical practice, it would be useful to assess whether the use of an MDP primer applied to the airborne-particle-abraded zirconia surface is beneficial compared to air-abrasion treatment alone. Clearfil ceramic primer plus, a commercially available priming agent, contains a silane bi-functional molecule; however, it is not well-understood if MDP in combination with silane has a synergistic or antagonistic bond-promoting effect (31).

The choice of the most proper cement is a prerequisite for efficient bonding between resin and zirconia (32). Conventional resin cements require independent pretreatment procedures such as etching, priming, and bonding to achieve adequate adhesion; therefore, this multi-step cementation procedure is technique-sensitive, unpredictable, and time-consuming. To simplify the process, self-adhesive resin cements that rely on a single-step process have been suggested for luting of zirconia-based restorations (18,32,33). The resin matrix of these systems contains multifunctional acid methacrylates that react with the substrate to improve adhesion (32). In addition, various self-adhesive resin cements consist of phosphate monomer, including MDP. Although manufacturers suggest that clinicians may apply self-adhesive resin cements to Y-TZP without an additional primer (18,34), there is no sufficient information regarding the bonding efficiency of phosphate monomers in self-adhesive resin cements (34). Further, because of the limited information available regarding the use of MDP-based primers on zirconia combined with self-adhesive resin cements, investigations in this area are necessary.

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the shear bond strength (SBS) of different self-adhesive resin cements to air-abraded monolithic zirconia ceramics with or without application of an MDP-containing ceramic primer. The two null hypotheses were that (i) there would be no difference between the SBS values of different resin cements and (ii) the application of MDP-containing primer would not change the SBS values.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of Zirconia Specimens**
A total of 200 rectangular-shaped specimens were cut from a monolithic Y-TZP ceramic block (Zenostar T, Wieland Dental GmbH, Pforzheim, Germany) using a water-cooled low speed diamond saw (Isomet, Buehler Ltd., Lake Bluff, IL, USA) and polished manually with 600, 1,000, and 1,200-grit silicon carbide abrasive papers (English Abrasives & Chemicals Ltd., London, UK) under running water to obtain standardized smooth surfaces. Next, all the specimens were sintered to full density following the manufacturer’s instructions. 2-mm-thick slices were carefully embedded in autopolymerising acrylic resin, and one surface of the sample was left uncovered to adhere to the resin cement. The zirconia surfaces were airborne-particle-abraded with 50-µm Al₂O₃ particles (Mega Strahlkorund, Mega Dental, Budingen, Germany), under a 2.5-bar pressure for 15 s. The samples were placed at a distance of 10 mm from the handpiece of the sandblaster unit (Bego Easyblast, Bego, Germany) during the air-abrasion treatment. All the surface-treated zirconia specimens were cleaned ultrasonically with 96% isopropanol for 180 s in an ultrasonic cleaner (Whaledent Biosonic, Whaledent Inc., New York, USA) and air-dried to remove the debris of aluminum oxide particles from the ceramic surface. The specimens were then randomly divided into 20 subgroups, each containing 10 specimens, based on the 10 different self-adhesive resin cements combined with or without primer application. The manufacturers and compositions of the resin materials used in the study are summarized in Table 1.

**Bonding, Thermocycling, and Shear Bond Strength Test Procedures**
First, an MDP-containing primer (Clearfil Ceramic Primer Plus, Kuraray Noritake, Okayama, Japan) was applied on one half of the air-abraded zirconia specimens in each resin cement group using an applicator microbrush, and the adherent surfaces were dried sufficiently using oil-free air. Subsequently, specific teflon molds possessing a central cylindrical...
chamber (inner diameter, 3 mm; height, 3 mm) were placed on the zirconia surface and mixed self-adhesive dual-curing resin cements were applied into the molds following the manufacturers’ instructions. Self-adhesive resin cements were light polymerized for 40 s with a light-emitting diode curing unit (1,200 mW/cm$^2$, Bluephase, Ivoclar Vivadent, Schaan, Liechtenstein). After the bonding procedures, the teflon molds were gently removed from the bonded specimens. All the resin-bonded zirconia specimens were stored in distilled water at 37°C for 24 h and then thermocycled 5,000 times between 5 and 55°C with a dwelling time of 30 s at each temperature. The SBS of the specimens were measured using a knife edge rod mounted on a universal test machine (TSTM 02500, Elista Ltd., İstanbul, Turkey) at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min. The shear load at failure was recorded and the SBS was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{SBS (MPa)} = \frac{\text{Failure Load (N)}}{\text{Area (mm}^2\text{)}}$$

Finally, the failure modes were examined under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ40; Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan). Failure types of the tested specimens were classified as adhesive, mixed, or cohesive.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene tests were used to assess normal distribution of the SBS data and homogeneity of variances, respectively. The SBS data were statistically analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test and independent sample t-tests were used for pairwise comparisons. The significance level was set at $\alpha=0.05$ for all statistical tests.
Results

The two-way ANOVA revealed an individual significant effect of both primer application and different self-adhesive cements on the SBS, as shown in Table 2 (p<0.001). The mean and standard deviation values, and comparisons for the subgroups are shown in Table 3. Additionally, a box-plot graph is shown, with the distributions of SBS values including median, minimum, and maximum values of the groups (Figure 1). Primer application significantly increased the shear bond values in all cements (p<0.001). The results of Tukey’s HSD showed that Maxcem Elite had the highest SBS values in both primer-applied and non-applied groups (p<0.05), whereas the SBS values of SmartCem 2, Bifix SE, and RelyX U200 cements were lower than those of the other cements (p<0.05). Panavia SA Cement Plus had higher and TheraCem had lower SBS values compared to G-Cem LinkAce in the groups without primer application (p<0.05), but no statistically difference was found between SBS values of these cements in primer-applied groups (p>0.05).

As shown in Figure 2, adhesive and mixed failure types were evident in all experimental groups, whereas no cohesive failure of the resin cement was observed. Mixed failures were more predominant in primed groups than in non-primed groups for each resin cement. In the primer-applied Maxcem Elite group, adhesive failure occurred in only one specimen, while non-primed Bifix SE and SmartCem 2 showed the highest adhesive failure rates, in nine specimens.

Discussion

The present study was designed to compare the SBS of different self-adhesive resin cements to a monolithic zirconia ceramic with or without application of a MDP-containing primer. The two null hypotheses were rejected because use of different resin cements or use of primer application significantly changed the SBS values. Primer application resulted in higher SBS values for each resin cement.

All the zirconia ceramic surfaces were subjected to airborne-particle abrasion in the study. It has

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Summary of two-way ANOVA results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type III sum of squares</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement x Primer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P<0.05 indicates statistically significance, df: degrees of freedom

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Mean and standard deviation values and group comparisons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primer +</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmartCem 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifix SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RelyX U200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenitcem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TheraCem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-cem LinkAce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panavia SA Cement Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PermaCem 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BisCem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxcem Elite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation
*Same uppercase letters in the same column (Tukey’s HSD, p>0.05) and same lowercase letters in the same row (Independent samples t-tests, p>0.05) denote subgroups that were not significantly different
been reported that airborne-particle abrasion under relatively higher pressures might compromise the mechanical strength of the zirconia ceramic, and that to avoid possible excessive surface damage, zirconia should be abraded using 50-µm particles with a pressure of 2.5 bar or less (22). The alumina blasting parameters used in the present study have also been used in other studies (17,23,24,35), and allow sufficient surface roughening and cleaning (22). Such surface treatment may increase the surface area, surface energy, and wettability, thus facilitating the resin to flow into the surface (6,16). Moreover, the abrasion process may generate hydroxyl groups on the Y-TZP surface that enable chemical bonding (34). In one clinical trial (36), air-abrasion was not performed and MDP-containing luting cements and primers were used on the as-machined zirconia surface; the authors reported a debonding rate of 13.3% over an observation time of 53 months. Similarly, findings of a study by de Souza et al. (29) revealed that the application of MDP-containing primers and adhesives to a non-airborne-particle-abraded zirconia surface increased the initial resin bond strength but no stable bonding was present after six months of water storage. Therefore, omitting the abrasion process appears to increase the risk of debonding when using a MDP monomer. In a meta-analysis of bonding to zirconia, Inokoshi et al. (31) also concluded that the combination of mechanical and chemical pre-treatments contributed to durability of resin bonding. Additionally, the study emphasized that application of MDP-based primer after Al₂O₃ blasting may lead to increased aging resistance of the cement-zirconia bond (31), but that further investigation is needed.

MDP-based ceramic primer application significantly increased the SBS of all self-adhesive resin cements used in the present study. Further, increased ratios of mixed failure types observed in primer-applied groups supported the findings. The positive influence of priming may be due to enhanced physicochemical interaction between resin and zirconia (37), and also due to the increased wettability of the zirconia surface (31). Phosphoric groups in MDP yield certain chemical reactions with hydroxyl groups of zirconia (37,38), while the decyl group in MDP prevents water penetration at the interface between the dihydrogen phosphate and metal oxide layers (39). This was confirmed in a recent study by Yang et al. (30), in which the durability of resin bonding was evaluated and a remarkable reduction in SBS in all experimental groups was seen after long-term artificial aging, with the exception of groups treated with an MDP-based zirconia primer. In another investigation, Yagawa et al. (26) concluded that application of primers containing MDP ensured durable bond strength after 5,000 thermocycles; the authors also reported that MDP-based primers provide higher bond strengths than priming agents containing carboxylic monomer (4-META). In agreement with the results of the present study, those in a study by Kitayama et al. (6) indicated
that primers containing a phosphate ester monomer were effective in improving bonding of resin cements to zirconia. On the other hand, the authors also concluded that even without primer application, the MDP-containing resin cement was effective in bonding. Another study conducted by Wegner and Kern (40) on two-year tensile bond strength showed that the functional phosphate ester groups in MDP yielded water-resistant chemical adhesion with the zirconia; MDP-based resin cements are recommended in clinical practice based on their results. However, these findings are not consistent with our results, because there was no association between the MDP content of resin cements and the bond strength values. The results of the present study indicate that MDP-containing self-adhesive resin cements (TheraCem and Panavia SA Cement Plus) did not have the highest SBS values. Similarly, in a previous study, de Souza et al. (41) reported that application of an MDP-containing primer may increase the bond strength; however, an MDP-based luting system did not increase bond strength to zirconia; in addition, the aged specimens showed lower values. In agreement, Zhao et al. (25) also showed no positive effect of the presence of MDP in resin cement on SBS values after long-term aging. As stated in earlier studies, the lack of correlation between MDP content of resin cement and higher bond strength values may be related to the concentration of MDP in the resins and differences in viscosities of the cements (29,42). In another study, de Souza et al. (29) compared the bond strength of MDP-based resin cement with that of a non-MDP-containing resin cement. The similarity in bond strength values between these resin cements have been attributed to relatively higher viscosity of the MDP-containing resin cement used in the above study. Resin cements with low viscosity can easily flow into the microporosities of the air-particle abraded zirconia surface; thus, a larger adhesive surface can be obtained (42). The SBS values showed significant differences among the 10 different commercially available resin cements in the present study. Regardless of the primer application, Maxcem Elite showed higher SBS values and Smart CEM showed lower SBS values compared to the others. As discussed previously, other factors that may influence bonding capacity include mechanical properties, wetting capacity, and variation in chemical composition of different luting cements (29). In addition, Thompson et al. (16) highlighted the fact that the composition of zirconia ceramics could influence the resin bond strength. The present study mainly focused on the effect of priming combined with different resin cements and therefore, a single standardized monolithic zirconia was used as the ceramic material to understand these effects more clearly.

Thermocycling is a frequently used in vitro study method because it may influence bonding, especially in high-strength ceramics (26,40). This method can imitate clinical conditions by inducing thermal stresses and hydrolytic effects (43). Therefore, in the present study, 5,000 thermal cycles in water between 5-55 °C were done. Even if these parameters were considered as an appropriate aging regimen as reported in a previous study (26), long-term aging conditions should also be investigated. The other limitation of our study was that only Clearfil Ceramic Primer was used as MDP-containing primer. This primer is known to contain a silane agent. Future studies should be focussed on other types of primers that have different compositions, or on usage of such silane-containing MDP-based primers in combination with tribochemical silica-coating techniques. Finally, the in vitro design of this study may not fully represent the intra-oral conditions. For instance, the resin cement thickness formed in clinical conditions could not be simulated, which is also an important factor in bonding efficiency (16). Therefore, further investigations are needed to understand the effects of zirconia primers on the bonding of different resin cements in both in vivo and in vitro conditions using different materials, test designs, and aging conditions.

**Conclusion**

Under the conditions of the present in vitro study, the following conclusions can be made:

1) Application of an MDP-containing primer was found to be effective in improving the SBS of resin cements to airborne-particle-abraded zirconia.

2) Regardless of primer use, SBS values of various self-adhesive resin cements were significantly different from each other.

3) There was no association between the MDP content of the resin cements and the SBS values because MDP-based resin cements used did not result in the highest bond strengths.
4) Mixed/adhesive failure type ratios for each resin cement were increased due to the application of an MDP-based primer.
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