ABSTRACT

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a type of movement disorder that affects the ability to perform daily activities. It is considered that 1 million people in the U.S. and more than 10 million people worldwide living with PD. It is a chronic and also progressive disease, so symptoms worsen over time. Patients experience motor symptoms such as tremors, stiffness and slow motion, and non-motor symptoms such as sleep problems, constipation, anxiety, depression and fatigue. Dopaminergic drugs are very important in the treatment of motor symptoms in PD. Levodopa is the ‘gold standard’ medication for the control of motor symptoms. As a result of the progression of the disease, the effectiveness of oral levodopa decreases over time and motor fluctuations such as ‘delayed ON’, ‘no ON’ and unpredictable ‘ON-OFF’ periods appear. These motor fluctuations affect the life quality of the patient at a high rate, and the patient has problems in fulfilling his daily morning routines. Gastrointestinal problems (GI), the common non-motor symptom, are the most important cause of motor fluctuations that occurs as a result of inadequate oral treatment with the progression of PD. When oral treatments are not sufficient, non-oral treatments that are not affected by GI problems are required. In this review, the treatment strategies, developed and approved non-oral drug delivery systems in the early and advanced stages of PD are emphasized.
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ÖZET

Parkinson hastalığı (PH), günlük aktiviteleri gerçekleştirmeye yeteneğini etkileyen bir tür hareket bozukluğudur. ABD'de 1 milyon ve dünya çapında 10 milyondan fazla kişinin PH ile
PD is the most common neurodegenerative movement disorder that can affect the ability to perform daily activities. It is considered that 1 million people in the U.S and more than 10 million people worldwide have PD. PD is usually diagnosed in people over the age of 55. Although it is rare, it can also be seen in the young population between the ages of 21-45. The disease is called late-onset when diagnosed in older people, and young-onset when diagnosed in the young population. 

PD is a chronic and also progressive disease. Motor symptoms and non-motor symptoms are seen in patients. However, it is characterized by motor symptoms associated with movement. These symptoms are rhythmic shaking tremors, stiffness or rigidity of the muscles and slowness of the movement (bradykinesia). Movements are controlled by neurons in the brain, and messages are transmitted to each other and to the rest of the body by chemicals called neurotransmitters. Dopamine, one of the neurotransmitters that control movement, is produced in the substantia nigra area of the brain. In PD, 70-80% of dopamine-producing cells disrupt by stages and are lost which is called neurodegeneration. The damage of neurons causes low levels of dopamine in the part of the brain that controls balance and movement. When neurons do not pass on brain messages properly, the movement has not been controlled smoothly and the motor symptoms of PD appear. In addition to motor symptoms, non-motor symptoms related to PD can occur in patients. Non-motor symptoms are sleep problems, constipation, depression, anxiety and fatigue. For many of these non-motor symptoms, definitive clinicopathologic correlations are still not fully understood. Dopaminergic drugs are very important in the treatment of motor symptoms in PD. Levodopa is known as the “gold standard” for the control of motor symptoms in PD. As a result of the progression of PD, the effectiveness of oral levodopa decreases over time. It has been reported that in 5-10 years, patients treated with levodopa will develop motor fluctuations and dyskinesias in 70-80%. The fluctuations in motor functions are due to ON responses (good antiparkinsonian effect) and OFF responses (the symptoms are not efficiently controlled) seen just before the next dose of levodopa. In the ON period patients are fully able to move and function independently, and the patient is unable to function such as move, talk, smile as easily during the OFF period. These motor fluctuations can occur diversely. These are foreseeable end-of-dose ‘wearing OFF’ phenomena, peripheral problems such as ‘delayed ON’ or ‘no ON’, and unpredictable ‘ON-OFF’ periods. The delayed effect of oral medications causes an early morning OFF period. This condition affects the life quality of the patients at a high rate, and
the patient has problems in fulfilling his daily morning routines. The results of an international multicenter study of EUROPAR, a partner of the European Parkinson’s Disease Association, show that the incidence of OFF period is 60% even in patients undergoing optimized PD therapy. \(^8\) Levodopa dose is usually increased to manage these problems. However, increasing the levodopa dose can cause involuntary movements or painful dyskinesia. Gastrointestinal (GI) problems, the common non-motor symptom, are the most important cause of motor fluctuations that occur as a result of inadequate oral treatment with the progression of PD. Dysphagia, gastric dysfunction, colonic dysmotility, small-intestine motility, delayed gastric emptying can be considered as GI problems. When oral therapies are not enough, alternative drug delivery systems that are not affected by GI problems are necessary, which known as non-oral treatments. Guidelines published in 2017 by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) mention that non-oral treatments will be safe, important and effective for PD treatment. \(^6,9\) In this review, the importance of non-oral therapy in PD treatment is emphasized. It also includes available non-oral drug delivery systems and current studies of non-oral formulations.

2. Methods
We used the website of American Parkinson Disease Association and European Parkinson Disease Association for this review. In addition, references for this review have been identified through PubMed, ScienceDirect and Google Academic using the terms "Parkinson’s disease", "Parkinson’s disease treatment strategy" and "Non-oral treatment of Parkinson’s disease". We primarily selected articles published between 2000 and 2020. Only publications in English were evaluated. We evaluated more than 200 citations, of which 83 are included in this review.

3. Current Oral Treatment Options for Parkinson’s Disease
There is no definite cure for PD, but the medicines used in treatments can provide important symptomatic control of the motor symptoms. Current pharmaceutical strategies for the control of symptom are levodopa, catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) inhibitors, Dopamine agonists, monoamine oxidase B (MAO-B) inhibitors, Anticholinergic and Amantadine medications. \(^10\)

Levodopa is a medicine that has been used since 1970 to treat PD and is still most efficacious for symptomatic treatment. It is effective in the early stages of PD but remains efficacious as PD progresses, without intolerance developing over time. Levodopa is routinely used in combination with a dopa-decarboxylase inhibitor (DDCI) in order to reduce some treatment complications, prolong half-life, and increase levodopa availability to the brain. \(^11\) However, after long-term use of levodopa oral formulations, problems such as motor and non-motor fluctuations and levodopa-induced dyskinesia (LID) can be observed due to the pharmacokinetic properties of levodopa. Patients do not experience any fluctuations in motor or non-motor symptoms during the first years of levodopa use. Patients begin to aware of these fluctuations after 2-5 years of levodopa use. In this way, as the disease progresses, patients need to make frequent adjustments to the dosage regimen and they need to use levodopa more frequently due to the shortened effect time and reduced effect. \(^12\) Increasing the dose and frequency of levodopa for control motor symptoms may provide some improvement, but involuntary movements and painful dyskinesia may occur due to the high plasma concentration of levodopa. Dyskinesia can cause the problem of walking and balance therefore, patients may have difficulties in social life. In addition, in the later stages of PD, patients become completely dependent on care, and those caring for their care have a heavy social responsibility, both socially and economically. \(^13,14\)

Since motor fluctuations greatly affect the course of the disease, clinicians occasionally have difficulty managing the disease. After 5 years of levodopa treatment, approximately 50% of patients experience wearing off, and this rate rises to about 80% after 10 years. \(^15\) Clinicians
need to choose the appropriate PD medicines to manage symptoms effectively and improve the patient's quality of life. The most important reason for fluctuations in the use of oral PD medications are gastrointestinal (GI) dysfunctions such as slow gastric emptying, irregular jejunal absorption and competition with dietary amino acids in the areas of absorption.\textsuperscript{16,17} 

**Catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibitors (COMT inhibitors)** are drugs that inhibit the enzyme catechol-O-methyltransferase that acts on dopamine breakdown and extend the time of levodopa activity. Doctors use them in combination with levodopa to treat the motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease.\textsuperscript{18} Because they prolong levodopa duration of action by increasing half-life and delivery to the brain. In some patients, it has been found that COMT inhibitors provide control of motor symptoms by reducing off-time compared to standard levodopa/DOPA decarboxylase inhibitor combinations.\textsuperscript{19} Tablet formulations of COMT inhibitors are available on the market. Although they have been shown to be able to improve motor functions in some patients, they are not prescribed alone because they offer a limited effect on PD symptoms. Entacapone and tolcapone are approved COMT inhibitors are irreversible COMT inhibitors approved for the treatment of PD. A third COMT inhibitor, opicapone, is available in Europe but has not yet been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Each of these COMT inhibitors has problems in terms of pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, clinical efficacy or safety. In addition, their elimination half-lives are approximately 2-3 hours.\textsuperscript{20} 

The most common adverse effects associated with the addition of COMT inhibitors to carbidopa/levodopa treatment are strengthening the dopaminergic effects of drugs, such as nausea, dyskinesia, orthostatic hypotension, sleep disorders, hallucinations and also vomiting. Levodopa dose adjustment is required to avoid these events. Dark yellow or orange urine discoloration is related to the colour of COMT inhibitors and their metabolites. Entacapone from COMT inhibitors is preferred as the first-line treatment in patients with PD. Because tolcapone has been reported to cause hepatotoxicity. The descriptions of acute, fatal fulminant hepatitis and potentially fatal neurological reactions in association with tolcapone led to the suspension of its marketing authorisation in Europe and Canada. In many other countries, the use of the drug is restricted to patients who are not responding to other therapies. If tolcapone is used in PD treatment, proper monitoring of liver function and liver enzymes is required during the first six to eight months of the treatment.\textsuperscript{21,22} 

**Monoamine oxidase type B (MAO-B) inhibitors** have used in the treatment of PD as both early monotherapy and combined therapy in patients with the more advanced disease.\textsuperscript{23} Selegiline and rasagiline are selective MAO-B inhibitors approved for PD treatment.\textsuperscript{24} Both selegiline and rasagiline were originally developed as antidepressants. However, low and medium doses of selegiline required to provide irreversible MAO-B inhibition have not been found to have antidepressant activity.\textsuperscript{31} The most important differences between these two active substances are their metabolism, their interaction with CYP-enzymes and their molecular biological/genetic level properties. Amphetamine metabolites occur as a result of the metabolism of selegiline with cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzyme. These metabolites can occur after oral use and can cause sleep problems in patients.\textsuperscript{24} The oral bioavailability of selegiline is about 10%. This low bioavailability has led to the development of different non-oral drug delivery systems such as transdermal, buccal and nasal.\textsuperscript{26,27} Another MAO-B inhibitor is rasagiline and as a result of the metabolism of rasagiline, unwanted metabolites such as amphetamine-like metabolites does not form.\textsuperscript{18} Studies have shown that amphetamine-like metabolites occur only in the plasma of PD patients during the use of selegiline, and not during chronic rasagiline therapy.\textsuperscript{28,29} In addition, rasagiline administered orally is rapidly absorbed from the GI tract and reaches the highest plasma concentrations within an hour. Rasagiline's oral bioavailability is about 36% due to its high hepatic first-pass metabolism.\textsuperscript{30}
“Cheese reaction”, which is a serious side effect, occurs especially when non-selective MAO inhibitors are administered with certain foods such as cheese and drugs such as decongestants. As a result of this reaction, hypertensive crisis, palpitations, tachycardia, blurred vision, arrhythmias and other sympathomimetic problems can be seen. The "cheese reaction" occurs particularly when older MAO inhibitors are administered with biogenic amine-like substances such as decongestants or high dietary tyramine (more than 500 mg per day)\textsuperscript{40}. Although there are clinical pharmacology and safety data showing that rasagiline and selegiline are selective MAO-B inhibitors, concerns remain regarding interactions with tyramine and the potential for hypertensive crisis. Despite rare, cases of the “cheese reaction” have been informed during treatment with selegiline. It has been stated that normal dietary tyramine for both selegiline and rasagiline does not cause clinically meaningful interactions, but taking more than 150 mg of tyramine per day may increase the risk.\textsuperscript{25} In the study of Goren et al., rasagiline at the recommended therapeutic dose of 1 mg/day has been shown to provide selective MAO-B inhibition. At the same time, it has also been noted that when rasagiline is used at doses > 2 mg/day, its selectivity for MAO-B decreases and tyramine sensitivity increases.\textsuperscript{23}

**Dopamine agonists** demonstrate antiparkinsonian effects by directly acting on dopamine receptors and mimicking the endogenous neurotransmitter. Oral L-dopa/dopa decarboxylase inhibitor application is inevitably necessary with the advance of PD. In the long term, chronic administration of oral l-dopa formulations in a fixed combination with inhibitors of the main metabolizing l-dopa enzymes results in the onset of so-called motor complications. When the disease progresses, the duration of l-dopa response shortens in addition to the short plasma L-dopa half-life.\textsuperscript{31} Levodopa converts to dopamine in both the central nervous system and periphery. In order to increase the bioavailability of levodopa and decrease its side effects, it is often administered in combination with peripheral decarboxylase inhibitors (such as carbidopa and benserazide). Dopamine decarboxylase inhibitors prevent the conversion of levodopa to dopamine in the periphery, allowing for more levodopa to cross the brain-blood barrier.\textsuperscript{32}

Compared to levodopa, dopamine receptor agonists do not require enzymatic conversion to an active metabolite, and also do not have potentially toxic metabolites. However, they do not compete with other substances for their active transport across the blood and blood-brain barrier, and are not dependent on the functional capacity of nigrostriatal neurons.\textsuperscript{18} Dopamine agonists are classified as ergot or non-ergot types, and these active agents have essential differences associated with receptor affinities. Bromocriptine and cabergoline ergot derivatives are dopamine agonists and these are not commonly used in the treatment of PD. Ropinirole and pramipexole rotigotine are non-ergot-derived dopamine agonists and these compounds are approved for PD therapy.\textsuperscript{33} Apomorphine is the most potent dopamine agonist, but it effectively stimulates both D1 and D2 receptors like dopamine, but because apomorphine has some limitations, can not be used as an oral drug.\textsuperscript{34}

**Anticholinergic agents** recently used to treat PD are benztropine and trihexyphenidyl. Since these drugs non-selectively block cholinergic receptors in the body, some side effects are seen. There are some hesitations about the use of these drugs for this reason. When selective cholinergic receptor antagonists were tried, significant benefits could not be obtained in PD treatment. Anticholinergics can alleviate dystonia and tremors caused by wearing off. However, it has no significant effect on other PD symptoms.\textsuperscript{35}

4. **Dysfunctions of The Gastrointestinal System in PD patients**

**Dysphagia**

Chewing and swallowing functions require regularly contracting and relaxing of many muscles. Therefore, it is inevitable that dysphagia is common in PD patients. Dysphagia is a
problem that reduces the quality of life and obstructs intake of the medication and increases
the risk of aspiration which is the cause of death of the majority of patients in PD.9 PD-related
dysphagia is not fully understood. Nevertheless, dopaminergic and non-dopaminergic
mechanisms have been shown to be effective in the development of dysphagia in PD.36
Lately result of the studies showed that the dysphagia prevalence based on subjective
conclusions, in PD patients is 35% and rises to 82% by taking objective measures of
swallowing dysfunction into account48. Aydoğdu et al. Evaluated the dysphagia prevalence
with the Videofluoroscopic Swallowing Study (VFSS) using the guidelines of the United
Kingdom Parkinson's Disease Brain Bank. In this study, VFSS evaluation was performed on
23 PD patients and 16 of the total sample was diagnosed with dysphagia.9 Some clinical
predictors should be considered when evaluating a PD patient for the presence of dysphagia.
For example in PD patients weight loss without any reason or a body mass index below 20 is
highly indicative of dysphagia. It is stated that 20% of patients develop malnutrition during
the course of PD. Another predictor of dysphagia and aspiration pneumonia is sialorrhea or
drooling.37,38

**Drooling**

Drooling has many negative effects on quality of life, such as social embarrassment,
decreased oral hygene, bad breath, increased oral bacteria, difficulty speaking and eating, and
increased risk of aspiration pneumonia.39 There is no standard description and criterion for the
diagnosis of drooling in PD patients. For this reason, the prevalence forecast varies. Leibner et
al. conducted a questionnaire study on the drooling problem with 58 PD patients and 51
healthy volunteers. As a result of this study, when PD patients and control groups were
compared, the rate of drooling was 59% and 14%, respectively.40 Müller et al.27 managed a
study to examine the emergence and severity of autonomic and sensory symptoms with 207
newly diagnosed, untreated PD patients and 178 healthy volunteers. The most obvious
difference was observed for drooling, which was present in 42% of PD patients but just 6% of
the control group.

**Gastric emptying**

Disrupted gastric emptying (GE) (gastroparesis) is a common problem in PD patients. In
gastroparesis, patients experience symptoms such as abdominal discomfort or postprandial
bloating, nausea, early satiety and weight loss.9 It is thought that the cause of delayed and
motor fluctuations in PD is delayed gastric emptying.41
Tanaka et al.42 conducted a study with three groups. These groups are 20 PD patients with
newly diagnosed, untreated; treated with L-Dopa for a long time, advanced-stage 40 PD
patients; 20 healthy volunteers. The half-emptying time (T1/2) of healthy volunteers, newly
diagnosed untreated patients and long-treated patients was found to be 86 minutes, 122
minutes, 125 minutes, respectively. Goetze et al.45 conducted a study with 36 PD patients
(divided into two as mild and advance) and 22 healthy volunteers. As a result of this study,
97% of PD patients had delayed GE. T1/2 was found to be significantly longer in PD patients
compared to the control group. (169 vs. 107 min). Delayed GE associated with the degree of
the disease. GE was found 149 and 196 min for patients with mild PD and advanced PD,
respectively.44 Unger et al subjected 20 healthy volunteers, 21 drug-naive, early-stage PD
patients and 18 PD patients treated with dopaminergic medicines to 13C octanoate breath test
to determine the duration of GE. As a result of the study, it was observed that the GE test
(T1/2) differs significantly between the groups. GE test (T1/2) was found control, drug-naive,
early-stage PD patients and treated PD patients, 123.3 min±16.6, 166.6 min±32.4 and 203.6
min±46.8 respectively.45 Most of these studies reported significantly increased the GE test in
the PD group compared to the controls.

**Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth**
Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) and changing gut microbiota raise doubts about the effectiveness of oral drug therapy in PD. Recent studies showed that the incidence of SIBO is high in PD. In addition, gastrointestinal symptoms and worsening motor functions in PD have been reported to be related to SIBO. Fasano et al. showed that patients with PD and SIBO have more serious motor fluctuations (off-time, delayed on-time, and non-on-time) than those without SIBO.

**Colonic dysmotility**
One of the most important gastrointestinal problems seen in PD is decreased bowel movements. However, many Parkinson’s disease drugs such as anticholinergics and dopamine agonists have been shown to cause constipation. In a survey study, the rate of difficulty in defecation in patients with PD and the control group was reported as 59% and 20.9%, respectively. In the same study, the rate of laxative prescribing was reported as 29.9% and 9.5%, respectively.

5. **Non-oral treatment necessity in PD treatment**
Although orally administered levodopa is considered to be the ‘gold standard’ drug for the control of motor symptoms in PD, the duration of benefit is seen to decrease in use long-term at an oral dose of levodopa. Patients begin to experience fluctuations in motor function in the later stages of PD. Due to the late effects of oral medications, the early morning OFF-periods are the most challenging situation in PD. This problem can complicate the patient’s daily morning routines and seriously affect their quality of life. As the disease progresses, the most important reason for oral treatment failure and motor fluctuations are the above mentioned gastrointestinal problems. Dysfunctions in the gastrointestinal system occur at all levels of PD and this causes motor fluctuations in the advanced stages of PD, which make management of the disease difficult. Especially, dysphagia may induce silent aspiration and delayed gastric emptying. Problems such as delayed “on” and non “on” responses may arise due to gastroparesis in PD’s oral dopaminergic treatment. GI problems, such as gastroparesis, which occur in 70-100% of patients, can decrease the effectiveness of oral medications by delaying their absorption and delivery into the bloodstream. Delayed ON and even dose failure, which causes motor fluctuations, may occur as a result of inadequate levels of medications plasma levels. Besides, it has been stated in recent studies that the pathological process of PD can be managed and even started by the intestinal microbiota through the intestinal-brain axis. In addition, studies have shown that bacterial metabolites that may affect on the enteric nervous system differ between PD patients and healthy control groups. By the same time, it has been indicated with previous studies that some PD drugs may change the microbiota content. The increasing recognition of multilevel gastrointestinal dysfunction in PD patients has contributed to the development of non-oral methods for the treatment of PD’s motor and non-motor symptoms.

6. **Current studies on non-oral formulations**
The liquid intranasal Rotigotine is formulated of a pharmaceutically satisfactory acid addition salt of Rotigotine and α-cyclodextrin. The α-cyclodextrin is used to predominantly stabilize Rotigotine hydrochloride used. A formulation for intranasal use of Rotigotine has been developed for therapy in PD and restless leg syndrome. The formulation underwent two phase 2 studies to assess efficacy, safety, and tolerability in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, proof-of-concept manner. However, the results of these studies did not show improvement in secondary outcome measures such as a change in UPDRS III post administration and “OFF” reversals. The development of the drug was discontinued. Priano et al. completed a pilot study on a new preparation of apomorphine, which was included in microemulsion and administration via the transdermal route (APO-MTD). Twenty-one patients were treated and the results obtained showed that APO-MTD delivered...
an average of 5.1 h of therapeutic plasma levels, improving the UPDRS III scores and reduced the overall length of “OFF” periods. However, as promising as this treatment may seem, because of the time taken of 1 h to reach therapeutic concentrations, APO-MTD may not be the “ideal” treatment for the rapid relief of the “off” periods suffered by PD patients. The sublingual formulation of the D2–D3 agonist piribedil, S90049, was designed to abort “off” episodes in PD. A phase 2, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study showed the superiority of S90049 in UPDRS III post-application in advanced-stage PD patients. In addition, the switch from “off” to “on” was significantly greater in patients using S90049 inhalation than placebo. Despite these results, no further activity has been reported since 2010.56

Sintov et al.57 have suggested that transdermal L-DOPA administration can be effective in order to provide continuous dopaminergic stimulation. Considering that L-DOPA is insoluble in most solvents and limited permeability through the skin, a modern self-assembling nanomicellar system (SANS) with 2% L-DOPA and 1% carbidopa has been developed. As a result of in vitro tests and in vivo studies in rabbits, it has been observed that the transdermal permeability and systemic absorption of L-DOPA from the skin increased significantly through this formulation developed.

Non-oral formulations are needed because of high liver metabolism and poor oral bioavailability of selegiline. Accordingly, the buccal film formulation with the poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanospheres of the selegiline was developed. By the evaluation of in vitro and in vivo studies, buccal films prepared with selegiline loaded nanospheres have been observed that show great properties such as good physical properties, sufficient bioadhesion, and controlled drug release. Besides, thanks to the formulation prepared, it was seen that a higher amount of selegiline could be administered through the buccal mucosa. With this study, it is supported that buccal administration of the selegiline is an advantageous and promising approach that can overcome the problems limiting the successful delivery of this drug.58

Mishra et al.24 They developed a nano lipid carrier (NLC) formulation with selegiline hydrochloride to be administered nasally, considering that the nasal route is a convenient way to target the drug directly to the brain. It has been found that the NLC formulation loaded with Selegiline hydrochloride showed 93±5.25% entrapment efficiency and 51.96% loading capacity. It has been shown that with the optimized NLC formulation, 70% release can be achieved within 10 hours, and then the drug release continues up to 22 hours (97%). The drug was found to improve behavioral parameters in rotenone-induced rats.

Ravi et al.59 have developed nasal thermosensitive gel formulation to provide effective treatment of PD by considering the low oral bioavailability of rasagiline mesylate. As a result of pharmacokinetic studies in rabbits, in-situ gels were found to provide a significant increase in the bioavailability of rasagiline mesylate.

Çelik et al.60 have developed buccal mucoadhesive tablets to increase the low bioavailability of piribedil and provide a controlled release treatment for PD. In general, it has been found that buccal tablets prepared with hydroxypropyl methylcellulose can provide the necessary controlled release and physical properties. As a result of the study, it was concluded that buccal mucoadhesive tablets provide various advantages such as controlled release compared to traditional oral dosage forms. It is thought that side effects can be reduced due to the high bioavailability with lower doses to provide the desired effect.

The use of drugs targeted to the brain continuously and safely in PD is very important in the treatment of the disease. In a study, surface-modified biodegradable poly(ethylene glycol)–poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEG-PLGA) nanoparticles have been prepared with lactoferrin (Lf) in order to target rotigotine intranasally to the brain for PD treatment. When all the
results of the study were examined, Lf nanoparticles were shown to be a suitable carrier for targeting rotigotine to the brain intranasally in PD treatment.\(^61\)

7. **Developed non-oral PD formulations**

**Levodopa/carbidopa intestinal gel (Duopa\(^TM\)) (LCIG)**

Although levodopa is the gold standard in PD treatment, due to its short plasma half-life, oral levodopa treatment cannot effectively stimulate receptors. Motor fluctuations are seen due to insufficient plasma level.\(^62\) The LCIG formulation has been developed to be used to provide a continuous effect by keeping the plasma level of levodopa constant.\(^63\) With the help of this pump, small doses of levodopa/carbidopa are administered into the small intestine at regular intervals, bypassing the stomach. LCIG allows safe titration of levodopa to high doses, even more than 2000 mg/day and leads to more stable levodopa plasma concentrations.\(^64\) Through this formulation, irregular absorption of levodopa caused by prolonged gastric emptying time in PD patients is prevented.\(^58\) In a study, when evaluating the effectiveness of the LCIG formulation against levodopa-carbidopa tablets, it was reported that LCIG significantly reduced “OFF” times and increased “on” time without troubling dyskinesias. As a result of the study, the percentages of the patients who were reported as “better” for dyskinesia, tremor, and gait disturbance called motor symptoms were 80%, 55%, 65%, and 85%, respectively.\(^65\) The percentages reported for non-motor symptoms, pain, sleep disorders, depression, and incontinence were 50%, 50%, 42.5%, and 32.5%, respectively. Studies have shown that LCIG formulation is a promising alternative for advanced PD patients with motor complications.\(^66\)

**Intrajejunal TriGel infusion (LECIG)**

TriGel is a novel formulation obtained by adding entacapone to LCIG. Entacapone reduces the conversion of levodopa to 3-O-methyldopa by blocking the second-largest pathway of levodopa. Thus, the plasma concentration of levodopa increases.\(^67\) In a clinical study, LCIG and LECIG treatments were compared. As a result of this study, dose-adjusted levodopa exposure was found to be significantly higher in the LECIG formulation compared to LCIG. It was observed that 3 patients had a 20% increase in systemic exposure to levodopa and a 40% or higher increase in six patients, and 2 patients could not achieve the target systemic exposure.\(^68\) It is thought that the combination of opicapone, a newly developed COMT inhibitor, and LCIG can provide a similar effect.\(^69\)

**Inhaled Levodopa Powder (Inbrija\(^\circledast\))**

Levodopa inhalation powder (Inbrija\(^\circledast\)) is a dry powder formulation administered orally with an inhaler, enabling rapid drug absorption in the pulmonary system. It is manufactured by Acorda Therapeutics and has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat the symptoms of Parkinson's patients during "off" periods.\(^70\) Each capsule contains 42 mg spray-dried levodopa powder, 1,2-dipalmitoyl-snglycero-3-phosphocholine and sodium chloride. The dry powder particles (5–10 μm diameter) are homogeneous, low in density and highly porous for aerosolizability and also lung deposition. Inbrija\(^\circledast\) was developed in order to achieve a rapid effect by providing a consistent and rapid increase in the concentration of the drug in the bloodstream. Pulmonary administration provides rapid absorption of levodopa due to its large surface area and low metabolic activity, so delayed "ON" period or dose failures can be avoided.\(^42,71\) As a result of a study to determine the pharmacokinetics and tolerability of formulation, it has been found that \(T_{\text{max}}\) was 15 minutes in patients who are administrated inhaler levodopa powder, but after oral administration, \(T_{\text{max}}\) ranged from 20 minutes to 90 minutes. However, it has been found that no changes in lung function parameters were observed in patients and no patient complained of cough or shortness of breath.\(^72\)

**Rotigotine patch**

The transdermal patch formulation of rotigotine (Neupro\(^\circledast\)), a dopamine agonist, has been developed for use alone in the early stages of PD or in addition to levodopa in the advanced
stage of the disease. Rotigotin transdermal patch has been approved in the EU, China and Japan as a combination therapy with monotherapy and levodopa for early PD treatment. With the developed transdermal patch formulation, stable rotigotin plasma levels could be achieved for 1-2 days with a single daily administration.73,74 The double-blind, placebo-controlled study, randomized, demonstrated that rotigotin patch can well manage both motor function and sleep problems in PD patients with motor dysfunction when waking up in the morning.75 Additionally, other important effects of the rotigotine patch on non-motor symptoms include pain, mood and anhedonia associated with dopamine fluctuations.76,77 Compared with rotigotine patch and other conventional oral dopamine agonists, impulse control disorder was reported to be less common in the use of rotigotine patch.78 It has been reported that the most common side effects after the application of rotigotine patch are skin reactions in the application area and some neuropsychiatric problems.73

**Subcutaneous rotigotine-polyoxazoline**

It is aimed to provide continuous dopaminergic stimulation by preparing the subcutaneous formulation of rotigotine with polyoxazolines42. *In vivo* studies using rat models with 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) lesions have shown that rotigotine-polyoxazoline slow-release conjugate relieves motor symptoms by repeated dosing and provides a long rotigotine half-life.79 With these promising results, a slow-release conjugate of rotigotine has Food and Drug Administration's confirmation to enter phase 1 study (NCT02579473) with new PD patients.80 Olanow et al.81 evaluated the safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of polymer-conjugated rotigotine in PD patients with a multicentre open-label, multiple incremental dose-spaced cohort studies. As a result of this study, it has been observed that when the polymer conjugated rotigotine is subcutaneously administered once a week, relatively constant plasma rotigotine levels can be achieved and are safe and well-tolerated.

**Subcutaneous apomorphine**

Subcutaneous apomorphine has been developed in order to manage unpredictable and predictable 'off' periods, in PD patients well. Subcutaneous apomorphine has been developed as two different formulations. These are intermittent injection of apomorphine and a continuous infusion of apomorphine with a removable infusion pump without surgery. It is specified that it is a very suitable formulation to prevent delayed or failed 'ON' situations caused by gastric emptying and levodopa absorption problems and to alleviate early dystonia or akinesia quickly and safely.82,83 It has been reported that a consistent antiparkinsonian response with subcutaneous apomorphine was obtained and no significant circadian changes were observed during this response.83 The effect of subcutaneous apomorphine injection on 'ON' time was evaluated by a multicentre, open-label phase IV study in PD patients with morning akinesia. In this study, firstly, the normal morning dose of oral levodopa was applied to the patients and 'ON' times were recorded. Then, 'ON' times of the patients were recorded again for a week using apomorphine injection instead of oral levodopa. As a result of the study, it was observed that apomorphine injection shortened 37 minutes the patients' become 'ON' status by compared to oral levodopa.84 With several open-label clinical trials, apomorphine infusion has been shown to significantly reduce OFF time by up to 85% compared to baseline and increase ON time by an average of 5.5 hours daily in PD patients.82,83,85

**Inhaled apomorphine (VR040)**

In PD, it has been observed that "OFF" periods can be managed with subcutaneous apomorphine, but some patients may experience difficulty in application because it requires injection. For this reason, it is thought that inhaled apomorphine may be useful101. In order to determine optimal efficacy, safety and tolerability for inhaled apomorphine in PD patients, randomized, double-blind, active; placebo parallel-group, and increased dose titration studies were performed in 16 centers in 3 countries. As a result of this study, the meantime to "ON"
in 33 patients in the OFF period was found to be 8.1 minutes for inhaled apomorphine and 13.1 minutes for placebo. In addition, the proportion of those who became "open" in 40 minutes in patients who received inhaled apomorphine and placebo (except "partially open") was found to be 60.0% and 26.7%, respectively. In the double-blind Phase II study, the tolerability, safety and effectiveness of VR040 were evaluated. It was reported that the development of unified PD rating scale part 3 (UPDRS 3) in 47 patients was 26.8 points for inhaled apomorphine and 14.9 for placebo.

**Sublingual apomorphine (APL-130277)**

APL-130277 is a film strip in clinical development that is investigated for the treatment of OFF periods. It consists of a thin bilayered film designed to improve apomorphine delivery while optimizing tissue compatibility and film disintegration. The first layer consists of apomorphine and is designed to provide stability, rapid drug diffusion and enhanced bioavailability. The second layer is a buffer layer that is designed to increase drug permeability and neutralize acid formation following drug absorption. As a result, it is designed as a “turning ON” medication to acutely manage OFF episodes by rapidly delivering apomorphine from the oral cavity without any mucosal irritations. Hauser et.al. conducted a phase 2, open-label, proof-of-concept study to assess tolerability, safety and efficacy, and to determine the effective doses.

**Buccal selegiline (Zydis™ ZELAPAR)**

Non-oral alternative formulations have been explored because of the low oral bioavailability of selegiline, high rate of first-pass effects, and conversion to undesired metabolites in the liver. One of these is the tablet formulation prepared for application to the buccal mucosa with Zydis™ technology. As a result of pharmacokinetic studies, Zydis™ selegiline can inhibit MAO-B at one-eighth of the traditional oral dose and reduce amphetamine metabolites by 80-90%. As a result of the phase 4 studies, it was seen that ZELAPAR was preferred by patients because it was well tolerated and provides ease of use. Waters et al. evaluated the safety and efficacy of Zydis selegiline in PD patients with motor fluctuations during levodopa therapy with a short-term clinical study. As a result of the study, it was seen that an orodispersible tablet of selegiline as an additional treatment to levodopa in PD patients with motor fluctuation problems was effective and safe.

8. CONCLUSION

Current therapy options for PD remain focused on the symptomatic improvement of motor features related predominantly to loss of dopaminergic neurones in the substantia nigra but do not address the root cause of the disease. Improvements in trial design are required to evaluate candidate drugs more appropriately, perhaps with the introduction of validated clinical markers. Physicians need practical guidance both to help patients make a judgement on what drug to use and when to initiate it. This remains very much an individual decision and will need to take account of a number of factors including the patient’s age and co-morbidity and the physician’s own interpretation of the data available and the information presented here. Oral dopaminergic treatments were mainly focused on the management of PD symptoms. However, it has been thought and investigated that gastrointestinal problems in PD patients can significantly affect the effectiveness of oral treatments. As a result, it has been observed that gastrointestinal problems such as dysphagia, delayed gastric emptying, SIBO and changes in colon motility complicate oral treatment in PD and cause delayed "ON" or early morning "OFF" fluctuations in patients. For this reason, non-oral drug delivery systems have been studied in order to manage PD symptoms effectively.

We sought to bring further clarity to the non-oral treatment options for patients at different stages of PD. The therapies included in this review have all been shown to result in significant improvements of both motor and non-motor symptoms, but each therapy also has a number of
characteristic advantages and drawbacks that need to be matched with the patient's symptomatology. The costs related to all non oral drug delivery systems are significant, and further cost reductions are needed to increase access to these therapies. Moreover, there is a need for further development of the non-oral continuous drug delivery techniques—both to increase their ease of use and to reduce the relatively frequent device-related adverse effects. In addition to changing the existing drug administration systems, new methods of administration are required by examining the current studies.
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