

Video Article

The manchester procedure combined with laparoscopic sacrohysteropexy by retroperitoneal tunneling

Seçkin et al. The manchester procedure and sacrohysteropexy

Kerem Doğa Seçkin¹, Pınar Kadiroğulları², Hüseyin Kıyak¹, Ali Rıza Doğan³, Ömer Lütfi Tapısız³

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Health Sciences Turkey, Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Training and Research Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University Atakent Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey

³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Health Sciences Turkey, Etlik Zübeyde Hanım Women's Health Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Address for Correspondence: Pınar Kadiroğulları

e-mail: pinarsezer33@hotmail.com ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-3268-4940

DOI: 10.4274/jtgga.galenos.2021.2021.0029

Received: 12 February, 2021 **Accepted:** 17 May, 2021

Abstract

This video will demonstrate a minimally invasive technique, in which the Manchester procedure was combined with laparoscopic sacrohysteropexy by retroperitoneal tunneling in patients with uterine prolapse and cervical elongation who wished to preserve the uterus. The principle steps and techniques to complete the operation are dictated in the video. The prolapse surgery was performed uneventfully, and the uterus was restored to its anatomical position. During the two years of follow-up, there were no complications from the prolapse or mesh-related events. No prolapse recurrence was observed. This technique facilitates uterine-sparing surgery, results in less bleeding and shorter operative time (1), and we believe that it may reduce the recurrence of prolapse due to the elongation of the cervix.

Keywords: Cervical elongation, manchester procedure, sacrohysteropexy

Introduction

Approximately half of the advanced-stage uterine prolapse (46.1%) is accompanied by cervical elongation (2). There is an increased desire for uterine preservation in pelvic organ prolapse (POP) surgery, and cervical elongation can limit this possibility. Hysteropexy can result in less bleeding and take less time to complete. The risk of mesh erosion is five times lower in hysteropexy than in hysterectomy. However, the risk of reoperation is greater in hysteropexy (3). This technique, which is a combination of two procedures, is recommended to the patient who

desires to preserve the uterus, wants to minimize the risk of possible recurrence, and also has an active sexual life.

Materials and surgical technique

The 38-year-old patient was gravidity 3, parity 3 and had a grade-2 elongation of the uterine cervix (4) and stage-3 apical (uterine) prolapse. The Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification System (POP-Q) findings were as follows: Aa -1, Ba -2, C +2, D +2, Ap -2, Bp -3. The patient wished to preserve the uterus. (Supplementary Video S1).

Manchester procedure:

The length of the cervix up to the sacrouterine ligament-uterus connection was measured to be approximately 7–8 cm (Figure-1). A circular incision in the vagina was performed and ligated with bilateral cardinal ligament (5). The extra-prolonged cervix was amputated (Figure 4), leaving 3–3.5 cm of the cervix intact. The vaginal mucosa was prepared in flap form, and the posterior vaginal mucosa was cut to the sacrouterine level.

Laparoscopic Sacrohysteropexy: A 10 mm trocar was inserted into the abdomen by direct entry technique from the umbilicus, and a pneumoperitoneum was created. Two lateral ports were placed on bilateral lower quadrants, and one suprapubic trocar was placed in the same plane as one lateral trocar.

- **Peritoneal incision:** The location of the promontory was determined anatomically by laparoscopy, and an incision was performed on its peritoneum. The incision was enlarged caudally and distally. The loose connective tissue on the promontory was dissected and the anterior longitudinal ligament was uncovered.
- **Sigmorectal colon suspension:** The sigmorectal colon was suspended with a T-Lift device (Vectec™, France) for better visualization.
- **Retroperitoneal tunneling:** The remaining cervical tissue was held with a tenaculum. Tunneling was performed with ring forceps under the cervical tissue above the vaginal tissue retroperitoneally, from the point where the right sacrouterine ligament adheres the uterus to the right pelvic sidewall with a camera guided. In order to avoid unintentional damage to adjacent anatomical structures, ring forceps with atraumatic and blunt ends were used. The vaginal mucosa was opened to the peritoneal incision, which was created earlier by laparoscopy extending to the cervix. And the previously created peritoneal area has reached the cervix and the vaginal mucosa was opened up to the incision prepared by laparoscopy before.
- **Preparation of the mesh:** a 4 x 15 cm synthetic macroporous polypropylene mesh segment (Düzey Medikal, Istanbul, Turkey) was prepared and inserted through the umbilical trocar to the abdomen, and one side of the mesh was grasped by a vaginally-inserted forceps (Figure-2).
- **Suturing of the mesh to the posterior cervix:** Propilen® polypropylene synthetic, non-absorbable, monofilament, No:1 suture (Doğsan, Istanbul, Turkey) was used.
- The Manchester procedure was completed with reattachment of the cardinal ligament using Sturmdorf sutures.
- **Tailoring the position of the uterus:** The cervix was positioned at -5, -6 level. Before fixing the mesh to the anterior longitudinal ligament it was measured that the cervix was at the level of -5,-6 by hysterometry. After that measurement, the mesh was fixed to the ligament. We used five non-absorbable tackers to fix the mesh.
- Anchoring of the upper pole of the mesh to the anterior longitudinal ligament was accomplished with a 5 mm laparoscopic ProTack™ Fixation Device (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, USA).
- The peritoneum was closed with a continuous running 2-0 absorbable suture line. The whole mesh was peritonized to avoid adhesion to or extrusion from the bowel. Reperitonization was

performed with attention to the ureter. The T-Lift device was removed, and the ureter was visualized and controlled, completing the process.

The procedure was completed successfully with a total operative time of 78 min and estimated blood loss of 180 ml. The uterine prolapse was corrected (postoperative POP-Q findings: Aa -1, Ba -3, C -5, TVL 7.5, Ap -2, Bp -3, D -7.5) (Figure-3). No intraoperative surgical complications were observed. On postoperative day 2, the patient was discharged from the hospital uneventfully. During two years of follow-up, no recurrence, complications of mesh exposure, de novo stress urinary incontinence or bowel obstruction have occurred. The patient pointed out that she had no plan for pregnancy before surgery.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest is declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Jefferis H, Price N, Jackson S (2017) Laparoscopic hysteropexy: 10 years' experience. *Int Urogynecol J*. doi: 10.1007/s00192-016-3257-4.
2. Hsiao SM, Chang TC, Chen CH, Li YI, Shun CT, Lin HH. Risk factors for coexistence of cervical elongation in uterine prolapse. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2018 Oct;229:94-97. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2018.08.011. Epub 2018 Aug 8. PMID: 30144728.
3. de Oliveira SA, Fonseca MCM, Bortolini MAT, Girão MJBC, Roque MT, Castro RA. Hysteropreservation versus hysterectomy in the surgical treatment of uterine prolapse: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2017 Nov;28(11):1617-1630. doi: 10.1007/s00192-017-3433-1. Epub 2017 Aug 5. PMID: 28780651.
4. Mothes AR, Mothes H, Fröber R, Radosa MP, Runnebaum IB (2016) Systematic classification of uterine cervical elongation in patients with pelvic organ prolapse. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.02.029.
5. Thys SD, Coolen A, Martens IR, Oosterbaan HP, Roovers J, Mol B, et al. A comparison of long-term outcome between Manchester Fothergill and vaginal hysterectomy as treatment for uterine descent. *Int Urogynecol J*. 2011 Sep;22(9):1171-8. doi: 10.1007/s00192-011-1422-3. Epub 2011 Apr 12. PMID: 21484366.

Supplementary Video S1 . The Manchester procedure was combined with laparoscopic sacrohysteropexy



Figure 1. The measurement of the length of the cervix

Uncorrected Proof




Figure 2. The appearance of the mesh by vaginal and abdominal route




Figure 3. The depth of the cervix and the last view after operation

Uncorrected Proof




Figure 4. The view of elongated cervix at the time of incision

Uncorrected

Proof