Evaluation of the Appearance, Location and Morphology of Lingual Foramens in Dentates and Edentulous Mandibles Using CBCT

Lingual Foramenin Dişli ve Dişsiz Çenelerde Görünüm, Lokalizasyon ve Morfolojisinin KIBT ile Değerlendirilmesi

Abstract

Objective: The lingual foramen (LF) in the anterior mandibular region is important for surgical planning. Our aim was to assess the anatomical variations of the mandibular LF and canals using cone beam computed tomography (CBCT).

Materials and Methods: CBCT images of 148 patients were evaluated. The LF presence, number, position, diameter and trajectory was established. The relationship between the findings and gender, dental status was evaluated using Mann-Whitney U, independent samples t-test, and Spearman correlation.

Results: Of 102 patients, 5.9% had the inferior foramen, 60.8% had the superior foramen, and 33.3% had two foramina. The average diameter of the inferior LF was 1.08 mm and superior LF was 1.21 mm. There is a statistical difference between genders according to the diameter of the inferior LF (p=0.031).

Conclusion: Due to the prevalence of foramines of different sizes and locations, we recommend assessing with CBCT before surgical procedures.

Oz: Anterior mandibular bölgesinde lingual foramen (LF) cerrahi planlama açısından önemlidir. Bu çalışmanın amacı mandibular LF ve kanallarının anatomik varyasyonlarını dişli ve dişsiz celenlerde, konik ışınlı bilgisayarlı tomografi (KIBT) kullanarak değerlendirmektir.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: Hastaların 102 hastasından, inferior foramen, superior foramen ve iki foramen varlığı değerlendirildi. LF çapı açısından cinsiyetler arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir fark bulundu (p=0.031).

Sonuç: Ortalama LF çapı istatistiksel olarak anlamlı bir fark bulundu (p=0.031).
Introduction

The lingual foramen (LF) and lingual canal (LC) are located at the internal surface of the anterior region of the mandible. The LF is also defined with various names such as medial LC, lingual vascular canal, lateral LC, mandibular LF. It is called superior genial spinal foramen if it is at the level or above the mental or genial spines, inferior genial spinal foramen if it is below the mental or genial spines, foramen supra spinosum if it is above the mental or genial spines, foramen interspinosum if it is at the level of the mental or genial spines, foramen infraspinosum if it is below the mental or genial spines (1,2).

The descriptions of LF, their canals sizes and locations are critical for better surgical planning and to prevent various complications that may occur pending anterior mandibular dental surgery such as implant, genioplasty, grafting procedures (3). Many authors have reported that damage to the LF vessels during implant procedures results in massive swelling of the floor of the mouth leading to severe upper airway obstruction, as well as life-threatening hemorrhage and the formation of a large hematoma (4,5). Surgery in this region may also affect the branches of the mylohyoid nerve, resulting in paresthesia or hypoesthesia. Therefore, an appropriate radiological evaluation should be performed by dentomaxillofacial radiologists before surgical procedures in this region of the mandible.

LF in the mandible, which is almost invisible on two-dimensional panoramic radiography, can be seen with three-dimensional evaluation on cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images (6).

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the anatomical variations of LF with cone beam computed tomography imaging in a Turkish population. In addition to evaluating similar parameters in previous studies, we also examined LF according to dental status.

Materials and Methods

In present study, CBCT images of 148 patients were analyzed. The patients ranged in age from 18-71 years with a mean age of 46.22±13.2 years. The approval for the this study was acquired from the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of Ondokuz Maysis University (decision number: 2018/500, date: 25.10.2018). All patients signed an informed consent form allowing the use of these records.

The inclusion criteria in this study included the age above 18 years old, no severe atrophy of the mandible and no impacted teeth in the mandible. Exclusion criteria included bone deformities in the mandible and inadequate CBCT image quality.

CBCT images were obtained with the Galileos Comfort Plus CBCT device and the Galaxis viewer program, which is the internal viewer software of the CBCT unit, was used to display the data (Sirona Dental Systems Inc., Bensheim, Germany). The settings were 98 kVp; 25 mAs; 15.4 cm spherical imaging volume field of view, 14 seconds exposure time and 0.25 mm isotropic voxel size. The images are evaluated on RadiForce MX270W, 27” and 3.7 MP color medical LCD monitor (Eizo Nanao Corporation, Ishikawa, Japan).

LF was assessed in 148 patients. Foramens were grouped as superior lingual foramen (SLF) or inferior lingual foramen (ILF) in accordance with to their vertical position relative to the mental spine in 102 patients. Eighty-two of the 102 patients were dentate; 20 of them were edentulous patients. The following items were measured in the 102 CBCT images that LF morphology was proper for all measurements. According to Ali and Ahmad (7) morphological classification, all measurements were made in types A, B and C (Figure 1). Other morphology types were not included in the measurements (Figure 2).

1- Diameter of the LF,
2- The length of the LC,
3- The distance between each foramen to the inferior border of the mandible,
4- The distance between from both foramens to the alveolar crest,

Figure 1. According to Ali and Ahmad (7) anatomical variations of LF morphology
LF: Lingual foramen
The anatomical variations of LF morphology were carefully categorized according to Ali and Ahmad (7) morphological classification in the 148 CBCT images.

**Image Evaluation**

The sagittal images were used for measurements. CBCT images were evaluated by one oral and maxillofacial radiologists with more than 5 years of experience and all images were reevaluated after 2 weeks. For distance measurements, one tangent line to the alveolar crest and another one to the inferior border of the mandible were traced; measurements in millimeters were made by drawing a vertical line to these horizontal lines.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS statistics 21.0 for Windows PC (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Mann-Whitney U, independent sample t-tests and Spearman’s Rho correlation were used. P values less than 0.05 was regarded to be significant. The intraobserver agreement assessed by the Kappa.

### Results

For the anatomical variations of LF morphology 68 foramens were type A, 30 foramens were type B, 4 foramens were type C, 21 foramens were type D, 10 foramens were type E and 15 foramens were type G (Figure 2).

A total of 102 patients had one or two LF. Six (3 female, 3 male) of patients had ILF, 62 patients (27 male, 35 female) had SLF. Thirty four patients (14 male, 20 female) had two LF. So 136 LF were detected, totally. Four of patients who had only ILF showed a dentate anterior mandible and two of the patients were edentulous. Fifty two of patients who had only SLF showed a dentate anterior mandible and ten of the patients were edentulous. Of the patients with two LFs, 26 were dentate and eight were edentulous. The mean measurements for ILF and SLF are shown in Table 1. For superior and inferior LF types, Table 2 shows the mean distances and diameter measurements in male and female. The mean diameter of the ILF was 1.29±0.58 mm in male patients; 0.92±0.40 mm in female patients. There is a statistically significant difference between genders according to the diameter of the ILF (p=0.031; Table 2).

The mean length of the inferior canal 5.74±2.49 mm and length of superior type canal is 6.81±1.98 mm. The average distance from SLF to the inferior border of mandible is 14.10±2.77 mm. There is a statistically significant difference between genders (p=0.002; Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance Measurement</th>
<th>SLF Mean (mm) ± SD</th>
<th>ILF Mean (mm) ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of LCs</td>
<td>1.21±1.42</td>
<td>1.08±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the LC</td>
<td>6.81±1.98</td>
<td>5.74±2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between LF and inferior border of mandible</td>
<td>14.10±2.77</td>
<td>4.16±2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between LF and alveolar crest</td>
<td>14.73±3.17</td>
<td>23.51±5.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter end of LC</td>
<td>0.75±0.28</td>
<td>0.68±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between the terminal end of LC from buccal plate</td>
<td>5.72±2.07</td>
<td>5.36±1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SLF: Superior lingual foramen, ILF: Inferior lingual foramen, LC: Lingual canal, LF: Lingual foramen, SD: Standard deviation
The mean distance between the terminal end of superior LC from the inferior border of the mandible is 11.74±2.92 mm in male, 9.74±2.22 mm in female patients (Table 2). For superior type, there is a statistically significant difference between the genders (p=0.000).

The relationship of distances and diameters with age was evaluated with Spearman’s Rho correlation. There is no significant relationship between age and distances-diameters (p>0.05).

For the superior and inferior LF types, Table 3 presents the mean distance and diameter measurements in dentate and edentulous patients. For ILF type, there is a statistically significant difference with 95% confidence between the dentate and edentulous patients in terms of distance to alveolar crest (p=0.001; Table 3). For SLF type, there is a statistically significant difference with 95% confidence between the dentate and edentulous patients in terms of distance to alveolar crest (p=0.016; Table 3).

In the evaluation of the radiographic measurements, the intraobserver agreement was found to be excellent (k=0.90).

**Discussion**

It has been reported that the number of postoperative complaints has increased in parallel with the increasing use of implants in recent years. Thus, accurate and detailed radiological information about anatomical landmarks of mandibular interforaminal region related to dental implant placement is necessary for careful preoperative planning. Although it is known that the LF is located on the lingual side of the mandible, its location and number are defined variously and inconsequently (5).

In Abesi et al. (9) the anatomical variations of LF morphology in the mandible were 39.5% type A, 54% type B, 1.5% type C, 0.5% type D, 1% type E, and 3.5% type G. Although in our study, the most common LF morphology was type A and the rarest was type C. Also, F-type foramen morphology was not observed.

In Sekerci et al. (8) reported that of 500 patients, 17% had one foramen, 28.2% had two foramen, 53% had more than two foramen and 1.8% had no foramen. Liang et al. (6) stated that 72% of
patients had a single foramen, 22% had two foramen and 4% had three foramen. These differences may be due to the limited number of patients and different racial characteristics.

The result of the present study as regards the localization of the LF, showing 91% of patients with one LF were of the superior type, is in consistent with previous studies. However, this finding creates a disadvantage for surgical operations performed in this region. In patients with a single inferior LC, canal localization allows deeper flap surgery or deep implant placement (10).

Large LF can cause severe bleeding in the floor of the mouth due to implants and other surgical procedures. Previous studies grouped the diameters of foramen as ≤1 mm and >1 mm to define the risk of severe bleeding (11,12). Even though it was reported that the average diameter of the canals was 0.86 mm, this measurement differs according to the racial characteristics in previous studies (8,13). In the study conducted by Sekerci et al. (8) the mean horizontal diameter was 0.89 mm and the mean vertical diameter was 1.16 mm in the Turkish population. In consistent with previous studies, we found that the mean diameter of ILF and SLF are 1.07 mm, 1.20 mm, respectively.

Similar to He et al. (1) and von Arx et al. (13), we stated that there was no statistically significant association between diameters of the LF and age. However, Abesi et al. (9) reported a significant relationship between LF diameter and age groups.

In previous studies, inferior canal length was reported between 4.25 and 6.33 mm, and superior canal length was reported between 5.81 and 7.83 mm (13-15). In our study, LC length was measured close to the literature and superior canal length was found higher than inferior, similar to literature.

Aoun et al. (16) reported the distance from the SLF to the alveolar crest in males was greater than in females. However, in this study there was no statistically significant difference between the genders. The distance between the LF and the alveolar crest is clinically important for implant surgery as it may limit the length of the implant to be placed (17). This distance should be evaluated more carefully, especially in atrophic crest.

In previous studies, the average distance from the superior LF to the inferior border of the mandible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Measurements according to dentate/edentulous in the ILF and SLF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between LF and inferior border of mandible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between LF and alveolar crest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The distance between the terminal end of LC from buccal plate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The diameter of LC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

was found between 12.58 and 14.12 mm (13,14,18), similar to us. Choi et al. (18) stated that the mean distances from the inferior border of the mandible to SLF was 12.65 mm. In our study, this value was higher than the literature. This distance should be considered when determining the length of the implant to be placed, especially in atrophic mandibles.

Sheikhi et al. (14) reported that the mean diameter of end of the ILF was greater in female patients, and for the SLF, the mean diameter was greater in male, similar to our results. Arun Kumar (19) investigated that the average distance from end of the LF to alveolar crest in male was 13.45 mm and in female 12.95 mm. The mean distance from end of the LF to inferior border of mandible in male was 8.20 mm and in female 7.70 mm. Sheikhi et al. (14) and we found these values higher in male, similar to Arun Kumar (19) results.

The number of the studies evaluating the relationship between dental status and LF morphology is limited. In a recent study, Trost et al. (20) stated that edentulous patients did not differ in terms of the presence of LF, but vertical bone dimensions decreased by 7 mm on CT image. Similarly we found a statistically significant difference between dentate and edentulous patients in terms of the distance of the LF to the alveolar crest. Despite similar results and the higher number of patients than ours, the high radiation dose in CT is an important disadvantage of their study. To our knowledge, our study may be the first retrospective study performing on patients’ CBCT images evaluating the relationship between dental status and LF morphology.

**Conclusion**

Due to the prevalence of foramens of different sizes and locations in the midline, we recommend routine screening of the anterior mandible using CBCT for any surgical intervention that may damage the lingual cortical of the anterior mandible. Careful pre-operative planning and evaluation of anatomical structures accurately with the use of three-dimensional-imaging may help to avoid surgical complications. Existing studies mostly belong to dentulous patients; but, edentulous patients need more implants. Further studies are required by increasing the number of dentate and edentulous patients.
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